

IFRS – changes

Completing the transition to IFRS involved many challenges. But there are opportunities to simplify presentation and make the messages in the financial statements clearer. This briefing note is intended to help non accountants, members and other senior staff to better understand the IFRS-based financial statements for 2010/11. It therefore provides an overview of the main changes involved and explains how the new formats can be used to convey the key points in the following areas:

- Comparisons with budgets;
- General Fund performance;
- Reserves position; and
- Cash flows.

It also provides some answers to commonly raised questions.

Overview of main changes from UK GAAP to IFRS

	Significant IFRS changes	Substantially the same
Financial Statements	New statements and amended layouts. More flexibility - detail can be in the statements or the notes; terminology can be amended; and the order of the statements can be changed to suit the authority.	New formats consistent with those used in the SORP where this is possible and helpful.
Purchase of goods and services	None.	Everything.
Salaries and Pensions	Untaken holiday pay and similar items accrued for at year end.	Everything else.
Government Grants and Contributions	Capital grants recognised immediately (unless there are conditions) rather than being deferred and matched to expenditure	Only the same for capital grants if there are conditions
Property, Plant and Equipment (Fixed Assets)	More emphasis on component accounting than under UK GAAP. Impairments taken initially to the Revaluation Reserve rather than Income and Expenditure – like revaluation losses. New class of 'assets held for sale'.	Everything else. Expenditure that can be capitalised under IFRS remains unchanged.
PFI	Assets brought onto the balance sheet where the authority controls the asset. Changes made in SORP 2009- no change for 2010/11	
Leases	90% 'test' to separate finance and operating leases removed. Property leases classified and accounted for as separate leases of land and buildings. Need to assess whether other arrangements contain the substance of a lease.	Everything else. IFRS retains the concept of the finance lease / operating lease distinction, and the tests carried out to classify leases are substantially the same.
Financial Instruments	None – IFRS is identical to UK GAAP.	Everything.

General Fund performance

The format of the first section of the new Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES), the (Surplus) or Deficit on Provision of Services, is very similar to the Income and Expenditure Account under the SORP, although less detail is required below the Cost of Services. The format of the second section of the CIES is, very similar to the STRGL under the SORP which is shown below.

Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES) 2010/2011

	2010/2011		
	Gross Expenditure	Gross Income	Net Expenditure
	£'000	£'000	£'000
Central services to the public	37,140	31,023	6,117
Cultural, environmental, regulatory and planning services	121,900	39,803	82,097
Education and children's services	335,464	252,573	82,891
Highways and transport services	37,385	10,669	26,716
Other housing services	136,520	120,614	15,906
Adult social care	117,064	50,593	66,471
Corporate and democratic core	25,908	10,169	15,739
Non Distributed Costs	(131,979)	4,479	(136,458)
Cost of Services	679,402	519,923	159,479
Other operating expenditure	40,179	298	39,881
Financing and investment income and expenditure	22,522	3,546	18,976
Taxation and non-specific grant income	0	334,960	(334,960)
(Surplus) or Deficit on Provision of Services	742,103	858,727	(116,624)
Surplus or deficit on the revaluation of fixed assets			(23,870)
Surplus or deficit on the revaluation of available for sale financial assets			0
Actuarial gain / loss on pension assets / liabilities			(7,570)
Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure			(31,440)
Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure			(148,064)

Equivalent to the SORP's Net cost of Services, and reconciles to the Segmental reporting Note

Equivalent to the surplus or deficit on the I&E Account under the SORP

Whilst the financial statements under IFRS (other than the Movement in Reserves Statement) still don't provide a direct comparison with the budget, one of the new notes to the financial statements – on segmental reporting - can also provide a bridge between budgets and the financial statements.

Comparisons with budgets

For members, probably the most important issue for them is whether the authority has a surplus or deficit compared to its budget (and Council Tax) for the year. Because the financial statements follow accounting standards rather than local government legislation, this hasn't been easy to identify in the past. However, the new [Movement in Reserves Statement](#) gives this information. The extract below shows this information. For housing authorities, there is a separate column in the Movement in Reserves Statement showing the equivalent HRA figures; other columns show earmarked reserves etc.

