

WASHINGTON AREA COMMITTEE
10TH April 2014
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET – PART I

Title of Report:

Strategic Initiative Budget (SIB) and Community Chest - Financial Statement and proposals for further allocation of resources

Author(s):

Chief Executive

Purpose of Report:

Area Committee has delegated budgets to allocate to specific strategic priorities identified in the Local Area Plan, with the overall aim to benefit the wider community and to attract other funding into the area. The report provides a financial statement as an up date position on progress in relation to allocating SIB, and Community Chest and presents proposals for further funding requests.

Description of Decision:

The Committee is requested to:-

1. Note the financial statements set out in sections 2.1 and 3.1
2. Approve SIB funding of £7000 for the Health Champions Dementia Awareness Module as detailed in **Item 8 Annex 1**
3. Defer the SIB application from the Washington Trust for the reason as detailed in **Item 8 Annex 1**
4. Approve the award of SIB funding of £10,000 (Call for Projects) for the Washington Illuminations Project as detailed in **Item 8 Annex 2** to Sunderland Live
5. Approve the award of SIB funding of £10,000 (Call for Projects) for the Washington village Christmas Festival as detailed in **Item 8 Annex 2** to Sunderland Live
6. Note the allocation of £13,894 SIB (2013/14 budget Call for Projects) to support Round 1 applications for the Washington 50 Community Programme as detailed in **Item 8 Annex 3**
7. Note the 11 Community Chest approvals supported from 2013/2014 Community Chest as detailed in **Item 8 Annex 4**

Is the decision consistent with the Budget/Policy Framework?

Yes

Suggested reason(s) for Decision:

The Area Committee has an allocation of £306,507 for 2014/2015 from the Strategic Initiatives Budget to promote action on key priorities identified in the relevant Local Area Plan and to attract other funding into the area.

Alternative options to be considered and recommended to be rejected:

The circumstances are such that there are no realistic alternatives that could be considered.

Is this a “Key Decision” as defined in the Constitution? No

Is it included in the Forward Plan?

No

Relevant Scrutiny Committees: