

## PLANNING AND HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE

### AGENDA

Meeting to be held in Committee Room 2 on Thursday, 21<sup>st</sup> March, 2013  
at 5.30 p.m.

ITEM		PAGE
1.	<b>Receipt of Declarations of Interest (if any)</b>	
2.	<b>Apologies for Absence</b>	
3.	<b>Minutes of the last meeting of the Committee held on 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2013</b>  (copy attached)	1
4.	<b>Report of the meeting of the Development Control (North Sunderland) Sub Committee held on 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2013</b>  (copy attached)	9
5.	<b>Report of the meetings of the Development Control (Hetton Houghton and Washington) Sub Committee held on 31<sup>st</sup> January and 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2013</b>  (copies attached)	12

This information can be made available on request in other languages and formats. If you require this, please  
Telephone 0191 561 1044

6. **Report of the meeting of the Development Control (South Sunderland) Sub Committee held on 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2013** 19  
(copy attached)
7. **Reference from Cabinet – 13 March 2013** 23  
**Sunderland City Council Local Development Framework: Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options**  
Report of the Head of Law and Governance (copy attached)

Elaine Waugh,  
Head of Law and Governance,  
Civic Centre  
SUNDERLAND

13<sup>th</sup> March 2013

**At a meeting of the PLANNING AND HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE held in the CIVIC CENTRE on WEDNESDAY, 20<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY, 2013 at 5.30 p.m.**

**Present:-**

Councillor Tye in the Chair

Councillors Ball, Copeland, Curran, Davison, Dixon, Ellis, Francis, E. Gibson, Lauchlan, T. Martin, Padgett, Price, D. Richardson, Thompson, Turton and Wood.

**Declarations of Interest**

**12/02164/FUL & 12/00140/FUL – Biffa Waste Services Ltd, Houghton Le Spring Quarry**

Councillor Ellis made an open declaration on Items 8 & 9 as she had already made her views known in objection to the development and requested to speak against the proposals. Councillor Ellis left the room during the consideration of the items.

**Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors Blackburn, Essl, Howe, F. Miller, Scaplehorn, Scott, P. Watson and D. Wilson.

**Minutes of the last meeting of the Committee held on 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2013.**

1. RESOLVED that the minutes of the last meeting held on 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2013 be confirmed and signed as a correct record.

**Report of the Meeting of the Development Control (North Sunderland) Sub Committee held on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2013**

The report of the meeting of the Development Control (North Sunderland) Sub-Committee held on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2013 (copy circulated) was submitted.

(For copy report – see original minutes)

2. RESOLVED that the report be received and noted.

**Report of the Meeting of the Development Control (Hetton, Houghton and Washington) Sub-Committee held on 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2013**

The report of the meeting of the Development Control (Hetton, Houghton and Washington) Sub-Committee held on 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2013 (copy circulated) was submitted.

(For copy report – see original minutes)

3. RESOLVED that the report be received and noted.

**Report of the Meeting of the Development Control (South Sunderland) Sub-Committee held on 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2013**

The report of the meeting of the Development Control (South Sunderland) Sub-Committee held on 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2013 (copy circulated) was submitted.

(For copy report – see original minutes)

4. RESOLVED that the report be received and noted.

**A19 Low Carbon Enterprise Zone: Local Development Order (Turbine Park & Vehicle Test Centre Site)**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report (copy circulated) to advise the Committee of the responses received following consultation on the A19 Low Carbon Enterprise Zone: Draft Local Development Order (Turbine Park and Vehicle Test Centre site) and sought the Committee's comments on the revised document. The Committee's comments would be reported to Cabinet on 13 March 2013 when approval would be sought for the Local Development Order.

(For copy report – see original minutes)

5. RESOLVED that the Committee considered the A19 Low Carbon Enterprise Zone (Turbine Park and Vehicle Test Centre site) and noted the amendments made in light of responses received during the public consultation and consultation with the Secretary of State.

**Reference from Development Control (Hetton, Houghton and Washington) Sub Committee**

**12/02164/FUL – Installation of a 225mm diameter surface water discharge pipeline incorporating a concrete chamber and outfall – Biffa Waste PLC, Houghton Quarry, Newbottle Street, Houghton Le Spring, DH4 4AU**

(For copy report – see original minutes)

Toni Sambridge, Principal Development Control Officer presented the report and advised that the proposal was for the installation of an underground pipeline from the surface water lagoon to Grasswell Stream.

One letter of representation had been received and the areas of concern were listed in the report along with the Consultee Responses.

In conclusion, the proposed pipeline was considered to comply with the National Planning Policy Framework and Unitary Development Plan policies as set out in the main report and was therefore considered acceptable in principal, subject to the appropriate conditions being imposed and as such it was recommended that the application be approved subject to conditions.

The Chairman introduced Councillor Ellis who wished to speak in opposition to the application.

Councillor Ellis commented that her list of concerns was summed up on page 31 of the Agenda and that the main area of concern was possible flooding. Houghton has had three severe weather events recently and no research had been done to see if the pipe could cope, which may result in a main road being flooded.

Ms Sambridge advised that the Environment Agency had been consulted on the proposals, had no objections and as such had granted a permit.

Councillor Dixon enquired as to what the expected discharge rates would be.

Mike Harty, representing Biffa Waste PLC commented that he did not have the exact figures to hand but it was within the permit regulations.

Councillor Copeland commented she felt the exact figures should have been brought to the meeting as they were asking Members to make a decision based on hearsay.

Councillor Padgett sought assurances that none of the water would be entering the sewerage system.

Colin Clark, Head of Planning and Property advised that discussions were underway between the Environment Agency and Northumbrian Water and that the issues raised by Members would be within the purview of the Environment Agency to manage.

Mr Clark also advised that the technical issues raised were not matters for the planning process.

The Chairman commented that basically this proposal for Members to consider was for the building of a concrete tunnel.

Councillor Francis enquired if research had been carried out on the possibility of organic matter growing in the pipeline which could cause problems during high rainfall.

Ms Sambridge advised that this would be something for the Environment Agency to monitor.

Councillor Wood commented that he would have liked to have had sight of the conditions to be included before making a decision but queried if any Members had put forward an alternative recommendation.

The Chairman referred to the Officers recommendation and enquired if Members wished to propose an alternative. As no alternative recommendations were proposed, it was therefore:-

6. RESOLVED that the application be approved.

#### **Reference from Development Control (Hetton, Houghton and Washington) Sub Committee**

#### **12/00140/FUL – Rock stabilization works to the southern quarry faces in the form of blasting works – Biffa Waste Service Ltd, Houghton Le Spring Quarry, Newbottle Street, Houghton Le Spring, DH4 4AU**

(For copy report – see original minutes)

Ms Sambridge advised that the proposal was for planning permission to undertake rock stabilisation works along the southern perimeter quarry face in the form of blasting works, for health and safety reasons and to enable continued landfill.

23 letters of representation had been received and the main areas of concern were listed and addressed in the report.

Councillor E. Gibson commented that these works were necessary due to Health and Safety reasons.

Councillor T. Martin agreed and commented that the current conditions would be dangerous for anyone working at the site.

The Chairman then introduced Councillor Ellis who wished to speak in opposition to the proposal. Councillor Ellis commented that previous blasting carried out was stopped due to the damage caused to houses and water pipes, etc and this was done in one small area at a time. This proposed blast would be massive and consideration also had to be given to the effect this would have on the rocks as it would inevitably cause further damage and stress.

The site was heavily polluting the environment already and Biffa had stated before that the land was stable yet now want to blast as it is unstable.

Councillor Ellis commented that the report makes it clear that the blasting is for landfill and it was difficult not to argue that this blast had nothing to do with landfill as they were both inextricably linked.

Councillor Ellis also commented that Biffa have now admitted the land is unstable and also admitted to polluting and this Council was required to uphold statutory legislation and had a legal onus to act as well as the Environment Agency.

Ms Sambridge advised that Members needed to consider the application in front of them today on its own merits and that all information contained within the report had been independently verified, so officers were not just taking the applicants word.

The Chairman requested clarification that regardless of what decision was made today, that this application was just to grant one blast and was not to decide what would go in the landfill. Ms Sambridge advised that this was correct.

The Chairman then introduced Gemma Taylor, a local resident who commented that the previous blasting had caused damage to homes and she sought assurance as to who would be liable for any damage that occurs should this proposal be approved.

Ms Taylor also sought confirmation that this blast was for Health and Safety purposes only and was not for the expansion of landfill.

Mike Harty, a representative of Biffa Waste Services Ltd advised that if it was proven damage was caused to properties through the blasting and this was independently verified then action would be taken to remedy the problem.

Ms Sambridge advised that this application was purely for a one off blast and other applications that were pending consideration as to the expansion of the landfill had no bearing on this proposal.

Councillor Copeland suggested residents take photographs of their properties before and after the blasting.

The Chairman introduced Councillor Wakefield, who wished to speak in opposition to the proposal. Councillor Wakefield commented that he felt little consultation had been undertaken and bodies such as 3 Rivers Local Nature Park and Limestone Landscapes should have been included as statutory consultees.

Councillor Wakefield queried if making a decision on this application would be predetermining the other applications that were pending consideration. The report also referred to potential pollution yet Biffa had recently been fined for

polluting ground water, so it was not potential, but proven pollution. There was significant instability around the site as well as ecological damage and Councillor Wakefield requested that at the least, this decision be deferred until the relevant bodies had been consulted.

Councillor T. Martin raised concerns that the blasting could generate another crack if the site was not geologically sound.

Ms Sambridge advised that some of the concerns raised were in a different area to the site under consideration for this proposal and that she was not aware that the consultees Councillor Wakefield had mentioned were statutory consultees but would check on this matter. In respect of Ecology issues, these were not directly related to this application.

Councillor Price enquired as to how long the rock face had been in this condition, what the cause was, and what work was being carried out in the area.

Mr Harty advised that the rock face had been exposed for decades and was in the condition due to 30-40 years of weathering. The rock face was assessed in 2005 and in 2010 they were advised of the need for blasting. At that point Biffa started liaising with the Council. Mr Harty also advised that no one can go within 80 metres of the site as it was very dangerous and was only a matter of time before it falls.

