#### PLANNING AND HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE - 24 FEBRUARY 2009

## NEWBOTTLE VILLAGE CONSERVATION AREA: CHARACTER APPRAISAL & MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

#### REPORT OF DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT AND REGENERATION

#### 1.0 Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To seek the comments of this Committee on the 'Newbottle Village Conservation Area Character Appraisal & Management Strategy', prior to its presentation to Cabinet to:-
  - report on the outcome of consultations on the draft version of the Character Appraisal and Management Strategy;
  - adopt the revised document as Formal Planning Guidance.

#### 2.0 Background

- 2.1 The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (LB&CA) Act 1990 defines Conservation Areas as "areas of special architectural and historic interest, the character and appearance of which it is desirable to preserve and enhance". The Act stipulates that Local Authorities are under a duty to formulate and publish proposals for the preservation and enhancement of their conservation areas.
- 2.2 The Council also has an obligation under the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) Policy B4 to produce supplementary guidance in the form of character appraisals for conservation areas in the City. This reflects national planning guidance in Planning Policy Guidance Note (PPG) 15 'Planning and the Historic Environment' which encourages Local Authorities to prepare detailed assessments of the special interest, character and appearance of their conservation areas. Such documents may also contain proposals for preserving and enhancing the character of a conservation area.
- 2.3 The Council's performance in preparing up-to-date character appraisals for its conservation areas is currently the subject of the heritage "Best Value Performance Indicator" (BV219). The purpose of BV219 is to monitor local authorities' performance in relation to Sections 71 and 72 of the above Act.
- 2.4 The Newbottle Village Character Appraisal and Management Strategy is the eighth in a series of such studies that will address all fourteen of the City's conservation areas. It fulfils the Council's duties and obligations under the Planning (LB & CA) Act 1990. It will also help to satisfy the above BVPI target for 2008/09.

#### 3.0 Current Position

- 3.1 Newbottle Village Conservation Area is centred around the heart of the former medieval village of Newbottle. It is a genuine old English Village set high on a hill top within a rural landscape, commanding superb views of the surrounding lands. The Village contains numerous listed buildings, including fine 18<sup>th</sup> century houses alongside former farmhouses and barns, interspersed with 19<sup>th</sup> century colliery terraces and 20<sup>th</sup> century modern housing developments. As with other conservation areas in the city, the integrity and character of the area is coming under increasing pressure from householder and commercial property alterations and new housing developments. The Council's planning powers allow it to exercise tight controls over works to Listed Buildings, however, its powers to conserve unlisted buildings and other features in the Conservation Area are limited.
- 3.2 A Character Appraisal and Management Strategy (CAMS), adopted as formal Planning Guidance, would strengthen the Council's policies for the Conservation Area and help to protect its best features, including historic buildings, significant green spaces and mature trees, from the potentially adverse effects of property alteration and new development.
- 3.3 The draft Newbottle Village CAMS follows the relevant guidance set out in the joint Office for the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM) (now the Department for Communities and Local Government)/ English Heritage publications 'Guidance on conservation area appraisals' and 'Guidance on the management of conservation areas' (2006). Part 1 of the document, the 'Character Appraisal', identifies and appraises the characteristics and features that give the Conservation Area its special interest. Part 2, the 'Management Strategy', addresses in detail the issues raised in the Character Appraisal by establishing objectives and proposals to secure the future preservation and enhancement of the Conservation Area's special character.
- 3.4 The draft document has now been subject to public consultation. Ward Councillors, the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transportation and Historic Environment Champion, and relevant service providers within the council, were consulted on the draft document by memorandum on the 24<sup>th</sup> October 2008 prior to it being exposed to public consultation. A letter and compact disc of the draft document was subsequently sent to all residents, businesses and other property occupiers in the Conservation Area and a range of organisations and interested parties, including English Heritage, national and local heritage societies and local architects. Paper copies of the document were also available on request from the Council's Conservation Team and available for viewing at the Civic Centre, Houghton Library and the City Library.
- 3.5 A public exhibition was held at St Matthew's Church Hall on the 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2008 to discuss the Management Proposals with property owners and occupiers in the Conservation Area.
- 3.6 The period of consultation expired on 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2008 and the Character Appraisal and Management Strategy has now been modified in light of the

- representations received. A summary of the responses and modifications is given below.
- 3.7 Further engagement with Ward Councillors was also carried out to discuss some additional issues they wished to raise. Officers of the Conservation Team met with Councillors on the 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2009.

