

**NORTH SUNDERLAND AREA COMMITTEE MEETING
5 JUNE 2008
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET – PART I**

Title of Report:

STRATEGIC INITIATIVES BUDGET (SIB): REGENERATION ISSUES REPORT

Author(s):

CHIEF EXECUTIVE (ACTING)

Purpose of Report:

This report requests Area Committee consideration of proposals for the allocation of Strategic Initiatives Budget (SIB) to support a variety of new initiatives that will benefit the area.

Description of Decision:

The Committee is requested to approve the following:

- i) Fulwell Junior School Centenary Celebrations, requesting an £11,000 contribution from the 2008/9 budget
- ii) Kool Kids requesting a £15,920 contribution from the 2008/9 budget
- iii) Little Tiggers Volunteer Co-ordinator requesting £11,649 six month gap funding from the 2008/9 budget
- iv) Intensive Preventative Family Prevention project requesting a £30,000 contribution from the 2008/9 budget
- v) Woolwich Close Security Improvements requesting a £25,000 contribution from the 2008/9 budget
- vi) Hylton Castle Primary School grounds improvements requesting at £17,550 contribution from the 2008/9 budget
- vii) Eco Schools project requesting a £10,000 contribution from the 2008/9 budget against a citywide application of £40,000
- viii) Kicks SAFC project requesting a £23,000 contribution from the 2008/9 budget
- ix) To approve variation and extension of previously agreed funding for the following projects
 - Phoenix project £4,400
 - European package development project £15,294
 - North community support project £27,417

Is the decision consistent with the Budget/Policy Framework?

Yes

If not, Council approval is required to change the Budget/Policy Framework

Suggested reason(s) for Decision:

Each Regeneration Framework area has been allocated a minimum of £200,000 per annum over the last twelve years from the Strategic Initiatives Budget to promote action on key priorities identified in the relevant Area Regeneration Frameworks, and to attract other funding into the area.

Alternative options to be considered and recommended to be rejected:

None

<p>Is this a “Key Decision” as defined in the Constitution? No</p> <p>Is it included in the Forward Plan? No</p>	<p>Relevant Review Committee: Regeneration Review Committee</p>
--	--