In response to Councillor Price's enquiry, Mr Harty advised that no work had been carried out inside that area and that they needed to work beneath the rock face to finish it off.

In response to Councillor Richardson's enquiry, Mr Harty advised that the last time workers were in there was 2010 and refuted claims that staff had been in there recently, commenting that he had not seen any photos claiming otherwise.

In response to Councillor Francis' query, Mr Harty advised that the membrane had been put down in stages in 2007 to 2010.

Councillor Francis enquired what effect the falling rock would have on the membrane.

Mr Harty informed the Committee that they had consulted with the Environment Agency and the blast was designed for the opposite side to the liner but if it was damaged it could be replaced.

Councillor Wood referred to Councillor Wakefields comments in relation to certain bodies being consulted and enquired if these were statutory consultees.

Mr Clark advised that he did not believe they were statutory consultees and they were both local partnerships but he would check and clarify the situation.



The Chairman then gave Mr Harty five minutes to address the Committee as the applicant. Mr Harty advised that they were not seeking to blast on a regular basis and this was a one off event for health and safety reasons. The surface had been subject to weathering which had caused cracks and rockfalls. A large section was unsafe and needed attending to. He repeated that this was a one off blast and that they cannot leave the site in its current state.

Mr Harty informed the Committee that this blast needed to be carried out regardless of the two other applications that were due for consideration and Biffa would not benefit financially from this as it was a very expensive procedure to carry out and they would rather not have to undertake this but a geotechnical report carried out by Independent consultants determined that blasting was the only course of action.

Mr Harty also informed that the Planning Authority would be notified of the date of the blast and that no objections had been received from the statutory consultees.

In response to Councillor Curran's enquiry, Mr Harty advised that the other option for abseiling/drilling was not safe and it was an enormous rock to try and tackle in this way. All other options had been considered and Biffa would have preferred not to blast as this was the most expensive option, but the only option suitable.

Councillor Price enquired if Biffa would contact residents as well as the Council when they would intend to blast.

Mr Harty advised that he would have no problem in arranging for a leaflet to be distributed.

Councillor Wood commented that no alternative recommendation had been proposed by Members at this point.

Councillor Thompson commented that the proposal had been independently assessed and it was determined that the rock needs to come down so it was best that it does so in a controlled manner rather than naturally which could be devastating.

Councillor Francis enquired as to who would have to pay for the independent assessors should damage be caused to nearby properties.

Mr Harty advised that they would pay for their own independent assessor but the blast would be designed so as not to cause damage to properties.

Councillor Richardson commented that he was concerned over the consequences that could occur in the blast area and proposed the item be deferred whilst alternative methods be investigated. The proposal was seconded by Councillor Price.

Having been put to the vote, with 11 Members in favour of the alternative recommendation and 5 Members against, it was:-

7. RESOLVED that the item be deferred so that alternative methods could be considered.

**Consultation on proposed changes to siting requirements – Broadband Cabinets and Overhead Lines – Superfast Broadband Networks**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report (copy circulated) to advise the Committee of the consultation on proposed changes to siting requirements of Broadband Cabinets and Overhead lines for Superfast Broadband Networks and to request the Committee's endorsement of the response to questions.

(For copy report – see original minutes)

Councillor T. Martin commented that he agreed with the findings of the report especially as 5G would be coming shortly.

Councillor Wood commented that he supported the Officer comments.

Councillor Price cautioned that we would have to monitor this very carefully.

7. RESOLVED that the Committee noted and endorsed the contents of the report.

The Chairman thanked everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting.

(Signed) P. TYE  
(Chairman)

**At a meeting of the DEVELOPMENT CONTROL (NORTH SUNDERLAND) SUB-COMMITTEE held in the CIVIC CENTRE on WEDNESDAY, 27<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY, 2013 at 4.45 p.m.**

**Present:-**

Councillor Curran in the Chair

Councillors Blackburn, Davison, Francis, E. Gibson and Thompson.

**Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest

**Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors Copeland, Jackson, Tye and D. Wilson.

**Applications made under the Town and Country Planning Acts and Regulations made thereunder**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report and a supplementary report (copies circulated) relating to the North Sunderland area, copies of which had also been forwarded to each Member of the Council upon applications made thereunder.

(For copy report – see original minutes).

**12/03184/LAP – Provision of replacement steps onto beach as part of public realm improvements to Marine Walk, Roker – Land at Marine Walk, Sunderland**

1. RESOLVED that consent be granted under Regulation 3 of the Town and Country General Regulations 1992

**12/03185/LAP – Provision of raised viewing platforms and planting beds as part of the Seafront Regeneration Project (AMENDED DESCRIPTION) – Seaburn Promenade, Whitburn Road, Seaburn, Sunderland**

2. RESOLVED that consent be granted under Regulation 3 of the Town and Country General Regulations 1992 (as amended)

**12/03245/FUL – Extra care housing development comprising 104 apartments with associated communal spaces including a convenience store, hair salon, restaurant, lounges, garden area and associated vehicle parking and 30 bungalows with private gardens, on plot parking and associated access roads. Development to include stopping up of highway – Junction of Faber Road and Carley Hill Road, Sunderland**

3. RESOLVED to Delegate to the Deputy Chief Executive

**12/03357/VAR – Variation of conditions 2 (plans) and 9 (unit-use class restrictions) attached to planning application 11/00288/FUL (Proposed new local centre development comprising foodstore (class A1), retail units (class A1), commercial units (class A1-A5), offices/non residential institutions (class B1a/D1) and restaurant (class A3/A5) : associated parking, landscaping, servicing and access arrangements.) to revise condition 2 plans and elevations of the mixed use class buildings units B-L. Condition 9 (iv) to revise the limit on aggregate net sales area of units B to G and 9(vi) to remove office units N and P. – Land Bounded by North Hylton Road, Castletown Way, Riverbank Road, Southwick Industrial Estate, Sunderland**

4. RESOLVED that the item be deferred

**12/03380/FUL – Provision of a community fire station, training fire house and garage with associated enclosures, staff/community car parking areas and installation of CCTV. Change of use of former housing land to public open space – Land at Old Mill Road/Marley Crescent/Maplewood Avenue, High Southwick, Sunderland**

5. RESOLVED that the application be approved subject to the 14 conditions contained within the supplementary report

**13/00028/FUL – Erection of 2 No. lightweight portal framed storage building within the existing loading yard – IAC Group Limited, Wayfarer Road, Sunderland, SR5 3AX**

6. RESOLVED that the application be approved subject to the 3 conditions contained within the report

### **Town and Country Planning Act 1990 – Appeals**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report (copy circulated) concerning the appeals received for the period 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2013.

(For copy report – see original minutes).

7. RESOLVED that the report be received and noted.

**Response to the Department for Communities and Local Government  
Consultation on Planning Performance and the Planning Guarantee**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report (copy circulated) for the Committee to endorse the response to the DCLG consultation of November 2012, "Planning Performance and the Planning Guarantee" which was appended to the report.

(For copy report – see original minutes).

8. RESOLVED that the report be received and noted.

The Chairman thanked everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting.

(Signed) B. CURRAN,  
Chairman.

**At a meeting of the DEVELOPMENT CONTROL (HETTON, HOUGHTON AND WASHINGTON) SUB-COMMITTEE held in the CIVIC CENTRE on THURSDAY, 31<sup>ST</sup> JANUARY, 2013 at 5.45 p.m.**

**Present:-**

Councillor Thompson in the Chair

Councillors Blackburn, Heron, Lauchlan, Padgett, Richardson, Scaplehorn, Scott, D. Snowdon, Tate, Wakefield and Wood

**Declarations of Interest**

12/00014/SUB – 2 Woodbine Cottages, Springwell, Gateshead

Councillor Scaplehorn declared an interest in the application as he had previously been involved with a similar application for the site and felt that having shown his support for the objectors at that time it may be conceived that he had predetermined his decision on this application.

**Items for Information**

11/02362/OUT – Eppington Ltd, Land at North Road, Hetton-le-Hole, Houghton-le-Spring

12/03140/FUL – Site of Former Broomhill Estate, Hetton-le-Hole

12/03142/FUL – Land to the East of Former Broomhill Estate, Hetton-le-Hole, Houghton-le-Spring

12/01125/OUT – Coal Bank Farm, Weardale Street, Hetton-le-Hole, Houghton-le-Spring

Councillor Tate made an open declaration in the above applications as a member of Hetton Town Council who were a consultee in the applications and as a Council appointed Director on the applications with regards to the former Broomhill Estate.

**Apologies for Absence**

There were no apologies for absence received.

## **Applications made under the Town and Country Planning Acts and Regulations made thereunder**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report and supplementary report (copies circulated), which related to Hetton, Houghton and Washington areas, copies of which had also been forwarded to each Member of the Council, upon applications made under the Town and Country Planning Acts and Regulations made thereunder.

(for copy report – see original minutes)

At this juncture the Chairman suggested that application 12/03113/FUL be considered first as the applicant was in attendance for that item.

### **12/03113/FUL – Erection of 39 no dwellings (Use Class C3), landscaping, parking and associated highways works at land off Pattinson Road, Pattinson Industrial Estate, Washington**

The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive presented the application as set out in the body of the supplementary report, advising that following negotiations there would now be four units of affordable housing included within the development.

Members were also informed that Children's Services had identified a requirement for 5.1 additional school places and as a result of this the applicant had agreed to enter into a Section 106 agreement towards the provision of six additional school places at either JFK or Barmston Primary School.

Councillor Padgett commented that he was greatly in favour of the proposed development and asked if there had been any representations made from nearby residents or Northumbrian Water during the consultation process. The Officer advised that Northumbrian Water had raised some concerns over concerns received from residents in close proximity to the Washington Sewage Treatment Works but that these were not a day to day occurrence and it was not considered that the works had been or are a statutory nuisance.

Furthermore, it was acknowledged that new housing may well lead to additional complaints but that should an odour problem occur then the existing householders located in Teal Farm would continue to complain, therefore the proposed additional housing may add to the pressure, but not to the need, to address any odour problems if and when they occur.

Members having fully considered the application, it was:-

1. RESOLVED that the application be delegated to the Deputy Chief Executive who is minded to approve the application subject to any conditions considered necessary and the completion of an agreement under the Section 106 of the Act.