Movement in Reserves Statement

	General Fund Balance £'000	
Balance at 31 March 2009 (Restated)	(17,324)	General Fund share of the <u>Surplus or Deficit</u>
<u>Movement in reserves during 2009/2010</u>		
(Surplus) or Deficit on provision of services	20,559	Statutory adjustments such as replacing depreciation with MRP, pension liabilities with contributions etc.
Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	0	
Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	20,559	
Adjustments between accounting basis & funding basis under regulations	(27,496)	Deficit for the year
Net Increase / Decrease before transfers to Earmarked Reserves	(6,937)	
Transfers to / from Earmarked Reserves	2,020	
(Increase) / Decrease in 2009/2010	(4,917)	
Balance at 31 March 2010 (Restated)	(22,241)	
<u>Movement in reserves during 2010/2011</u>		
Surplus or (Deficit) on provision of services	(116,624)	Offset by transfers from earmarked reserves
Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	0	
Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	(116,624)	
Adjustments between accounting basis & funding basis under regulations	109,724	Gives the change in the General Fund balance over the year
Net Increase / Decrease before transfers to Earmarked Reserves	(6,900)	
Transfers to / from Earmarked Reserves	8,632	
Increase / Decrease in 2010/2011	1,732	
Balance at 31 March 2011	(20,509)	

Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure are taken from the [Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement \(CIES\)](#) (see example below), which replaces both the Income and Expenditure Account and the Statement of Total Recognised Gains and Losses (STRGL). The Surplus or Deficit on Provision of Services is the equivalent to the Income and Expenditure Account under the SORP. Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure includes unrealised gains and losses (eg revaluation of land and buildings), and is the equivalent of the STRGL under the previous guidance.

Members will have previously approved the transfers to or from earmarked reserves shown in the Movement in Reserves Statement. The increase or decrease on the General Fund balance which is shown in this Statement would also normally be reported to members as part of the outturn report, although it might have been described as the surplus or deficit for the year.

A loss shown in the CIES is an indication that the costs of providing this year's services have not been covered by income, which will need to be funded by taxpayers in future years. An overall increase in usable reserves despite a loss being shown in the CIES normally means that there is a corresponding change in unusable reserves as for example MRP charges are replaced with depreciation and impairment. The difference will be reflected in the Capital Adjustment Account. Unusable reserves such as the Capital Adjustment Account and the Pensions Reserve will need to be funded in the future, even if it is over a long period, so increases in these balances show an increasing burden on future taxpayer

Segmental Reporting Note

As discussed above, a comparison with budgets is one of the key items members will look for. Since the financial statements contain figures members won't be used to seeing, it is useful to explain the accounts by starting with the Segmental Reporting note.

The note is based on internal management structures and has to include at least 75% of service expenditure. The example below starts off by showing outturn information previously reported to members, and includes a line for support service recharges. However, if your authority reports the costs of support services separately, they could appear as a separate segment.

Note the headings are based on the Authority's departments

	Leader and Deputy Leader £'000	Resources £'000	Children and Learning City £'000	Healthy City £'000	Attractive and Inclusive City £'000	Total £'000
Fees and Charges	(1,071)	(11,665)	(13,597)	(17,520)	(12,774)	(62,609)
Government grants	(87)	(142,836)	(222,340)	(2,673)	(2,649)	(374,077)
Other Grants, reimbursements and contributions	(1,339)	(581)	(9,477)	(29,831)	(3,614)	(52,877)
Total Income	(2,497)	(155,082)	(245,414)	(50,024)	(19,037)	(489,563)
Employee expenses	4,779	(130,974)	204,065	42,756	33,383	177,758
Other service expenditure	24,227	145,582	88,758	82,508	25,828	405,172
Total Expenditure	29,006	14,608	292,823	125,264	59,211	582,930
Net Expenditure	26,509	(140,474)	47,409	75,240	40,174	93,367

Outturn figures previously reported to members

Note: These sectors have been excluded from the above table - Prosperous City, Safer City and Culture, Sustainable Communities, Responsive Local Services and Customer Care. Please see page 88 of the Councils Statement of Accounts 2010-11 report for full details.

The note then needs to be reconciled to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES). The example below also doesn't include 100% of the service expenditure – so the missing services appear in the reconciliation. Including all the service expenditure in the note is likely to be more beneficial for members, and simplifies the reconciliation. Other reconciling items are likely to be common year-end adjustments such as for depreciation, pension adjustments etc. provided that these aren't already included in monitoring reports.

	2010/2011 £'000
Net expenditure in the portfolio analysis.	93,367
Net expenditure of services and support services not included in the analysis.	68,860
Amounts in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Account not reported to management in the analysis.	(2,748)
Amounts included in the analysis not included in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement.	0
Cost of Service in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Analysis	159,479

The cost of services that used to form part of the Income and Expenditure Account now appears in the CIES, and forms part of the Surplus or Deficit. Under IFRS, this figure might be different to that under the SORP, because of changes to the accounting for capital grants. Previously, these were credited to services to match depreciation, whereas capital grants are now credited to taxation and non-specific grant income as they are received (ie not matched with depreciation). This means that service lines won't include capital grant income and also that the Surplus or Deficit may be affected.

Reserves

Reserves – including the General Fund and (where relevant) the Housing Revenue Account – are an indication of the resources available to an authority to deliver services in the future. The key message for members in terms of reserves – especially the General Fund and the HRA – are how the balances have changed over the year, whether the balances are still adequate, and what the balances mean in terms of future budgets and services.

Information on the level of reserves can be found in the [Balance Sheet](#) and related notes, and in the [Movement in Reserves Statement](#) and related notes. This latter statement will be more useful in explaining the changes that have taken place during the year, including contributions to and from earmarked reserves.

The **Balance Sheet** remains under IFRS, and the layout is also very similar to the SORP's Balance Sheet. One difference is that the minimum requirements under IFRS are less detailed than under the SORP. For example, only one line is required for property, plant and equipment – although more details than this can be shown if required. With a few exceptions (a new line for assets held for sale, and the cash line now including 'cash equivalents'), the top half of the IFRS Balance Sheet (assets and liabilities) looks very similar to the SORP Balance Sheet.

The bottom half of the Balance Sheet (reserves) is where the main changes have occurred. The key figures are as follows:

	Note	31 March 2011 £'000
Usable Reserves	9/22	(168,962)
Unusable Reserves	23	(516,850)
Total Reserves		(685,812)

The minimum requirement is to include only two lines – usable reserves (such as General Fund and earmarked reserves) and unusable reserves (such as the Revaluation Reserve and the Capital Adjustment Account).

Other Reserves can be shown on the balance sheet as long as these totals are shown

Whilst the Balance Sheet aims to show those Reserves over which members have control, some of the unusable will become a charge against the revenue account – or usable reserves – over time. In some cases this might be within a year or two.

Not all reserves can be used to deliver services, and the Code reflects this by reporting reserves in two groups – 'usable' and 'unusable' reserves. Usable reserves such as the General Fund and earmarked reserves are those where members will be involved in deciding on the levels maintained, and their use. Unusable reserves such as the Revaluation Reserve and the Capital Adjustment Account aren't subject to such member influence.

Cash flows

The final statement required by the Code is the **Cash Flow Statement**. Although similar to the SORP Cash Flow Statement, the cash flows of an authority are presented over fewer headings under IFRS than under SORP. Consequently, the statement will be quite short if the minimum presentation is used. A key difference is that the statement balances to the movement in 'cash and cash equivalents', not just to the movement in cash. The indirect method could look like this:

	2010/2011 £'000	
Net (surplus) or deficit on the provision of services	(116,624)	Surplus or Deficit taken from Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement
Adjust net surplus or deficit on the provision of services for non cash movement	92,174	
Adjust for items included in the net surplus or deficit on the provision of services that are investing and financing activities	(18,976)	3 groups of transactions - Operating - Investing - Financing
Net cash flows from operating activities	(43,426)	
Investing activities	33,021	
Financing Activities	(13,233)	
Net (increase) or decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(23,638)	Cash and cash equivalents figure in the Balance Sheet
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the reporting period	44,882	
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the reporting period	21,244	

IFRS questions and answers

IFRS – what is it?

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) are a suite of accounting standards used across the world. IFRS is the international equivalent of the Financial Reporting Standards (FRSs) used until now in the UK.

Why move to IFRS?

In the 2007 Budget, the then-chancellor announced that the UK Public Sector would adopt IFRS, as this was seen as best practice and allowed for international comparisons to be made.

It was also a question of timing. The UK Accounting Standards Board (ASB) has been reviewing the future of UK GAAP and in the short to medium term all but the smallest organisations will be producing accounts based on IFRS.

Why does IFRS change everything?

It doesn't. Recent UK standards have been based on IFRS, so many requirements are unchanged. There are differences, and the work required to reflect these changes shouldn't be underestimated, but for many transactions, there is little or no change as shown in the table on page 1.

The accounts are already too long – and IFRS will make them worse.

Yes, the accounts can be long, but local authorities have a complex story to tell. IFRS does introduce more disclosures. But notes only need to be produced if they are material - leaving out notes that aren't material or required by legislation is a good start.

The Pension deficit is meaningless – why do we have to show it?

The deficit doesn't have to be funded from this year's budget, but it's still a true cost – it represents the amount that will need to be found from future budgets to pay for pension entitlements already incurred in delivering services. So it's a real call on future funding. Not showing this would hide the liability that the authority has incurred.

This also applies to other reserves. Like the Pension Reserve, the Capital Adjustment Account, the Unequal Pay Back Pay Account and similar reserves all do one thing: they hold expenditure that the authority has incurred but not yet financed. Think of them as being a bit like a credit card balance - these amounts will have to be funded in future, either from taxation or from usable reserves.

Concerns have been expressed that all these reserves make the Balance Sheet incomprehensible. But all that needs to be shown on the Balance Sheet itself are 'Usable Reserves' and 'Unusable Reserves' – the details can all go in a note. This will help to de-clutter the Balance Sheet.

A lot of detail is required in relation to employees' pay. Is it really needed?

Yes - it's a legal requirement. It's also in line with the rest of the public sector, and in the current climate of transparency, local authorities can't be seen to be less open than everyone else.