Sunderland City Council

Item No. 5

AUDIT AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE 26 September 2014

TREASURY MANAGEMENT – SECOND QUARTERLY REVIEW 2014/2015

Report of the Head of Financial Resources

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 To report on the Treasury Management (TM) performance for the second quarter of 2014/2015.

2. Description of Decision

2.1 The Committee is requested to:

- Note the Treasury Management performance during Quarter 2 of 2014/2015.

- Note the Lending List Criteria at Appendix B, the Approved Lending List at Appendix C and the Risk Management Review of Treasury Management at Appendix D.

3. Introduction

3.1 This report sets out the Treasury Management performance to date for the second quarter of the financial year 2014/2015, in accordance with the requirements of the Treasury Management Policy and Strategy agreed by Council.

4. Review of Treasury Management Performance for 2014/2015 – Quarter 2

4.1 The Council's Treasury Management function continues to look at ways to maximise financial savings and increase investment return to the revenue budget. There was a large fall in PWLB borrowing rates in July and August as investors sought lower risk investment options following the escalation of the conflict in Ukraine and expectations of further banking interventions within the Eurozone. As PWLB rates were at a historically low level, it was decided to take advantage of these rates, to support the Council's Capital Programme requirements, and borrow £20m. This will help to keep the Council's interest rate on borrowing at its low level and will benefit the Council's revenue budget over the longer term.

4.2 One option to make savings is through debt rescheduling, however no rescheduling has been possible in 2014/15 as rates have not been considered sufficiently favourable. It should be noted the Council's interest rate on borrowing is very low, currently 3.42%, and as such the Council continues to benefit from this low cost of borrowing and from the ongoing savings from past debt rescheduling exercises. Performance continues to see the Council's rate of borrowing in the lowest quartile as compared to other authorities.

4.3 Treasury Management Prudential Indicators are regularly reviewed and the Council is within the limits set for all of its TM Prudential Indicators. The statutory limit under section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2003, which is required to be reported separately, (also known as the Authorised Borrowing Limit for External Debt) was set at £440.123m for 2014/2015. The Council's maximum borrowing position at 12th September 2014 was £217.488m and is well within this limit. More details of all of the TM Prudential Indicators are set out in section A2 of Appendix A for information.

4.4 The investment policy is regularly monitored and reviewed to ensure it has flexibility to take full advantage of any changes in market conditions which will benefit the Council.

4.5 As at 12th September 2014, the funds managed by the Council's Treasury Management team have achieved a rate of return on its investments of 0.70% compared with the benchmark 7 Day LIBID (London Interbank Bid) rate of 0.35%. Performance is positive and is significantly above the benchmark rate, whilst still adhering to the prudent policy agreed by the Council, in what remains a very challenging market at the moment.

4.6 The rate of return on investments as previously reported has remained at very low levels compared to previous years, mainly due to UK-based financial institutions having accessed funding from alternative sources (such as the Government's Funding for Lending Scheme) to increase their capital/cash reserves in line with regulatory requirements. Consequently demand for local authority funds and investment rates have reduced and there is little prospect of an upturn until the Bank of England begin to increase the base rate. Even special tranche investment rates (which offer better than market average returns) have followed this downward trend. The implication is that returns on investments will be below those achieved in recent years.

The prospect of a base rate rise early next year however, means that rates are being carefully monitored and that mainly short-term investments are currently being made so that the council can take full advantage of the expected increase in rates when it does occur.

4.7 More detailed Treasury Management information is included in Appendix A for Members' information.

4.8 The regular updating of the Council's authorised lending list is required to take into account financial institution mergers and changes in institutions' credit ratings. The Approved Lending List as shown in Appendix C.

4.9 In accordance with Treasury Management Best Practice, a risk analysis of the Treasury Management functions has been carried out and included at Appendix D for information. This sets out how the Council manages the risks associated with the Treasury Management function.