### **12/02959/FUL – Erection of 2160m<sup>2</sup> storage building (Part Retrospective) at Nissan Motor Manufacturing (UK) Limited, Washington Road, Usworth, Sunderland, SR5 3NS**

The application having been fully considered, it was:-

2. RESOLVED that the application be approved for the reasons as set out in the report and subject to the nine conditions therein.

**12/03106/LP3 – Relocation of existing skate park to consist of skate ramps and new concrete base. Reinstatement of the site of existing skate park to grass. Stopping up of existing footpaths and creation of new footpaths at land to the south of the Washington Leisure Centre, Washington New Town, Washington**

The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive presented the application as set out, highlighting that an amendment was required where the report referred to the access between Albany, Biddick and the Galleries it should actually refer to the access between Lambton, Biddick and the Galleries.

In response to a query from Councillor Scaplehorn regarding the resiting of CCTV equipment at the skate park, the Officer advised that there would be the same amount of CCTV cameras that would cover the same area.

Members having considered the application, it was:-

3. RESOLVED that the application be granted consent in accordance with Regulation 3 of the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992 for the reasons as set out in the report and subject to the seven conditions therein.

**12/03723/FUL – Change of use of agricultural land to kennels and erection of timber building to accommodate ten dogs at land adjacent to Dean Croft Bungalow, Warden Law, Houghton-le-Spring, DH5 8LX**

Members were reminded that the site visit scheduled for Friday, 25 January, 2013 to this site had been cancelled due to adverse weather conditions. Therefore, the representative of the Deputy Chief Executive recommended that this application be deferred until such a time it was possible to re-arrange the site visit.

4. RESOLVED that the application be deferred for the reasons as set out.

**12/00014/SUB – Erection of two storey detached property at 2 Woodbine Cottages, Springwell, Gateshead**

Members having considered the information in the supplementary report, it was:-

5. RESOLVED that the application be deferred to allow a site visit to be undertaken.



## Items for Information

6. RESOLVED that the following site visits be undertaken:-

(i) 12/02310/HYB – Land at Philadelphia Complex / Philadelphia Lane, Houghton-le-Spring.

## Town and Country Planning Act 1990 – Appeals

7. RESOLVED that appeals received and determined for 1<sup>st</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2012 be received and noted.

(Signed) G. THOMPSON,  
Chairman.

**At a meeting of the DEVELOPMENT CONTROL (HETTON, HOUGHTON AND WASHINGTON) SUB-COMMITTEE held in the CIVIC CENTRE on WEDNESDAY, 27 FEBRUARY, 2013 at 5.45 p.m.**

**Present:-**

Councillor Thompson in the Chair

Councillors Blackburn, Heron, Lauchlan, Padgett, Richardson, D. Snowdon, Tate, Wakefield and Wood

**Declarations of Interest**

12/00125/OUT – Proposed residential development at Coal Bank Farm, Weardale Street, Hetton le Hole

Councillor Tate declared a disclosable pecuniary interest (DPI) in the application and left the meeting during consideration of the application.

Councillor Blackburn made an open declaration in the above item as a member of Hetton Town Council who were a consultee on the application.

**Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors F. Miller and Scaplehorn

**Applications made under the Town and Country Planning Acts and Regulations made thereunder**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report and supplementary report (copies circulated), which related to Hetton, Houghton and Washington areas, copies of which had also been forwarded to each Member of the Council, upon applications made under the Town and Country Planning Acts and Regulations made thereunder.

(for copy report – see original minutes)

At this juncture the Chairman suggested that application 12/01125/OUT be considered last to allow any Members who had declared an interest to leave the room after consideration of the other applications on the agenda.

**12/00014/SUB – Erection of two storey detached property (resubmission) (additional drawings showing section through development site received 06/02/2013) at 2 Woodbine Cottages, Springwell, Gateshead, NE9 7PR**

The Chairman referred to the recent site visit the Committee had undertaken and the issues that had been raised around the windows, the parking garage and the embankment at the site. Members had requested Officers to provide further information on these issues, and it was:-

1. RESOLVED that the application be deferred to allow further information to be sought in relation to the issues raised at the site visit.

**12/03273/FUL – Change of use of agricultural land to kennels and erection of timber building to accommodate 10 dogs at land adjacent to Dean Croft Bungalow, Warden Law, Houghton le Spring, DH5 8LX**

The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive presented the application as set out in the body of the report, summarising the issues around the principle of the development, siting and design, wildlife and country side, environment and highway issues.

Members having fully considered the application, it was:-

2. RESOLVED that the application be refused for the six reasons as set out in the report.

**12/01125/OUT – Proposed residential development comprising 40 no. residential dwellings with associated landscaping and access at Coal Bank Farm, Weardale Street, Hetton le Hole, DH5 0DX**

The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive presented the application as set out, highlighting that at present this was an outline application only and that as such the Committee were being asked to look at the principle of the development and access to the site.

In relation to questions around surplus surface water and foul water disposal, the Officer advised that Northumbrian Water were consulted as part of the application process and any findings from them would be shared with the Committee.

Members having considered the application, it was:-

3. RESOLVED that either the application be delegated to the Deputy Chief Executive for approval, for the reasons as set out in the report and subject to the conditions outlined in the supplementary report and the signing of a Section 106 agreement.

## **Items for Information**

4. RESOLVED that the following site visit be undertaken:-

- (i) 12/00791/VAR – Unit 6, Hetton Lyons Industrial Estate, Hetton le Hole

## **Town and Country Planning Act 1990 – Appeals**

5. RESOLVED that appeals received and determined for 1<sup>st</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2013 be received and noted.

## **Response to the Department for Communities and Local Government Consultation on Planning Performance and the Planning Guarantee**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report (copy circulated) for the Committee to endorse the response to the DCLG consultation of November 2012, “Planning Performance and the Planning Guarantee” which was appended to the report.

(for copy report – see original minutes).

6. RESOLVED that the comments highlighted within the report be noted and the response to DCLG contained within Appendix 1 be endorsed.

(Signed) G. THOMPSON,  
Chairman.

**At a Meeting of the DEVELOPMENT CONTROL (SOUTH SUNDERLAND) SUB-COMMITTEE held in the CIVIC CENTRE on TUESDAY, 26<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY, 2013 at 4.45 p.m.**

**Present:-**

Councillor E. Gibson in the Chair

Councillors Ball, Blackburn, Ellis, Essl, Porthouse, Price, Scanlan, Thompson, Turton, S. Watson and Wood.

**Declarations of Interest**

12/02901/FUL – 68-84 Hylton Road, Sunderland, SR4 7BA

Councillor Price made an open declaration that he had been contacted by residents about the application; he felt that he would not be able to consider the application with an open mind and would withdraw from the meeting during the decision making on the application.

**Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors Copeland, Maddison, Tye and P. Watson.

**Applications made under the Town and Country Planning Acts and Regulations made thereunder**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report (copy circulated) relating to the South Sunderland area, copies of which had been forwarded to each Member of the Council, upon applications made under the Town and Country Planning Acts and Regulations made thereunder.

(For copy report – see original minutes).

**12/02901/FUL – Refurbishment and extension of ground floor retail units, creation of new ground floor flat, enlarged entrance and new link access to flats. Reconfiguration and extension of first floor student accommodation and installation of new windows to front and rear at first floor level.  
68-84 Hylton Road, Sunderland, SR4 7BA**

The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive advised that the application was part retrospective as the works to construct the extension to the rear had already commenced. A development of this nature would normally be determined under the

Officers delegated powers however the application had been referred to the Committee at the request of Councillor Price. There had been representations received from 2 local residents and there was also a petition against the application which had been signed by 72 residents.

There were currently 9 commercial units and as such under the current permitted development rules there could be 18 flats created; 2 per commercial unit; without the need for planning permission.

The principle of the development was considered to be acceptable as the land was not allocated for any specific use and as such the existing land use was to prevail; as the flats had been used for at least 10 years it was felt that the residential use of the building had already been established.

There would be parking for 6 vehicles within the rear yards for residents of the flats and there was not expected to be a substantial increase in traffic or any prejudice to Highway safety.

Councillor Ellis expressed concerns that there did not seem to be sufficient parking and that there would be an increase in parking in neighbouring streets as a result of the development. The highways engineer advised that normally there would be a requirement for 1 space per dwelling however HMOs and student accommodation had lower requirements. It was acknowledged that the retail units did not have the facility for parking of large vehicles for servicing of the premises however none of the existing units had any space within their curtilage for servicing. The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive added that there would not be any parking required if the permitted development of 18 flats was carried out and as such the provision of 6 parking spaces was an improvement.

Councillor Price then spoke against the application on behalf of local residents. He stated that there had been a large number of objections from residents and that within the area there were already major parking and traffic issues. He felt that there would be major traffic problems caused by the development which would lead to cars being parked in the surrounding streets and on the pavement on Hylton Road. Policy H18 of the UDP stated that applications should be approved where the intensity of use would not adversely affect the character and amenity of the area; he felt that it would have an adverse effect given that the surrounding area was predominantly single family dwellings. He was concerned that there were already a number of properties in the area which were being converted into HMOs and Student houses and he was concerned that if there were not sufficient students then the flats would be rented to non students or could be used as hostels.

Joe Moore, agent for the applicant, then spoke in support of the application. He stated that this was a sustainable location with good public transport links and close to local services. The building was currently unused and this development would bring it back into use; the student lets had been provisionally let for September. The development would improve the visual appearance of the building. The upper floor had been in residential use for a number of years as confirmed by sworn statements from the occupants of a neighbouring office which stated that the upper floor had been used as multiple occupancy residential accommodation continuously since 2002. There were also Council Tax records dating back to 2000. Following concerns raised by the Councils highways department there had been changes made to the

plans to incorporate the parking into the rear yards. Currently there were around 20 flats in the building however the works would create 5 flats each with 5 or 6 bedrooms.

Councillor Ellis stated that in the report there was a reference to the fire service issuing a prohibition notice in 2011, she asked whether the building had been occupied since then. Mr Moore advised that the notice had been issued due to the fire safety of the flats and since it had been issued the building had not been occupied. The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive advised that although the building had been unoccupied due to health and safety reasons it was still classed as being in residential use and the building had been used for residential purposes for over 10 years.