#### 4.0 Summary of Consultation Responses and Modifications

- 4.1 In all, 5 written representations have been received out of a total of over 220 consultation letters sent. Four responses were received from local residents and one from English Heritage. Thirty-six people attended the public exhibition; notes were taken covering the main issues raised in the discussions. Further comments were also expressed verbally from Ward Councillors.
- 4.2 There was largely a high degree of support for the document from residents, who were very proud of their village and expressed much interest in conserving the Conservation Area. Various minor additions and amendments to the document's text have been made in light of the comments received (see appended schedule). The comments of the Ward Councillors and corresponding modifications to the document are incorporated in the schedule.
- 4.3 Some issues of concern were raised, most repeatedly about the condition of some of the privately owned roads in the area. Some additional text has been added to the document to acknowledge this issue and to note that Council policy stipulates that where residents can contribute their costs of making-up a private road, the Council will consider exercising its powers to make-up and adopt the road. However, this matter is outside the scope of the CAMS.
- 4.4 The schedule attached to this report summarises the responses received and, where appropriate, the corresponding modifications made to the document. A summary list of external consultees is also appended. Copies of the final (revised) version of the Newbottle Village Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Strategy are available in the Members' library.

#### 5. Recommendation

5.1 The Committee is invited to make comments on the Newbottle Village Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Strategy.

#### 6. Background Papers

- Adopted City of Sunderland Unitary Development Plan.
- Planning Policy Guidance Note (PPG) 15 'Planning and the Historic Environment'.
- ODPM / English Heritage publication 'Guidance on conservation area appraisals'.
- ODPM / English Heritage publication 'Guidance on the management of conservation areas'.
- Draft Newbottle Village Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Strategy.
- Responses to public consultation.

# Appendix 1: Schedule of Consultation Responses and Action Taken – Newbottle Village Conservation Area Character Appraisal & Management Strategy

Written Representations			
Consultee	Comments	Action / reason for no action	
<u>Heritage</u>			
<u>Organisations</u>			
English Heritage	No specific comment.	No action required.	
<u>Architects</u>			
None			
Local Businesses			
/ Residents			
Local resident 1	Requested consideration be given to extending Conservation Area to the west to include the green space adjacent Grange View.	No action required. Possible boundary extensions are discussed and discounted on page 30 of the document. The area of land concerned does not contribute to the special architectural or historic interest of the Conservation Area. Additional text has however been added to page 16 noting the visual impact of the land on approaching the Conservation Area from the west. Extent of panoramic views to west also widened on map on page 15.	
Local resident 2	Supportive of all the proposals in the document. Suggested that stone copings be placed on top of the boundary walls of the modern infill developments in Front Street to link with the older houses. Suggested that the piece of village green outside of Dial House be reinstated.	No action required. Such works would be at the discretion of individual householders and would not necessarily be appropriate in the context of the historic street scene. Text added to page 11 noting unfortunate effects of enclosure of parts of village green. Issue currently under investigation by Council's Enforcement Team.	
Local resident 3	Raised concern over condition of unadopted roads around Lily Terrace and associated problems of crossing the unmaintained road surface and access for emergency vehicles.	Additional wording inserted into document on pages 27 and 31 raising issue and potential solutions. Outside scope of CAMS but is being considered by appropriate service area within Development and Regeneration.	
Local resident 4	Suggested urgent action required to improve condition of roadway / wasteland around Lily Terrace / Bertha Terrace.  Noted need for continued maintenance of village green. Noted need for continued maintenance of limestone walls bordering the village.	Additional wording inserted into document on pages 27 and 31 raising issue and potential solutions. Outside scope of CAMS but is being considered by appropriate service area within Development and Regeneration.  No action required. Issue addressed in Proposal 2a on page 38 of document.  No action required. Issue addressed in Proposal 2e on page 39 of document.	

/ reason for no action
onal wording inserted into document jes 27 and 31 raising issue and
nal wording inserted into

No 3	upgrade the road surface and adopt it.  Objected to any development to the north of the village.  Supported Proposal 3a but queried	but is being considered by appropriate service area within Development and Regeneration.  No action required. Issue addressed in proposal 1a on page 37 of document.  No action required. Issue to be referred to
	whether a 20mph speed limit could be introduced in the village. Supported proposed Article 4(2) Direction but queried whether it can make residents remove their plastic windows.	Transportation and Engineering.  No action required. Was explained to attendee that Direction cannot be used retrospectively to reverse works carried out prior to the Direction being put in place.
No's 4 & 5	Commented that the document and exhibition was very interesting.	No action required.
No 6	Expressed concern over the use of terms such as 'not appropriate' or 'insensitive' when referring to modern developments in the village.	No action required. Explained to attendee that such terms are used in the context of a building's impact on the village's fundamental historic character and is not a reference to the quality of the building in itself.
	Suggested improvements required to back lane of Garden Street.  Noted lack of public seating in the Conservation Area.	Outside scope of document. Issue to be referred to Transportation and Engineering. No action required. Issue of street furniture addressed in Proposal 3a on page 40 of document.
No. 7	Raised issue of micro-generation, stressed importance of making it easy for people to use sustainable / renewable energy.	Permitted Development Rights exist for certain renewable energy systems i.e. solar panels and are generally encouraged; however, the use of such systems has to be balanced against their impact on the character of listed/historic buildings. Listed Building Controls and Article 4(2) Directions, such as that proposed on page 43 of the document (Proposal 5a), are effective means of ensuring an appropriate balance is achieved.
No 7	No comment.	No action required.
No's 8 & 9	Raised concern over condition of unadopted roads around Lily Terrace / Bertha Terrace.	Additional wording inserted into document on pages 27 and 31 raising issue and potential solutions. Outside scope of CAMS but is being considered by appropriate service area within Development and Regeneration.
No 10	Raised concern over condition of unadopted roads around Lily Terrace and associated problems for people in wheelchairs.	Additional wording inserted into document on pages 27 and 31 raising issue and potential solutions. Outside scope of CAMS but is being considered by appropriate service area within Development and Regeneration.
No's 11 & 12	No comment.	No action required.
No 13	Queried what was happening on Gurteen's Yard site.	Explained to attendee that Planning Permission has been granted for a development of 8 townhouses. This is noted on page 46 of document.
No 14	Noted that Guteen's yard site is an eyesore and encouraged its redevelopment.	No action required. Site identified on map on page 33 of document as a development opportunity.
No 15	Commended the Council for taking such an interest in the village and commented on the excellent quality of the photographs in the document.	No action required.

No's 16, 17 & 18	Cuganated a pand for mare shape in the	Outside seems of desument Noted however
NOS 10, 17 & 10	Suggested a need for more shops in the	Outside scope of document. Noted however that new play park has recently been built
	village and a play park.	on land adjacent Newbottle School just
		outside the boundary of the Conservation
No 19	No comment.	Area.  No action required.
No 20	Fully supportive of document and the	No action required.
110 20	proposals within it.	No action required.
No 21	Requested consideration be given to	No action required. Possible boundary
	extending Conservation Area to the west	extensions are discussed and discounted
	to include the green space adjacent	on page 30 of the document. The area of
	Grange View.	land concerned does not contribute to the
		special architectural or historic interest of
		the Conservation Area.
No 22	Noted poor condition of unadopted roads	Additional wording inserted into document
	around Lily Terrace / Bertha Terrace and	on pages 27 and 31 raising issue and
	queried why Council will not adopt the	potential solutions. Outside scope of CAMS
	roads.	but is being considered by appropriate
		service area within Development and
	Noted that all stores at alternative	Regeneration.
	Noted that allotment site no longer	Text on pages 21 and 37 and Proposal 2d
	contains allotment gardens but is instead used as stables.	on page 39 amended accordingly.
No's 23 & 24	Supportive of proposed reinstatement of	No action required. Issue of access
	parts of village green (Proposal 3a), but	requirements acknowledged on page 40 of
	noted access to houses must be	document as part of Proposal 3a.
	maintained.	ассынства рыссы торосы сы
	Queried whether lorries could be	Outside scope of document. Issue to be
	prevented from using Front Street.	referred to Transportation and Engineering.
No's 25, 26, 27 &	Queried whether Council would be	No action required. Issue addressed in
28	providing any grants for restoration works.	Proposal 5c on page 45 of document and
		further discussed on page 47.
	Questioned why there were no recreation	Outside scope of document. Issue of play
	areas for children in the village.	park to be referred to Community and
		Cultural services.
No's 29 & 30	Supported Proposal 1b to remove poles	No action required.
	and overhead wires in village.	5
	Noted that Garden Street was not	Relevant text deleted on page 27 of
	originally known as Cross Street.	document.
	Queried why Newsagents was featured in	Explained to attendee that the Newsagents'
	document under Proposal 3c concerning	is featured as a good example of signage.
	strict controls over advertising.	Caption to be added to photograph on page
	Queried whether the owner of Dial House	41 to make this more explicit.  Text added to page 11 noting unfortunate
	was allowed to plant the hedge round the	effects of enclosure of parts of village
	section of the village green to the front of	green. Issue currently under investigation
	the house.	by Council's Enforcement Team.
No 31	Expressed support for the proposed	No action required.
	Article 4(2) Direction.	3 33.011 1043.104.
	Queried the style of new streetlights in	Issue to be discussed with Aurora.
	Garden Street.	
No's 32 & 33	Noted that allotment site no longer	Text on pages 21 and 37 and Proposal 2d
	contains allotment gardens but is instead	on page 39 amended accordingly.
	used as stables.	Outside seems of description to be
	Noted that owner of former Vicarage has	Outside scope of document. Issue to be
	fenced off a public right of way.	referred to Transportation and Engineering.
	Queried the enclosure of part of village	Text added to page 11 noting unfortunate
	green to front of Dial House.	effects of enclosure of parts of village
		green. Issue currently under investigation
	Noted issue of dear facilities in the	by Council's Enforcement Team.
	Noted issue of dog fouling in the	No action required – outside scope of