5. Recommendation

5.1 Members are requested to note the Treasury Management (TM) performance for the second quarter of 2014/2015.

5.2 Members are requested to note the Lending List Criteria at Appendix B, the Approved Lending List at Appendix C and the Risk Management Review of Treasury Management at Appendix D.

Appendix A

Detailed Treasury Management Performance – Quarter 2 2014/2015

A1 Borrowing Strategy and Performance – 2014/15

A1.1 The Borrowing Strategy for 2014/2015 was reported to Cabinet on 12th February 2014 and approved by full Council on 5th March 2014.

The Borrowing Strategy is based upon interest rate forecasts from a wide cross section of City institutions. The view in February 2014, when the Treasury Management Policy and Strategy was drafted, was that the Bank Base Rate would remain at 0.50% until March 2016 before steadily rising to 1.25% by March 2017 and that PWLB borrowing rates would increase during 2014/2015 across all periods.

Comments from the Governor of the Bank of England suggest it is wavering in its view on the timing of any increase to the current 0.50% Bank Rate which has added to the volatility in the markets. Financial markets have now pushed back their expectation on an interest rate rise from late 2014 to the first quarter of 2015, a view shared by the Council's economic advisers, Capita Asset Services. Other economic forecasters believe rates will not increase before the May 2015 General Election, citing depressed wage inflation relative to overall inflation as a strong reason for the Bank to hold back. They argue a rate rise would increase prices and hit workers who have not seen any significant increase in earnings, which in turn could hamper continued economic growth.

Forecasts for PWLB interest rate levels have fallen slightly with benchmark rates of 2.7% for 5 years, 3.4% for 10 years and 4.0% for 25 and 50 years. There are significant geopolitical risks and risks from the Eurozone so all interest rate forecasts at the current time should be viewed with caution. However, the general expectation for an eventual trend of gently rising gilt yields and PWLB rates remains unchanged. Recent safe-haven flows into gilts have depressed gilt yields and PWLB rates, which the Council has taken advantage of. Geopolitical events make forecasting PWLB rates highly unpredictable in the shorter term and these fears could subside with rates returning to previous levels over the coming quarters.

The following table shows the average PWLB rates for Quarters 1 and 2 to date.

2014/2015	Qtr 1* (Apr - Jun) %	Qtr 2* (Jul – 12 Sept) %
7 days notice	0.35	0.35
1 year	1.29*	1.43*
5 year	2.66*	2.70*
10 year	3.56*	3.45*
25 year	4.22*	4.04*
50 year	4.18*	4.01*

*rates take account of the 0.2% discount to PWLB rates available to eligible authorities that came into effect on 1st November 2012.

A1.2 The strategy for 2014/2015 is to adopt a pragmatic approach in identifying the low points in the interest rate cycle at which to borrow, and to respond to any changing circumstances to seek to secure benefit for the Council. A benchmark financing rate of 5.00% for long-term borrowing was set for 2014/2015. Recent volatility in the financial markets has seen considerable movement of funds into gilts with a resulting fall in gilt yields and PWLB rates. PWLB rates for 50 year maturity loans reduced from a highpoint of 4.20% on 7th July to a lowpoint of 3.72% on 29th August and has seen some significant fluctuations especially in the last 2 months in particular. Investors have sought safer investment options following the conflict in Ukraine and more recently expectations of further financial support measures within the Eurozone. In line with discussions with the Council's economic advisors, the Council has sought to take advantage of the low borrowing rate troughs that occurred over this period, which will benefit the revenue budget over the longer term. As a result the Council has taken out £20 million of new borrowing during the quarter as these rates were considered opportune at different stages during the period. The new borrowing is summarised in the following table:

Duration	**Date of the transaction**	**Start**	**Matures**	**Rate %**	**Loan Amount £m**
50 years	08/08/2014	12/08/2014	12/08/2064	3.84	10.0
50 years	29/08/2014	02/09/2014	02/09/2064	3.72	10.0

Since taking out this new borrowing, rates have gradually risen with the corresponding 50 year loan rate standing at 3.94% as at 12th September 2014.