Councillor Thompson stated that considering there could be 18 flats with no parking provision created under permitted development that the application to have 7 further properties but to include 6 parking spaces was reasonable.

1. RESOLVED that the application be approved for the reasons set out in the report and supplement subject to the 3 conditions set out in the supplementary report.

**12/03152/OUT – Outline application for the erection of a new bingo club with associated access (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale all reserved). Land off Leechmere Road, Grangetown, Sunderland**

The representative of the Deputy Chief Executive advised that the application site was actually in Ryhope ward rather than Silksworth as stated in the report. This was an outline planning application with all matters other than access reserved. The site was currently derelict and there were issues with people accessing the site without permission. There was a requirement for highways works to be carried out outside of the site boundary and it was proposed that a Grampian condition be imposed on any consent granted to ensure that these works were done.

Councillor Wood stated that he did not want there to be cars associated with this site parking on Leechmere Road as it was a very busy road. He queried whether the width of the access was satisfactory and whether there would be sufficient parking within the site. The highways engineer advised that the current access was only wide enough for a single vehicle however it would be widened to accommodate two way traffic. The proposed parking provision was considered to be sufficient to meet the demand. There were two possible options for accessing the site; turning left into and out of the site using the Asda roundabout and Hollymere junction to turn around or allowing left and right turns with an additional opening in the central reservation provided. It was felt that the left in and out system would be most appropriate and that U-turns should be prohibited at the opening in the central reservation for the entrance to the industrial estate.

Councillor Wood then suggested that the Hollymere junction may need to be changed to a roundabout in order to cope with the additional demands. He was informed that the junction suited the demands placed on it and that it was not felt that this development would impact on the effectiveness of the junction.

Councillor Porthouse expressed concerns that there seemed to be conflicting information given that it was acceptable to turn right into and out of the industrial

estate but not at the development site. The highways engineer advised that there had been consideration given to the closing of the opening in the central reservation as there had been incidents at the junction; however due to the volume of traffic accessing the industrial estate it would not be possible as undue pressure would be placed on the Asda roundabout and Hollymere junction as a result.

In response to a query from Councillor Essl the highways engineer advised that Leechmere Road had originally been built as a 2 lane dual carriageway; in recent years there had been works on the stretch between the Hollymere and Essen Way to reduce the road to one lane in each direction with space for parking and a cycle lane in what used to be the left hand lane. There were plans to continue this reduction to one lane along the remainder of Leechmere Road.

2. RESOLVED that the decision be delegated to the Deputy Chief Executive for approval for the reasons set out in the report and subject to the conditions listed in the supplementary report and subject to the expiry of the consultation period.

**12/03404/FUL – Erection of a multi-storey car park to provide 766 spaces (including 28 disabled spaces)  
Sunderland Royal Hospital (Site of Car Park C Opposite 24-38 Kayll Road),  
Chester Road/Kayll Road, Sunderland, SR4 7TP**

The Chairman moved that this application be deferred and be considered at a future meeting. Accordingly it was:-

3. RESOLVED that determination of the application be deferred to a future meeting of the Committee.

**13/00025/FUL – Change of use from Bakery (B1) to retail shop (A1) and provision of new shop front (amended description)  
Former Bakery, 150 Cleveland Road, Sunderland, SR4 7PT**

The Chairman advised that the applicant had withdrawn the application.

**Response to the Department for Communities and Local Government  
Consultation of Planning Performance and the Planning Guarantee**

The Deputy Chief Executive submitted a report (copy circulated) for the Committee to endorse the response to the DCLG consultation of November 2012, "Planning Performance and the Planning Guarantee" which was appended to the report.

(For copy report – see original minutes).

4. RESOLVED that the report be received and noted.

(Signed) E. GIBSON,  
Chairman.



**REFERENCE FROM CABINET – 13 MARCH 2013  
SUNDERLAND CITY COUNCIL LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK : CORE  
STRATEGY REVISED PREFERRED OPTIONS**

**Report of the Head of Law and Governance**

**1. Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 To seek the advice and consideration of this Committee on a report considered by Cabinet on 13 March 2013. The report sought approval of the Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options for public consultation.
- 1.2 Members' views will contribute to the consultation process.

**2. Background and Current Position**

- 2.1 The Cabinet, at its meeting held on 13 March 2013 gave consideration to a report of the Deputy Chief Executive. The report sought approval of the Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options for public consultation.
- 2.2 Copies of the 13 March 2013 Cabinet agenda were circulated to all Members of the Council. Members are requested to note that a copy of the Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options document is available for inspection in Members' Services or alternatively the document can be viewed on-line at:-

<http://www.sunderland.gov.uk/committees/cm5/Meetings/tabid/73/ctl/ViewMeetingPublic/mid/410/Meeting/7654/Committee/1563/Default.aspx>

- 2.3 The Cabinet also agreed that Council be recommended to:
- a) Approve the attached Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options (including the Sustainability Appraisal and Appropriate Assessment Reports) for the purposes of public consultation and as a material consideration in assessing planning applications, pending its finalisation following public consultation.
- b) Authorise the Deputy Chief Executive to make any required amendments to the attached Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options (including the Sustainability Appraisal and Appropriate Assessment Reports) as necessary prior to its publication for public consultation.

**3. Conclusion**

- 3.1 The report is referred to this Committee for advice and consideration. The report is also referred to the Scrutiny Committee for advice and consideration.

Comments from the Committees will be reported to Council on 27 March 2013.

#### **4. Recommendation**

- 4.1 The Committee is invited to give advice and consideration to Council on the attached report of the Deputy Chief Executive.

#### **5. Background Papers**

- 5.1 Cabinet Agenda 13 March 2013.

- 5.2 A copy of the Agenda is available for inspection from the Head of Law and Governance or can be viewed on-line at:-

<http://www.sunderland.gov.uk/committees/CmisWebPublic/Meeting.aspx?meetingID=>

---

**Contact Officer:** Janet Johnson  
0191 561 1134

[janet.johnson@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:janet.johnson@sunderland.gov.uk)

Elaine Waugh  
0191 553 1053

[elaine.waugh@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:elaine.waugh@sunderland.gov.uk)

**CABINET – 13 MARCH 2013**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET – PART I**

**Title of Report:**

**SUNDERLAND CITY COUNCIL LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK : CORE STRATEGY REVISED PREFERRED OPTIONS**

**Author:**

**DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

**Purpose of Report:**

The purpose of this report is to seek Cabinet's recommendation to Council to approve the Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options for public consultation.

**Description of Decision:**

Cabinet is requested to agree that Council be recommended to :

- a) Approve the attached Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options (including the Sustainability Appraisal Report) for the purposes of public consultation and as a material consideration in assessing planning applications, pending its finalisation following public consultation.
  
- b) Authorise the Deputy Chief Executive to make any required amendments to the attached Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options (including the Sustainability Appraisal and Appropriate Assessment Reports) as necessary prior to its publication for public consultation.

**Is the decision consistent with the Budget Policy Framework ?**

**Yes**

**If not, Council approval is required to change the Budget / Policy Framework.**

**Suggested reason(s) for Decision:**

The decision is required to enable the Core Strategy to proceed to its next stage (statutory consultation) in accordance with the Council's adopted Local Development Scheme.

**Alternative options to be considered and recommended to be rejected:**

All local planning authorities are charged under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 with the preparation of a Local Development Framework (LDF), which must include a core strategy. They are also charged with preparing the LDF in accordance with the provisions of an approved Local Development Scheme.

Consequently, no alternatives can be recommended.

**Impacts analysed:**

Equality  Y Privacy  n/a Sustainability  Y Crime and Disorder  Y

<p><b>Is this a “Key Decision” as defined in the Constitution?</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Yes</b></p>	<p><b>Scrutiny Committee</b></p>
<p><b>Is it included in the 28 day Notice of Decisions?</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>No</b></p>	<p><b>Planning and Highways Committee</b></p>

**SUNDERLAND CITY COUNCIL LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK : CORE STRATEGY REVISED PREFERRED OPTIONS.****REPORT OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE****1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek Cabinet's recommendation to Council to approve the Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options for public consultation.

**2.0 DESCRIPTION OF DECISION**

2.1 Cabinet is requested to agree that Council be recommended to :

- a) Approve the attached Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options (including the Sustainability Appraisal Report) for the purposes of public consultation and as a material consideration in assessing planning applications, pending its finalisation following public consultation.
- b) Authorise the Deputy Chief Executive to make any required amendments to the attached Sunderland Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options (including the Sustainability Appraisal and Appropriate Assessment Reports) as necessary prior to its publication for public consultation.

**3.0 SUMMARY OF KEY POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**

3.1 Within the body of this report, Members are requested to agree the following key policy issues over the period 2012 to 2032 :

- To agree to a minimum target of 15,000 new dwellings (net) (paragraphs 7.7 - 7.11)
- To provide a minimum of 81 hectares of employment land (paragraphs 7.12 - 14)
- To provide an overall requirement of some 78,900 sqm (gross) of comparison goods floorspace and 7,500 sqm gross of convenience goods floorspace with the City Centre being the primary location for meeting these requirements (paragraph 7.15)
- To endorse the allocation within the Core Strategy of the two strategic sites on land to the North of Nissan (for 20 hectares) and at Vaux / Farrington Row for offices (paragraphs 7.16)
- To approve the principle of development and the broad range of uses in those areas proposed as "Locations for Major Development" (paragraphs 7.17 – 7.18).

**4.0 BACKGROUND**

4.1 The Core Strategy will sit at the heart of the city's development planning framework. It will set out the overarching strategic planning framework for the development of the city for the next 20 years and draw from other strategies of the City Council (such as the Sunderland Strategy and Economic Masterplan) and those of other organisations. Apart from the allocation of "Strategic Sites",

the Core Strategy will otherwise not be site specific and will only indicate the broad locations for delivering new development such as new housing, employment and transport. Once the Core Strategy is adopted, all other Development Plan Documents (including a site specific Allocations Plan) must conform to the broad requirements of the Core Strategy.