	Conservation Area.	document.
No 34	Queried the style of new streetlights in	Issue to be discussed with Aurora.
	Garden Street.	
No 35	Queried the proposal (3a) to narrow the carriageway in Front Street, noting that lorries use it and will need space to pass by parked cars.	No action required. Issue of highway requirements acknowledged on page 40 of document as part of Proposal 3a.
No 36	Queried the proposal (3a) to narrow the carriageway in Front Street, noting that the high degree of on-street parking for events such as Church Christenings would need to be taken into account.	Text on page 40 amended to acknowledge on-street parking requirements in Front Street.
	Noted problem of dog fouling in the	No action required – outside scope of
	churchyard to St Matthew's Church.	document.

Comments made by Ward Councillors		
Councillor	Comments	Action / reason for no action
Councillors C. Wakefield, D.	Requested consideration be given to extending Conservation Area to the west	No action required. Possible boundary extensions are discussed and discounted
Smith & S. Ellis.	to include the green space adjacent Grange View.	on page 30 of the document. The area of land concerned does not contribute to the special architectural or historic interest of the Conservation Area. Additional text has however been added to page 16 noting the visual impact of the land on approaching the Conservation Area from the west. Extent of panoramic views to west also
	Queried the style of new streetlights in Garden Street.	widened on map on page 15. Issue to be discussed with Aurora.
	Noted adverse impact of extensions, and associated chillers units and roller shutter, to side of Newbottle Workmens Club.	Text added to page 18 to acknowledge the adverse impact.
	Queried protection of some trees around perimeter of Conservation Area.	Issue being investigated by Council's arboricultural officer.

### Appendix 2 – List of external consultees

National Organisations / local amenity groups	Architects	Residents / businesses
English Heritage	Frank E. Hodgson	All owners and occupiers in
Victorian Society	John D. Waugh	the Conservation Area.
The Georgian Group	Gerard McCormack	
Twentieth Century Society	Jane Darbyshire & David Kendal	
Institute of Historic Building Conservation	William D. Kirtley	
Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings	Mario Minchella Architects	
Department for Culture, Media and Sport	Napper Architects	
Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment	Red Box Design Group	
Sunderland Civic Society	Anthony Watson Chartered Architect	
Sunderland Antiquarian Society	Purves Ash LLP	
Sunderland Heritage & Local History Forum	Mackellar Schwerdt Partnership	
Sunderland Old Township Heritage Society	Jeff Park Building Consultancy Services	
North of England Civic Trust	Gray, Fawdon & Riddle Architects	
Living History North East	Howarth Litchfield	
Grace McCombie, Buildings Historian	HLB Architects	
Houghton & District Local History Group	Fitz Architects	
	Planit Design	
	Ward Hadaway Solicitors	