A1.3 The Borrowing Strategy for 2014/2015 made provision for debt rescheduling but due to the proactive approach taken by the Council in recent years, and because of the very low underlying rate of the Council's long-term debt, it would be difficult to refinance long-term loans at interest rates lower than those already in place.

Rates have not been sufficiently favourable for rescheduling in 2014/2015 so far and the Treasury Management team will continue to monitor market conditions and secure early redemption if appropriate opportunities arise. Any rescheduling undertaken will be reported to Cabinet in line with the current Treasury Management reporting procedures.

The Council successfully applied to access PWLB loans at a discount of 0.20%. This certainty rate is available for those authorities that provide "improved information and transparency on their locally determined long-term borrowing and associated capital spending plans". The discount came into effect on 1st November 2012 and the Council has been successful in extending its access to the PWLB certainty rate until 31st October 2015.

A1.4 The Council's treasury portfolio position at 12th September 2014 is set out below:

		Principal (£m)	**Total (£m)**	**Average Rate (%)**
Borrowing				
Fixed Rate Funding	PWLB	147.9		
	Market	39.6		
	Other	2.4	189.9	3.86
Variable Rate Funding	Temporary / Other		27.6	0.41
Total Borrowing			**217.5**	**3.42**

A2 Treasury Management Prudential Indicators – 2014/2015

A2.1 All external borrowing and investments undertaken in 2014/2015 have been subject to the monitoring requirements of the Prudential Code. Under the Code, Authorities must set borrowing limits (Authorised Borrowing Limit for External Debt and Operational Boundary for External Debt) and must also report on the Council's performance for all of the other TM Prudential Indicators.

A2.2 The statutory limit under section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2003 (which is also known as the Authorised Borrowing Limit for External Debt) was set by the Council for 2014/2015 as follows:

	£m
Borrowing	410.945
Other Long-Term Liabilities	29.178
Total	**440.123**

The Operational Boundary for External Debt was set as shown below:-

	£m
Borrowing	302.575
Other Long Term Liabilities	29.178
Total	**331.753**

The maximum external debt in respect of borrowing in 2014/15 (to 12th September 2014) was £217.488 million (which includes borrowing in respect of other organisations such as Tyne and Wear Fire and Rescue Authority but excludes other long-term liabilities such as PFI and Finance leases which already include borrowing instruments) and is well within the borrowing limits set by both of these indicators.

A2.3 The table below shows that all other Treasury Management Prudential Indicators have been complied with:

Prudential Indicators		**2014/2015 (to 12/09/14)**	
		Limit £'000	**Actual £'000**
P10	**Upper limit for fixed interest rate exposure**		
	Net principal re fixed rate borrowing / investments	250,000	90,181
P11	**Upper limit for variable rate exposure**		
	Net principal re variable rate borrowing / investments	60,000	-26,785
P12	**Maturity Pattern**	Upper Limit	
	Under 12 months	50%	19.57%
	12 months and within 24 months	60%	0.66%
	24 months and within 5 years	80%	4.60%
	5 years plus	100%	81.27%
	A lower limit of 0% for all periods		
P13	**Upper limit for total principal sums invested for over 364 days**	75,000	0

A3 Investment Strategy – 2014/2015

A3.1 The Investment Strategy for 2014/2015 was approved by Council on 5th March 2014. The general policy objective for the Council is the prudent investment of its treasury balances. The Council's investment priorities in order of importance are:

(A) The **security** of capital;
(B) The **liquidity** of its investments and then;
(C) The Council aims to achieve the **optimum yield** on its investments but this is commensurate with the proper levels of security and liquidity.

A3.2 As at 12th September 2014, the funds managed by the Council's in-house team amounted to £214.752 million and all investments complied with the Annual Investment Strategy. This includes monies invested on behalf of the North Eastern Local Enterprise Partnership for whom Sunderland City Council is the accountable body. The table below shows the return received on these investments compared with the benchmark 7 Day LIBID (London Interbank Bid) rate, which the Council uses to assess its performance.

	2014/2015 Actual to 12/09/14 %	**2014/2015 Benchmark to 12/09/14 %**
Return on investments	0.70	0.35

A3.3 Investments placed in 2014/2015 have been made in accordance with the approved investment strategy and comply with the Counterparty Criteria in place, shown in Appendix B, that is used to identify organisations on the Approved Lending List.

A3.4 The investment policy is regularly monitored and reviewed to ensure it has flexibility to take full advantage of any changes in market conditions to the Council's advantage.

A3.5 Investment rates available in the market have continued at historically low levels.

A3.6 Due to the continuing high volatility within the financial markets, particularly in the Eurozone, advice from our Treasury Management advisers is to continue to restrict investments with all financial institutions for shorter term periods.

A3.7 Advice also continues that the above guidance is not applicable to institutions considered to be very low risk because the government holds shares in these organisations (i.e. Lloyds and RBS) which have a AA+ rating applied to them, or in respect of Money Market Funds which are AAA rated.

A3.8 The regular updating of the Council's authorised lending list is required to take into account financial institution mergers and changes in institutions' credit ratings. The Approved Lending List is shown in Appendix C and has been updated with notified changes to credit ratings.

Lending List Criteria **Appendix B**

Counterparty Criteria

The Council takes into account not only the individual institution's credit ratings issued by all three credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's), but also all available market data and intelligence, the level of government support and advice from its Treasury Management advisers.

Set out below are the criteria to be used in determining the level of funds that can be invested with each institution. Where an institution is rated differently by the rating agencies, the lowest rating will determine the level of investment.

Fitch / S&P's Long Term Rating	Fitch Short Term Rating	S&P's Short Term Rating	Moody's Long Term Rating	Moody's Short Term Rating	Maximum Deposit £m	Maximum Duration
AAA	F1+	A1+	Aaa	P-1	110	2 Years
AA+	F1+	A1+	Aa1	P-1	90	2 Years
AA	F1+	A1+	Aa2	P-1	40	364 days
AA-	F1+ / F1	A1+ / A-1	Aa3	P-1	20	364 days
A+	F1	A-1	A1	P-1	10	364 days
A	F1 / F2	A-1 / A-2	A2	P-1 / P-2	10	364 days
A-	F1 / F2	A-2	A3	P-1 / P-2	5	6 months
Local Authorities (limit for each local authority)					30	2 years
UK Government (including debt management office, gilts and treasury bills)					90	2 years
Money Market Funds Maximum amount to be invested in Money Market Funds is £80m with a maximum of £40m in any one fund.					80	Liquid Deposits
Local Authority controlled companies (# duration limited to 20 years in accordance with Capital Regulations)					20	# 20 years

Where the UK Government holds a shareholding in an institution the UK Government's credit rating of AA+ will be applied to that institution to determine the amount the Council can place with that institution for a maximum period of 2 years.

Where any banks / building societies are part of the UK Government's Credit Guarantee scheme (marked with * in the Approved Lending List), these counterparties will have an AA rating applied to them thus giving them a credit limit of £40 million for a maximum period of 364 days

The Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services recommends that consideration should also be given to country, sector, and group limits in addition to the individual limits set out above, these new limits are as follows:

Country Limit
It is proposed that only countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA+ by all three rating agencies will be considered for inclusion on the Approved Lending List.

It is also proposed to set a total limit of £40 million which can be invested in other countries provided they meet the above criteria. A separate limit of £350m will be applied to the United Kingdom and is based on the fact that the government has done and is willing to take action to protect the UK banking system.