4.2 The Core Strategy, like all statutory development planning documents must pass through the following statutory and non-statutory stages :

1. Issues and Options
2. Preferred Options Draft
3. Publication Draft including public consultation
4. Submission Draft to the Secretary of State
5. Public Examination before an independent Inspector
6. Adoption

## 5.0 CHANGES AT THE NATIONAL AND REGIONAL LEVEL AND IMPLICATIONS FOR SUNDERLAND'S CORE STRATEGY

### National

5.1 Since coming into power in May 2010, this Government has sought to remove centralised controls and give neighbourhoods and local areas the flexibility to innovate, access new resources and control their own futures. As part of this approach, it has sought to deliver on a series of pre-election pledges to reform the planning system. The Government sees that the planning system has a central role in delivering three key functions:

- To give people more control over the shape, look and feel of their communities including the protection and promotion of important environmental and social interests;
- To provide sufficient housing to meet demand;
- To support economic development by providing infrastructure and using land use planning.

5.2 The mechanics of delivering many of this Government's reforms are set out in the **Localism Act** (which received Royal Assent in November 2011). Supplementary legislation and regulations have been issued and will continue to be rolled out to enable the various sections of the Localism Act to be realised. These will continue to have a significant bearing on spatial planning which will require further consideration as they emerge. Among the key reforms set out in the Act are:

- The abolition of Regional Spatial Strategies, to include the scrapping of top down house building targets on local authorities
- With the impending demise of the Regional Spatial Strategies, neighbouring authorities must work together to ensure that strategic cross boundary issues are considered appropriately in the development plan making process (known as the Duty to Cooperate)
- A commitment to a plan led system, albeit in a modified form, that includes -
  - The consolidation of all national planning policies into a single National Planning Policy Framework which was published 27<sup>th</sup> March 2012 (discussed below)
  - A non-binding Inspector's report for local plans
  - Giving the power for local communities to prepare Neighbourhood Plans to bring forward more development than that set out in the Local Plan.

- 5.3 National planning policies were previously contained within topic based Planning Policy Statements (PPSs), Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPGs) and Mineral Policy Statements (MPGs). Collectively these informed the preparation of Local Development Frameworks (LDFs) and were material considerations in determining planning applications.
- 5.4 In July 2011, the draft **National Planning Policy Framework** was published for consultation. As part of its wider reforms to simplify the planning process, it sought to update and consolidate all national planning policies into a single streamlined document. The draft version attracted considerable controversy nationally for being too pro-growth orientated.
- 5.5 The final version of the National Planning Policy Framework was published in March 2012 and took immediate effect. It has largely redressed many of the concerns that were raised to its draft version. The majority of PPSs, PPGs and MPSs have been repealed. The exception being PPS10 “Planning for Sustainable Waste Management” which remains extant until national waste policies are published as part of the National Waste Management Plan. The National Planning Policy Framework does not contain specific policies for nationally significant infrastructure proposals. These will be dealt with in separate National Policy Statements for Major infrastructure.
- 5.6 Key messages of the National Planning Policy Framework reinforce that the planning system should :
- Continue to be plan-led empowering local people to shape their surroundings where a presumption in favour of sustainable development underpins the entire national policy
  - Proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver homes, businesses and infrastructure, taking into account market signals
  - Secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings
  - Take account of the different roles and character of different areas, promoting viability of urban areas and protecting the Green Belt
  - Support the transition to a low carbon future in a changing climate
  - Contribute to conserving and enhancing the natural environment and reducing pollution
  - Continued to protect town and city centres.
- 5.7 The **Neighbourhood Planning Regulations** (April 2012) were introduced to provide local communities with the potential to influence planning decisions in local communities. Importantly, Neighbourhood Plans cannot be used to block approved development. Tools available to communities that want to get engaged in local development decisions include:
- Neighbourhood Development Plans – the potential to set planning policies for the use of land in a neighbourhood.
  - Neighbourhood Development Orders – power to permit certain types of development without the need for a planning application.
  - Community Right to Build Orders – offer the potential for communities to bring forward development on smaller sites without the need for formal planning permission.
- 5.8 The Regulations set out clear processes by which proposals for the three mechanisms of Neighbourhood Planning can be advanced. In the absence of

an appropriate Town or Parish Council, all require the formal designation of a 'Neighbourhood Forum' in order to proceed.

## **Regional**

5.9 In July 2010, the Government attempted to meet its pre-election pledge by announcing, with immediate effect, the abolition of the suite of Regional Spatial Strategies (RSSs) adopted across the country. This decision was subsequently overturned through a series of High Court rulings. The Localism Act 2011 has repealed the legal requirements for these strategies, leaving authorities to set their own growth requirements (based upon objectively assessed evidence). However, European and domestic regulations require the completion of Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) and Sustainability Appraisals (SA) on all plans and programmes that generate significant environmental effects. These ensure the socio-economic and environmental considerations are fully taken on board.

5.10 Previously, Government published a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) on the revocation of the North East of England Plan which was open to consultation between October 2011 and January 2012. A joint response was submitted to this consultation by the Councils of Sunderland, County Durham, Gateshead, South Tyneside and Newcastle. But it only considered the options on whether to revoke or to retain the North East of England Plan. The SEA Directive states that an environmental report should consider all reasonable alternatives. In November 2012, Government published for consultation a more comprehensive SEA (to which the City Council responded). At the time of writing, the revocation of two RSSs elsewhere in the country have formally been announced. It is expected that the North East of England Plan will be formally revoked in early 2013.

5.11 In the meantime, the RSS remains legally in force, though the weight afforded to it varies:-

- For development management purposes, the revocation can be treated as a 'material consideration' which means that depending on the nature of the application, the RSS policies may or may not be applied
- Emerging Development Plans must by contrast still conform with the RSS provisions until they are formally abolished.

## **6.0 THE EMERGING CORE STRATEGY PROGRESS TO DATE**

6.1 The first formal Core Strategy stage began with consultation on the Issues and Options between November 2005 and February 2006. The Preferred Options Draft was consulted upon between December 2007 and February 2008. However, given the availability of new evidence and regulatory changes during 2008 and 2009, it was considered appropriate to revise the Preferred Options draft prior to advancing to its next formal stage, the Publication Draft.

6.2 During late 2009, the Council developed and consulted upon four realistic alternative approaches regarding the overall spatial distribution of development across the city which included :

- § Approach A ~ Focussing Development on the Conurbation
- § Approach B ~ Proportional Distribution of Development
- § Approach C ~ Focus Development within the Current Urban Area
- § Approach D ~ Meeting Sub-Area Spatial Requirements - a Hybrid of Approaches A-C



- 6.3 Ten strategic sites were also identified and proposed for consultation.
- 6.4 Some 150 responses were received showing that Approach D was the preferred option favoured by residents and stakeholders which was corroborated by the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal. The number of strategic sites was also reduced to two – namely Vaux and land to the north of Nissan.
- 6.5 In accordance with the Local Development Scheme the Revised Preferred Options Draft was approved in March 2010 by the Council for consultation. Given the then impending national elections and the sweeping changes being made to the planning systems (particularly the ensuing High Court decisions following the announcement to revoke RSSs in 2010), its publication was deferred.
- 6.6 The Revised Preferred Option draft and separate high level development Management Policies were approved in April 2012 by Cabinet. Further detailed work has been undertaken prior to seeking Full Council approval and going out to public consultation. This has included :
- Reviewing and updating the emerging Core Strategy policies to maintain alignment with the Sunderland Economic Masterplan and National Planning Policy Framework
  - Developing and reviewing the evidence base that is required to underpin the emerging policies which includes :
    - Commencing a full review of the deliverability of the city's employment land portfolio and updating projections on future employment land requirements
    - Undertaking a full review of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (due for completion in April 2013)
    - Instigating the review of the annual Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) (due for completion in April 2013)
    - Commencing a full review of the City's Green Belt and Settlement Break designations to ensure they remain fit for purpose
    - Working with neighbouring authorities regarding strategic cross boundary issues to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate requirements imposed under the Localism Act 2011
    - Developing the evidence base to determine the city's long term housing requirements
    - Undertaking a full review of the City's green space and ecology.

## **7.0 THE CORE STRATEGY REVISED PREFERRED OPTIONS DRAFT**

- 7.1 The Core Strategy seeks to provide a long term strategic framework for development of the city over a 20 year period (that is 2012 to 2032).

### **Format and Structure.**

- 7.2 In accordance with national guidance, the theme of shaping Sunderland as a place underlies the format of this Core Strategy. In other words, it seeks to tell the story of where Sunderland has come from and where it will be by 2032 through the delivery of these policies. There remains a strong relationship with the Sunderland Strategy, but more pertinently, it reflects and supports the objectives of the Sunderland Economic Masterplan.

7.3 This Core Strategy is effectively divided into two parts :

- 1) A suite of 10 broad city wide policies that bring together the range of policy requirements. In summary, these relate to :
  - The spatial growth and regeneration of the city and how new development will be distributed in the five separate sub-areas : Central Area; Sunderland North; Sunderland South; Coalfields; and Washington (as shown at appendix 1)
  - Reflecting previous consultation around the Alternative Approaches to the spatial distribution of new development by focussing the majority of development within the Sunderland / Washington conurbation and supporting the sustainable growth of the Coalfields
  - Developing the city's economic prosperity to meet the long term employment and retail requirements (as informed by evidence on employment land and retail needs)
  - Ensuring there remains a sufficient supply of housing land to meet existing and future needs (for both general market and affordable housing)
  - Ensuring that movement and travel promotes the city's sustainable regeneration
  - Protecting and enhancing the city's built and natural environment
  - How the city will effectively manage both minerals and waste
  - How the city will manage and respond to changing circumstances
  
- 2) A suite of detailed development management policies which take their lead from the higher tier Core Strategy policies, which are to be used on a day to day basis to inform planning applications. These policies cover areas such as :
  - Managing the release of new sites giving priority to re-using brownfield land and other sustainable sites
  - The control and development of new and existing employment sites
  - Detailed requirements as to new residential development including the required mix of types, affordable housing requirements and student accommodation
  - The control of new development within the open countryside, including Green Belts.