Country	**Limit £m**
UK	350
Non UK	40

Sector Limit
The Code recommends a limit be set for each sector in which the Council can place investments. These limits are set out below:

Sector	**Limit £m**
Central Government	350
Local Government	350
UK Banks	350
UK Building Societies	150
Money Market Funds	80
Foreign Banks	40

Group Limit
Where institutions are part of a group of companies e.g. Lloyds Banking Group, Santander and RBS, then total limit of investments that can be placed with that group of companies will be determined by the highest credit rating of a counterparty within that group, unless the government rating has been applied. This will apply provided that:

- the government's guarantee scheme is still in place;
- the UK continues to have a sovereign credit rating of AA+; and
- that market intelligence and professional advice is taken into account.

Proposed group limits are set out in Appendix C.

Approved Lending List **Appendix C**

	Fitch				Moody's			Standard & Poor's			
	L Term	S Term	Viability	Support	L Term	S Term	Fin Strength Rating	L Term	S Term	Limit £m	Max Deposit Period
UK	AA+	-	-	-	Aa1	-	-	AAA	-	350	2 years
Lloyds Banking Group (see Note 1)										**Group Limit 90**	
Lloyds Banking Group plc	A	F1	a-	1	A2	-	-	A-	A-2	90	2 years
Lloyds Bank Plc	A	F1	a-	1	A1	P-1	C-	A	A-1	90	2 years
Bank of Scotland Plc	A	F1	a-	1	A1	P-1	C-	A	A-1	90	2 years
Royal Bank of Scotland Group (See Note 1)										**Group Limit 90**	
Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc	A	F1	bbb	1	Baa2	P-2	-	BBB+	A-2	90	2 years
The Royal Bank of Scotland Plc	A	F1	bbb	1	Baa1	P-2	D+	A-	A-2	90	2 years
National Westminster Bank Plc	A	F1	bbb	1	Baa1	P-2	D+	A-	A-2	90	2 years
Ulster Bank Ltd	A-	F1	ccc	1	Baa3	P-3	E+	BBB+	A-2	90	2 years
Santander Group *										**Group Limit 40**	
Santander UK plc	A	F1	a	1	A2	P-1	C-	A	A-1	40	364 days
Cater Allen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	364 days
Barclays Bank plc *	A	F1	a	1	A2	P-1	C-	A	A-1	40	364 days
HSBC Bank plc *	AA-	F1+	a+	1	Aa3	P-1	C	AA-	A-1+	40	364 days

Appendix C

	Fitch				Moody's			Standard & Poor's			
	L Term	S Term	Viability	Support	L Term	S Term	Fin Strength Rating	L Term	S Term	Limit £m	Max Deposit Period
Nationwide BS *	A	F1	a	1	A2	P-1	C	A	A-1	40	364 days
Standard Chartered Bank *	AA-	F1+	aa-	1	A1	P-1	B-	AA-	A-1+	40	364 days
Clydesdale Bank / Yorkshire Bank **/***	A	F1	bbb+	1	Baa2	P-2	D+	BBB+	A-2	0	
Co-Operative Bank Plc	B	B	b	5	Caa2	NP	E	-	-	0	
Top Building Societies (by asset value)											
Nationwide BS (see above)											
Yorkshire BS ***	BBB+	F2	bbb+	5	Baa1	P-2	C-	-	-	0	
Coventry BS	A	F1	a	5	A3	P-2	C	-	-	5	6 Months
Skipton BS ***	BBB-	F3	bbb-	5	Ba1	NP	D+	-	-	0	
Leeds BS	A-	F2	a-	5	A3	P-2	C	-	-	5	6 Months
West Bromwich BS ***	-	-	-	-	B2	NP	E+	-	-	0	
Principality BS ***	BBB+	F2	bbb+	5	Ba1	NP	D+	-	-	0	
Newcastle BS ***	BB+	B	bb+	5	-	-	-	-	-	0	
Nottingham BS ***	-	-	-	-	Baa2	P-2	C-	-	-	0	
Foreign Banks have a combined total limit of £40m											
Australia	AAA	-	-	-	Aaa	-	-	AAA		40	364 Days
National Australia Bank	AA-	F1+	aa-	1	Aa2	P-1	B-	AA-	A-1+	20	364 Days
Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd	AA-	F1+	aa-	1	Aa2	P-1	B-	AA-	A-1+	20	364 Days
Commonwealth Bank of Australia	AA-	F1+	aa-	1	Aa2	P-1	B-	AA-	A-1+	20	364 Days
Westpac Banking Corporation	AA-	F1+	aa-	1	Aa2	P-1	B-	AA-	A-1+	20	364 Days

Appendix C

	Fitch				Moody's			Standard & Poor's			
	L Term	S Term	Viability	Support	L Term	S Term	Fin Strength Rating	L Term	S Term	Limit £m	Max Deposit Period
Canada	AAA	-	-	-	Aaa	-	-	AAA	-	40	364 Days
Bank of Nova Scotia	AA-	F1+	aa-	1	Aa2	P-1	B-	A+	A-1	10	364 Days
Royal Bank of Canada	AA	F1+	aa	1	Aa3	P-1	C+	AA-	A-1+	20	364 Days
Toronto Dominion Bank	AA-	F1+	aa-	1	Aa1	P-1	B	AA-	A-1+	20	364 Days
Money Market Funds										80	Liquid
Prime Rate Stirling Liquidity	AAA				Aaa			AAA		40	Liquid
Insight Liquidity Fund	AAA							AAA		40	Liquid
Ignis Sterling Liquidity	AAA							AAA		40	Liquid
Deutsche Managed Sterling Fund	-	-	-	-	Aaa	-	-	AAA	-	40	Liquid

Notes

Note 1 **Nationalised / Part Nationalised**
The counterparties in this section will have the UK Government's AA+ rating applied to them thus giving them a credit limit of £90m.

* Banks / Building Societies which are part of the UK Government's Credit Guarantee scheme
The counterparties in this section will have an AA rating applied to them thus giving them a credit limit of £40 million

** The Clydesdale Bank (under the UK section) is owned by National Australia Bank

*** These will be revisited and used only if they meet the minimum criteria (ratings of A- and above)

Any bank which is incorporated in the United Kingdom and controlled by the FSA is classed as a UK bank for the purposes of the Approved Lending List.

Appendix D

Risk Management Review of Treasury Management

Set out below are the risks the Council face as a result of carrying out their Treasury Management functions and the controls that are in place to mitigate those risks:

	Risk	Controls
1.	**Strategic Risk** The Council's strategic objectives could be put at risk if borrowing costs escalated, or investment income was reduced, or there was a combination of the two. This could result in a negative impact on the Council's budget and could ultimately lead to a reduction in resources for front line services.	This risk is mitigated by the adoption of a Treasury Management Strategy approved by the Council in March each year for the next financial year, in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management. The Treasury Management Strategy sets out a borrowing strategy and investment strategy for the year ahead. The strategy is based on the Head of Financial Resources view on the outlook for interest rates, supplemented by the views of leading market forecasters provided by the Council's treasury advisor (currently Capita Asset Services). The strategy also sets the Authorised Borrowing Limit (setting the maximum amount that the Council may borrow) and various prudential indicators to ensure the Treasury Management function is monitored and properly managed and controlled.
2.	**Interest Rate Risk** The risk of fluctuations in interest rates affects both borrowing costs and investment income and could adversely impact on the Council's finances and budget for the year.	The Council manages its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates with a view to minimising its borrowing costs and securing the best rate of return on its investments, having regard to the security of capital, in accordance with its approved Treasury Management Strategy. The risk is mitigated due to the prudent view taken on interest rates adopted in the budget after taking into account the Head of Financial Resources' own view of the financial markets, specialist expert advice, other information from the internet, the Financial Times, other domestic and international economic data, published guidance and Government fiscal policy . A pro-active approach is taken by the Council's Treasury Management team, which closely monitors interest rates on a daily basis and takes necessary actions to help mitigate the impact of interest rate changes over the short, medium and longer term as appropriate.