7.4 The strategic policies are illustrated on a 'key diagram' which shows their geographical relationship.

### **Establishing the Quantum and Distribution of Development**

7.5 The emerging Core Strategy must still legally conform to the RSS for the North East until the latter is formally revoked. However, the RSS was set during a completely different economic climate when projections for economic growth were strong. This growth scenario underpinned the policies especially in terms of new house building and economic development. These specific growth objectives now need to be reviewed to take account of recent changes in economic conditions regionally, nationally and globally. Furthermore, it is extremely likely that the North East Region's RSS will be revoked before the Core Strategy reaches the next stage in the process – the Publication Draft.

7.6 National planning guidance emphasises the importance that policies should be based on sound and robust evidence so that policies can stand scrutiny either at

Examination or when used in support of planning decisions. In particular there is a duty for plans to meet objectively assessed needs.

- 7.7 With regard to new housing, there are effectively three options to follow to determine long term housing requirements over the next 20 years :
- Retain and aggregate forward the adopted RSS housing targets (circa 18,900 dwellings)
  - Retain and aggregate forward figures that were proposed through the RSS pre-examination draft (circa 13,000 dwellings)
  - Develop localised targets based on local need and evidence.
- 7.8 Evidence has and continues to be developed which considers the three options in detail, and updates the information taking into account factors such as :
- The latest population growth and household projections released in 2010
  - Census 2011
  - Overcrowding
  - Employment levels
  - Past housing delivery rates
  - Housing renewal and replacement
  - The potential supply of sustainable housing land (informed by the SHLAA)
  - Housing demand based information arising from the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA)
  - Policy requirements including the Sunderland Economic Masterplan.
- 7.9 It is considered that those requirements set out within the RSS (18,900 dwellings) are overly ambitious. Crucially, the evidence which underpinned the RSS housing requirement is becoming increasingly outdated. For example, the baseline national population and household projections have been updated since the RSS's adoption in 2008. Further national projections are expected this summer based on the Census 2011 (as outlined at paragraph 7.10). The scenario upon which the housing growth was planned to grow could not predict the economic downturn and consequently, the level of planned growth is presently not being realised. It is considered this option should be rejected. Equally, the pre-submission RSS housing target is considered potentially too low to sufficiently meet the City's aspirations and needs.
- 7.10 A locally derived target of some 15,000 dwellings is therefore proposed to be taken forward in the Core Strategy. Given this is based on more up to date information and data, it is considered that its basis is more robust. It would provide both a realistic and deliverable target for growth, but is sufficiently aspirational based upon the long term objectives for the city to grow. It should be stressed, that this figure would be treated as a minimum target and not the maximum allowable. Informal discussions with the house building industry and agents (via the House Builders Forum) would suggest that this locally derived target is a reasonable position to take. However, crucial to this target setting exercise will be the need to compare forecasts for economic growth with the implications this will have for population growth (eg high economic forecasts would signify the need to accommodate additional house building). This exercise is presently being undertaken. Equally important to take into account will be the ONS's release of its latest household projections which is expected in March 2013. Both pieces of work will be required to inform and clarify the City's long term housing requirements.

- 7.11 Of the proposed 15,000 target, it is recommended that the Core Strategy sets a requirement for the first 5 years of the plan period of 3,200 dwellings (net) in order to retain a rolling supply of deliverable housing sites. This would require an average net annual build rate of some 640 dwellings per annum.
- 7.12 With regard to employment land requirements, the Core Strategy has been informed by the Employment Land Update (adopted in April 2012). This points to the need to deliver some 81 hectares of employment land. As part of this, the Core Strategy proposes to allocate the 20 hectare Strategic Employment site to the north of Nissan. However since April, considerable time has been spent reworking the Employment Land Update to ensure it remains fit for purpose. Further baseline economic forecasts are presently being considered and tested to cover the Core Strategy plan period. These forecasts will further inform the employment land required.
- 7.13 In addition, there is growing evidence to suggest that the existing portfolio of employment land is not necessarily conducive to meet live business enquiries which require larger floorplates to accommodate major employment opportunities. A review of the existing portfolio is being undertaken to assess the true potential to deliver sites for such demands – including whether there are opportunities to conjoin multiple smaller sites or premises into larger employment areas that could meet these requirements.
- 7.14 Under the ‘duty to cooperate’, discussions with South Tyneside Metropolitan Borough Council reveal they are experiencing similar issues. A joint study is shortly to be commissioned to assess the true potential of this investment (in terms of the level of land take that could be required) and whether suitable option(s) exist to accommodate any identified demands. This Core Strategy has acknowledged this emerging work stream. Should the evidence indicate that there is a need for substantive land to come forward, the Core Strategy provides an appropriate policy ‘hook’ that will enable the Council to respond to meeting such demands through the preparation of a separate development plan document in partnership with South Tyneside Council.
- 7.15 In terms of future retailing requirements, the Core Strategy has been informed by the Retail Needs Update (adopted April 2012) which indicates the Core Strategy should provide some 78,900 sqm of comparison floorspace (such as clothes and electrical goods) and some 7,500 sqm (gross) of convenience goods floorspace (food items) over the next 20 years. For meeting both requirements, the City Centre should remain the primary focus for development.

#### **Strategic Locations for Development.**

- 7.16 As previously agreed by Full Council in March 2010, the Core Strategy retains the approach to allocate two sites which are considered to be vital to the regeneration of the City’s economy :
- Vaux / Farringdon Row – The need to regenerate the City Centre is an agreed priority of the Council. A key element in this is the need to stimulate the city centre office market via the delivery of a new central business district on the Vaux / Farringdon Row site in order to provide the range of city centre B1 offices found in other similar sized cities.
  - Land North of Nissan - This 20 hectare site was first supported by the Employment Land Review (2009) and Sunderland Economic Masterplan, which recommends the need to identify a strategic employment site in the area of Washington. Furthermore, it would support the designation of the

Enterprise Zone at Nissan. It is considered that this site could provide an appropriate location for 3 to 4 large employers associated directly with ultra low carbon vehicle production, advanced manufacturing and engineering.

### **Locations for Major Development**

7.17 To provide a bridge between the Core Strategy and the Allocations DPD, the Core Strategy proposes (but does not allocate) a number of areas classed as “Locations for Major Development” including:

- Holmeside Triangle (mixed use including retail);
- Crowtree Leisure Centre (retail);
- Sunnyside (housing, leisure, business);
- Stadium Village (leisure, housing and business);
- Bonnersfield (housing and education);
- Former Pallion Shipyard (manufacturing/ offshore engineering);
- The Port (port-related development);
- Philadelphia (housing/ mixed use and supporting infrastructure)
- Groves (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- Chapelgarth (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- Cherry Knowle (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- Land North of Burdon Lane (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- South Ryhope (housing and employment);

7.18 The Core Strategy deliberately does not formalise the site boundaries to these areas nor does it prescribe specific types and the quantum of development. This would be undertaken through further investigation in the subsequent Allocations Plan. The intention behind identifying the range of locations is to provide the development industry and residents with a degree of confidence that such areas could provide major opportunities for future development.

## **8.0 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR THE CORE STRATEGY**

8.1 By law, at each stage of the process, the Core Strategy must be accompanied by supporting materials, which are discussed below.

### **Sustainability Appraisal and Appropriate Assessment**

8.2 The Revised Preferred Options document is accompanied by a Sustainability Appraisal report as required by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The Sustainability Appraisal incorporates a Strategic Environmental Assessment of the plan as required by European directive. An ‘Appropriate Assessment’ Report of the potential impact of the plan on Natura 2000 sites (these are international designations covering species and ecological habitats), and again a legislative requirement has also been prepared.

### **The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP)**

8.3 Infrastructure planning is fundamental to delivering the city’s Local Development Framework (LDF). The Core Strategy will be subject to an independent examination and tested, in part, as to whether its policies and proposals are deliverable and must be supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) setting out :

- What physical, social and green infrastructure is needed to enable the amount of development proposed for the area

- As far as possible, how and when infrastructure will be delivered (including an understanding of committed and planned spending as well as funding gaps); and
- Who will deliver the necessary infrastructure.

8.4 The IDP must include the operations of all infrastructure providers including the Council, and other public and private organisations. Alongside Sunderland's emerging Core Strategy, the IDP has been developed which covers infrastructure important for delivering the specific aims of the Core Strategy. A range of partners, agencies and service providers from the public and private sectors including internal stakeholders have been involved in its development. These organisations have supplied information on their own plans, which through the IDP will help shape their strategic process and investment decisions. The IDP must in its own right be viewed as an evolving document which is monitored and updated regularly, particularly in this climate of considerable financial uncertainty and change.

### **Rejected Options**

8.5 This Core Strategy contains an analysis of the 'Rejected Options' which demonstrates how each policy has been tested, analysed and justified in terms of the wider policy review, public consultation and the Sustainability Appraisal. This sets out in clear terms the reasons why alternative policy options have been discounted in favour of those set out in the Revised Preferred Options draft of the Core Strategy.

## **9.0 NEXT STEPS**

9.1 Subject to Council approval, the Revised Preferred Options report, (along with its supplementary reports), will be published, advertised and placed on the Sunderland website for consultation. The consultation will cover the requisite minimum period of 6 weeks during April and May 2013 and will be undertaken in accordance with the adopted Statement of Community Involvement.

9.2 Whilst consultation at the Preferred Options stage is no longer a statutory requirement, it was considered prudent to continue with both the preparation and consultation of this Revised Preferred Option Draft of the Core Strategy. The introduction of locally derived information will provide the first formal opportunity for residents and stakeholders to consider the policies and the evidence that underpins the conclusions. In effect, consultation at this stage would be a test bed to agree as far as possible, proposals for locally derived land requirements. This would offer time savings prior to moving to the next statutory stage (the Publication Draft).

9.3 Subsequent to the close of consultation, responses will be collated and a summary of the main issues emerging prepared for the agreement of Cabinet. The Core Strategy will be amended as necessary to take account of the results of the consultation and other more up to date information.