	Risk	Controls
3.	**Exchange Rate Risk** As a result of the nature of the Council's business, the Council may have an exposure to exchange rate risk from time to time. This will mainly arise from the receipt of income or the incurring of expenditure in a currency other than sterling.	All borrowings and investments are made in sterling and are therefore not subject to exchange rate risk. This risk is minimal as all other foreign exchange transactions are automatically converted into GBP sterling by the Council's bankers on the day of the transaction.
4.	**Inflation Risk** There is a risk that the rate of inflation will impact on interest rates as a direct result of the intervention of the Bank of England to control inflation through the use of interest rates, where inflation rates have exceeded or are projected to exceed the target rates agreed between the Bank of England and Government.	Economic data such as pay, commodities, housing and other prices are monitored by the Council's treasury advisors. These are considered as part of an overall view on the influences on inflation rates, which in turn inform the Council's view on interest rate forecasts when drafting annual budgets and reviewing treasury management performance. Regular meetings are held with treasury advisors to provide updates on economic data to monitor any changes in inflation rates that may influence interest rates so that the Treasury Management Strategy can be revised and updated as necessary and any remedial action taken.
5.	**Counterparty Risk** The Credit Crunch and problems encountered by some authorities with Icelandic Banks has demonstrated that there is a risk of losing funds/investments deposited with counterparties when carrying out its investment strategy activities.	The prime objective of the Council's treasury management activity in this area is the security of the capital sums it invests. Accordingly, counterparty lists and limits reflect a prudent view of the financial strength of the institutions where funds are deposited. The Council also only uses instruments set out in its investment policy and places limits upon the level of investment with the Counterparties approved within the Council's Treasury Management Policy and Strategy Statement. The Head of Financial Resources has delegated authority to amend both the Lending Criteria and the Approved Lending List in response to changes in the financial markets should the need arise and these changes are reported to Cabinet at the next available opportunity.

	Risk	Controls
		The Treasury Management team continually monitor information regarding counterparties using credit ratings, news articles, the internet, the Financial Times, Credit Default Swap prices, professional advice and other appropriate sources to formulate its own view to keep the approved lending list up to date and fully informed, using the latest available information.
6.	**Capital Financing and Refinancing Risk** There is a risk that opportunities for rescheduling of the Council's debt portfolio are constrained.	The risk is currently mitigated as the Council has access to the funds of the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) and has the flexibility to temporarily use internal funds as required. PWLB funding could come under pressure in future years because of the large and increasing amount of public debt incurred by the Government which could see a return to the operation of the PWLB quota system as operated in previous years where Government funding was restricted.
7.	**Statutory and Regulatory Risk** There is a risk that regulations covering Treasury Management will change and the Council fails to respond to those changes.	The Council ensures full compliance with the current legislative requirements under the Local Government Act 2003 and the Prudential Code, which also requires full compliance with the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice. All Treasury Management Prudential Indicators are monitored daily and all Treasury Management practices fully comply with the Code of Practice and this is reported to and agreed by Council.
8.	**Treasury Management Arrangements Risk** There is a risk that the Council does not carry out its Treasury Management function effectively and thereby the Council could suffer financial loss as a result.	This is unlikely to happen because the Treasury Management function is required to ensure the Council can comply with all legislative and regulatory requirements. As such the Council has a well established Treasury Management team that operates under the Head of Financial Resources and is staffed appropriately with a good mix of both well experienced and qualified staff. Training and professional advice is regularly carried out to ensure the team is up to date and that they can inform senior management and Members of all developments and provide the necessary expert advice and guidance in this specialist area of finance.