9.4 The next statutory versions of the Core Strategy will be delivered as follows :

- Publication Draft including public consultation (programmed for October 2013)
- Submission Draft (programmed for February 2014)
- Public examination before an independent Inspector (programmed for May 2014)

- Adoption (programmed for November 2014)

## **10. STATUS OF THE EMERGING CORE STRATEGY**

- 10.1 The planning system is plan-led and planning applications must be determined in accordance with the adopted development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.
- 10.2 Legally, the saved policies contained within the adopted Unitary Development Plan, 1998 (as amended by Alteration No. 2, 2007) constitutes the city's adopted development plan. Until the Core Strategy is formally adopted, it will remain as a material planning consideration to determine planning applications. The weight that can be given to the Core Strategy as a material consideration will be limited in the short term, but will increase as it advances through the stages outlined at paragraph 9.4. The degree of weight will also be influenced by the extent to which there are unresolved objections to the relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given).

## **11.0 REASON FOR DECISION**

- 10.1 The decision is required to enable the Core Strategy to proceed to its next stage (statutory consultation) in accordance with the Council's adopted Local Development Scheme.

## **12.0 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- 12.1 All local planning authorities are charged under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 with the preparation of a local development framework (LDF), which must include a core strategy.
- 12.2 The Preferred Option stage is no longer statutory and it is entirely reasonable for the Council to consider moving towards the formal Publication Stage. However, a key stage in the process will be the forthcoming Public Examination. An independent Inspector will test the plan for its 'soundness' and will assess whether :
- It is based on robust and credible evidence (comprising evidence that the views of the local community and key stakeholders have been sought) and whether the policies are backed up by fact;
  - The policies and proposals are deliverable
  - The strategy proposed is the most appropriate having discounted all reasonable alternatives. In effect, this requires a clear evidence trail that through public consultation at the earlier stages of the process *ie* up to the Preferred Options stage, that all issues and alternative strategies have had an appropriate airing and assessment. As the Plan advances to the next stages, there is limited opportunity to introduce new proposals
  - The extent to which the Council has worked collectively with neighbouring authorities and other public bodies (as required by the new duty to cooperate).
- 12.3 This will be the first opportunity for residents and stakeholders to comment on the plan since 2009 (and particularly the new locally derived growth requirements post RSS). Given the need to satisfy the above 'tests of soundness' it is considered this informal consultation stage in the LDF process

allows for such proposals to be fully explored. Failure to meet the above tests could result in the Plan being struck down (as has happened elsewhere). Therefore, it is felt there are no alternatives to preparing the preferred options of the Core Strategy.

## **13.0 IMPACT ANALYSIS**

### **Equalities**

13.1 The Core Strategy is 'equalities' neutral by focussing on land use matters. However, a Impact Needs Requirement Assessment (INRA) has been completed. The key area of possible impact on equalities relates to how the document is consulted upon. All consultations will be carried out in accordance with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement.

### **Sustainability**

13.2 By law, planning must promote sustainable development. This is the underlying objective of the Core Strategy. To that effect, the Core Strategy policies have been tested against its own Sustainability Appraisal as outlined at paragraph 8.2 to this report.

### **Reduction of Crime and Disorder – Community Cohesion / Social Inclusion**

13.3 The Core Strategy contains policies which seek to promote crime reduction and social cohesion within new developments.

## **14.0 OTHER RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS**

### **Financial Implications**

14.1 Costs have arisen from developing the evidence base and will arise from the consultations and subsequent Public Examination of the Core Strategy. Funding will be met from contingencies allocated to the LDF.

### **Legal Implications**

14.2 The Core Strategy, Sustainability Appraisal and Appropriate Assessment have been prepared in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, the Planning Act 2008 and the Localism Act 2011.

### **Policy Implications**

14.3 The Revised Preferred Options set out draft over-arching policies for the guidance of development. Until the Core Strategy is adopted the provisions of the saved policies of the Sunderland Unitary Development Plan and Alteration Number 2 will remain the statutory land use policies for the City along with the Regional Spatial Strategy. However the draft Core Strategy will be a material consideration as well to help ensure that planning decisions are up to date and reflect the aspirations of the City as expressed in the Sunderland Strategy and emerging Economic Masterplan.

### **Implications for other Services**


14.4 The Core Strategy policies reflect as appropriate other Council and LSP partners' strategies, plans and programmes. As such it should enable their land use aspects to be achieved in a co-ordinated and timely manner, for instance the land use decisions associated with the Council's waste management strategy. The consultation period will provide a further opportunity for interested parties to ensure that their strategies and plans have been properly accounted for in the Core Strategy.



## **The Public**

14.5 It is a requirement of the planning system that the public as a whole are engaged in the development plan process, with minimum statutory requirements for consultation set out by regulation. The consultations so far on the Core Strategy and those proposed for the Revised Preferred Options have and will achieve those requirements for public involvement set out within the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.

APPENDIX 1 - THE CORE STRATEGY SUB-AREAS.



# Core Strategy and Development Management policies



Local Development Framework  
Development Plan Document  
Draft Revised Preferred Options (March 2013)

  
Sunderland  
City Council



# Core Strategy

## Development Plan Document Draft Revised Preferred Options

March 2013

Janet Johnson  
Deputy Chief Executive  
Sunderland City Council  
P.O Box 102  
Civic Centre  
Sunderland  
SR2 7DN

Tel: (0191) 5611574  
Fax: (0191) 5531224

e-mail: [planningpolicy@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@sunderland.gov.uk)



# Contents

<b>FOREWARD</b>	8
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	11
Why Do We Prepare These Plans ?	11
What is the Core Strategy and why is it Important ?	11
The Core Strategy in the Wider Context	11
The City in Context	15
Key Planning Challenges and Opportunities for the City	17
Defining the City in Spatial Terms	19
Spatial vision and objectives for the city	27
How Has This Core Strategy Been Put Together?	29
<b>CITYWIDE POLICIES</b>	33
1.0 Policy CS1 : Spatial development, growth and regeneration	35
2.0 Policy CS2 : Key Regeneration Sites	41
3.0 Policy CS3 : Developing the City's economic prosperity	45
4.0 Policy CS4 : Sustainable Communities	55
5.0 Policy CS5 : Connecting the City	62
6.0 Policy CS6 : Caring for the City's environment	67
7.0 Policy CS7 : Renewable Energies	77
8.0 Policy CS8 : Waste management	80
9.0 Policy CS9 : Minerals	85
10.0 Policy CS10 : Plan, Monitor, Manage	88
<b>DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICIES</b>	
11.0 Policy DM1 : Promoting Sustainable Development Patterns	
Presumption in favour of sustainable development	91
The sequential approach to development	92
12.0 Policy DM2 : Strategic Sites and Locations for Major Development	
Strategic sites	94
Locations for major development	94
13.0 Policy DM3 : Economic Development	
Primary employment areas	95
Key employment areas	95
Marketing	96
Other employment sites	98
New employment uses outside identified employment areas	99
Trade counters	99
Retailing	100
Evening economy	101
14.0 Policy DM4 : Sustainable Communities	
Re-use and protection of existing housing stock	102
Houses of multiple occupations	103
Housing mix and type	103
Residential density	104
Backland development	105
Affordable housing	105

Student accommodation	107
Supported living accommodation	108
Gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople	109
New community and social facilities	110
The loss of social infrastructure	111
<b>15.0 Policy DM5 : Transport, Access and Parking</b>	
Transport, Access and Parking	112
Electric vehicle charging points	113
Public transport	113
<b>16.0 Policy DM6 : Caring for the city's Environment</b>	
Design	114
Resource efficiency and high environmental standards	115
Advertisements and shopfronts	116
Telecommunications	117
The historic environment	118
The candidate World Heritage Site	118
Scheduled ancient monuments	119
Listed buildings	120
Historic parks and gardens	122
Conservation areas	123
Non-designated heritage assets	125
Archaeology and recording of heritage assts	125
Heritage at risk	126
Access and enjoyment of the historic environment	128
Green Belt	128
Settlement breaks	129
Agricultural land	129
New development in the countryside (including Green Belts and Settlement Breaks)	130
Landscape character	133
Woodlands / hedgerows and trees	134
Biodiversity	135
Green infrastructure	136
Greenspace	137
Local environmental quality – general	138
Amenity	138
Water	139
Ground conditions	140
Health and safety executive areas	141
Hazardous substances	141
<b>17.0 Policy DM7 : Renewable Energy Development</b>	142
<b>18.0 Policy DM8 : Waste</b>	143
<b>19.0 Policy DM9 : Minerals</b>	145
<b>20.0 Policy DM10 : Infrastructure and Developer Contributions</b>	148
<b>Key diagram</b>	





## Foreword

The Core Strategy is the document that sets out how the city will move towards its vision for the future.

Sunderland, its economy and landscape has been transformed over the last 20 years. The transition from a city dominated by coal mining and heavy engineering to modern place with an attractive living environment and a healthy economy based on advanced manufacturing and knowledge based industries has been truly impressive. Much of the transition has been achieved by changing the way that land and property has been used in the city. Public and private sector investment has been used to revitalise and regenerate buildings and sites so that they can be used for purposes that are relevant to the changing needs of the city and region.

This new Core Strategy seeks to continue the transformation by ensuring that we have a clear understanding of how the city's land and property assets need to be developed to meet the challenges facing the city over the next 20 years. Whilst much has been achieved there is still a need to increase the numbers of good quality jobs available to local people and improve the choice of housing. In meeting these challenges we will need to make the right decisions about how we use the limited assets we have at our disposal and this document outlines the commitments that will move the city towards the agreed future objectives.

# Introduction





## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **Why Do We Prepare These Plans ?**

1. Planning ensures that new development such as houses, offices and roads are located in the right place at the right time.
2. Most new development requires planning permission before it can be built. All councils are required by law to prepare and maintain up to date development plans that set out a long-term blueprint for the future (normally over 15 to 20 years) of their area. These plans set out planning policies to show which sites or areas can be developed and where other parts of the city should be protected. Policies can also say the uses for each site and even suggest how they might look. The current development plan for Sunderland, the Unitary Development Plan, was adopted in 1998 and was partially amended in 2007 by Alteration No. 2 which covers Central Sunderland.

### **What is the Core Strategy and why is it Important ?**

3. The Core Strategy sits at the heart of the our new development plan. The Core Strategy says how the city will change by 2032 by setting out the spatial vision and aims and the strategic policies required to deliver that vision. Most policies in the Core Strategy are not site specific. Taking its lead from the Core Strategy, a city wide Allocations Plan will provide the site specific detail. This may also be supplemented by Neighbourhood Plans which relate to small distinctive localities.
4. By outlining how the city will develop, the Core Strategy provides certainty for developers and communities as to how their proposals for development will be considered by the Council. It makes the process of submitting a planning application easier as the Council can provide a clear, consistent and up to date strategy which will direct sustainable sites across the city.
5. By providing certainty, the Core Strategy will also enable the Council to attract more funding and attract more investment (from businesses, residents and visitors). This will naturally help to create more jobs, attract new residents and sustain and enhance essential services and facilities such as shops, schools, doctors.
6. The Core Strategy will therefore affect everyone who lives, works, plays in or visits our City.

### **The Core Strategy in the Wider Context**

7. The Core Strategy is not prepared in complete isolation and is guided by other plans, policies prepared at the national, regional and local level.

## The National Planning Context

8. This Government has and continues to introduce a range of measures to reform the planning system. Fundamentally, it has sought to remove centralised controls and give local communities and areas greater control over their own futures. **The Localism Act (2011)** provides the main legislation by which this transfer of power will come.

9. The need to provide an up to date development plan remains. But in addition, communities can have a far greater influence over what happens where they live. They have the ability to bring forward Neighbourhood Development Plans, Neighbourhood Development Orders and Community Right to Build Orders. These new powers must be prepared in conformity with the Core Strategy and national policies, and are designed to foster and shape new development rather than inhibit it.

10. National planning policy was previously set out in 25 separate themed policy statements. In March 2012, the **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** was published. This has consolidated and simplified all national policies into a single document. The objective underpinning the NPPF is the presumption in favour of sustainable development whereby plans must support sustainable growth.

## Regional Context

11. **Regional Spatial Strategies (RSS)** also form part of each council's development plan. They provide regionally based policies and set long term district targets primarily for future housing and employment needs and our own plans must conform to the RSS. Presently, the RSS for the North East remains in force, but under the Localism Act, the abolition of all RSSs is expected shortly. This will mean that we will be able to set our own growth targets (where supported by more up to date evidence). This Core Strategy has been prepared on that basis. Many of the existing RSS policies are still of value and where relevant, have been absorbed into this Core Strategy. In other respects, principally around housing and employment land targets, we have reviewed the evidence to provide new long term targets.

12. As a replacement to the loss of the regional tier of plans, The Localism Act introduces a duty for all local authorities and public bodies to cooperate on strategic cross boundary issues. To comply with this **duty to cooperate**, a draft protocol has been prepared to set in place the formal processes by which any cross boundary issues are taken into account as our respective plans are prepared. In preparing our Core Strategy, there has and continues to be an ongoing dialogue with our neighbouring authorities to ensure that the appropriate level of co-ordination is made towards the delivery of each other's proposals.


13. Some of the matters that have and will continue to require ongoing cooperation are shown on Figure 1 and can be summarised as follows :

### *Economic Prosperity*

- The potential to extend the proposed strategic employment site north of Nissan into Green Belt land within South Tyneside.

- Sunderland forms part of the North East Local Economic Partnership, consisting of Northumberland County Council, Durham County Council and the four remaining Tyne and Wear Authorities
- The designation of the North East Local Enterprise Partnership's (NELEP) Enterprise Zone (in 2011). Totalling some 117 hectares, it contains sites in Sunderland, Newcastle North Tyneside and Blyth. The Sunderland element of the Enterprise Zone is based at the Nissan Car Plant totalling some 42 hectares. This area will be promoted for advanced manufacturing, innovation, research and development in the ultra low carbon vehicles sector. The Enterprise Zones will benefit from amongst other things, a package of financial incentives and more simplified planning requirements.

Figure 1 : Cross Boundary Issues



### *Sustainable Communities*

- Sunderland's housing market does not necessarily stop at its administrative boundary and can extend into the neighbouring areas. Its important we work jointly to ensure that the right housing is provided in the right place and are supported by the relevant services and facilities

### *Connectivity*

- The future use of the Leamside Line.
- The potential for improvements to the Durham Coast railway line to facilitate local travel opportunities.
- The possibility of connecting the Pelaw-Sunderland Metro line with the Pelaw-South Shields line via a link near Biddick Hall in South Tyneside district.
- The future of the East Durham Link Road.

### *Environment*

- Strategic cross-boundary green infrastructure corridors.
- The coast (through the emerging Marine Maritime Plan), its SPA and SAC, its Durham Heritage Coast designation and the future National Coastal Footpath.
- As a partner with Gateshead and South Tyneside, we are part of the South Tyne and Wear Waste Management Partnership working towards meeting our joint waste targets.
- Responsibility of the North East councils to work together to meet requirements for minerals extraction.
- The Limestone Landscape Partnership, a partnership including Durham CC, South Tyneside, Sunderland, Hartlepool and Darlington that seeks to conserve the landscape, wildlife and heritage of the East Durham Magnesian Limestone.
- St Peter's Church in Sunderland and St Paul's Church in Jarrow are part of the twin Anglo-Saxon monastery of Wearmouth-Jarrow, where World Heritage Site is being sought.

### **The Local Context**

**14.** At the local level, the Sunderland Strategy 2008-2025 provides the overarching suite of priorities identified by the Council and its partners. Specific aims are identified around developing a more sustainable, prosperous, healthy, learning, attractive and inclusive city.

**15.** In 2010, the Council and its partners launched the Sunderland Economic Masterplan to guide the city's economic growth over the next 15 years. This integrates both the urban economic strategy and the spatial framework for the city. The Masterplan's long term vision for the city is :  
*"To create an entrepreneurial university city at the heart of a low carbon regional economy"*

**16.** This is underpinned by five aims that will have a spatial dimension :



**Aim 1: A new kind of University City** – developing Sunderland University’s ability to facilitate enterprise and innovation in the city.

**Aim 2: A national hub of the low carbon economy** – emphasising the city’s potential in pioneering a low carbon economy, linked to the Ministerial designation in that Sunderland will be at the geographic heart of the Low Carbon Economic Area in the North East.

**Aim 3: A connected waterfront City Centre** – emphasising the importance of the city’s waterfront position as a driver for economic development and place-making

**Aim 4: A whole-life, inclusive city economy** – ensuring the strategy delivers economic interventions that directly contributes to improving access to opportunity and reducing wordlessness and social exclusion in the city

**Aim 5: Entrepreneurial in economic leadership** – driving this ambitious city agenda will require clear, strong and entrepreneurial leadership around which resources and appropriate governance arrangements can be assembled.

17. These documents are fundamental building blocks, forming part of the our evidence base. Preparation of this Core Strategy has both been influenced by and, in turn, informed the Sunderland Strategy and Sunderland Economic Masterplan. The Core Strategy will therefore be the key mechanism for delivering the spatial objectives of these two important documents.

## The City in Context

18. Sunderland is a large city on the north east coast covering an area of 137 square kilometres. The River Wear bisects the city which flows though working farmland, landscaped parks, urban areas before finding its way to the busy Port of Sunderland and its beaches.

19. Sunderland has an estimated population of some 275,500<sup>1</sup>, though trends have highlighted that the population has been predominantly falling over the past 20 years (the proportion of residents from black and minority ethnic communities has risen in the last decade). However, projections now indicate that the population will continue to increase over the next 20 years.

20. Despite its successes, parts of the city still suffer from deprivation - 70 of the 188 Census Localities (called Super Output Areas or SOAs) in Sunderland are ranked among the 20% most deprived in England.

21. Historically, Sunderland was one of the main economic powerhouses of the north east and the city was widely known for its coalmining, shipbuilding and the manufacturing of high quality glass which were shipped around the world. Over recent decades these operations have closed. Between 1975 and 1989, the city lost a quarter of its jobs as the main employment sectors declined.

---

<sup>1</sup> Census 2011

Figure 2: Sunderland in the Regional Context



22. The city has since undergone a significant physical and economic transformation. In place of the traditional industrial sectors, have risen world leaders in automotive manufacturing following the location of Nissan in Washington and specialists in the financial and customer services. Sunderland now has a reputation for being one of the most IT-intelligent communities globally. In the last 10 years the city has attracted more jobs through inward investment than any other location in the North East, in part

through the impressive facilities such as Doxford International and Rainton Bridge South Business Parks.

**23.** The University of Sunderland attracts some 15,000 students (one in ten from overseas) to its prestigious modern campus at St. Peter's riverside, together with a £75million city centre campus. It has one of the best media centres in Europe and is well placed to influence the shaping of a new kind of university city.

**24.** For a city with an industrial background, Sunderland has a rich and diverse natural and cultural heritage. 57% of the city is green comprising open countryside (some of which is designated as Green Belt), sandy beaches, greenspaces within the urban fabric and over 80 sites recognised for their nature conservation value. The Council and its partners have been extremely active to deliver significant environmental improvements. Since 1974, over 1,000 hectares of former industrial land has been reclaimed for new uses such as major new country parks, business parks and Sunderland AFC's Stadium of Light.

**25.** Sunderland's housing stock is dominated by terraces and semi-detached properties and there is a shortage of detached dwellings. Two thirds of all homes fall into the lowest Council Tax bracket (Band A). This points to a need to remodel the existing housing stock to ensure that sufficient homes are provided of the right type, in the right place and in the right tenure to meet the city's existing and future requirements. As part of this, Gentoo, the city's largest single housing provider, continues a programme of modernising its existing housing portfolio.

**26.** The city is served by the A19 trunk road running north to south, the A1231 from east to west and the A690 linking the city to the A1(M) via the Coalfield. The Grand Central train service connects the city to London and the Tyne and Wear Metro links the City to the wider Tyne and Wear conurbation.

## **Key Planning Challenges and Opportunities for the City**

**27.** Despite the notable recovery, there remains a range of significant social, physical and economic challenges. The most important is the current global recession which is affecting growth at a national level, leading to a fall in living standards, rising unemployment, and worsening conditions for business.

**28.** The broad spatial issue for the Core Strategy is how the city can be remodelled into a more sustainable image in terms of the distribution of main land uses and activities to meet the needs of communities and businesses. This reflects the growing influence of car travel, but also the dispersed pattern of the city's development and as a consequence being less able to support good and efficient public transport systems, combined with the city's coastal location, a city centre quite offset from the centre of its attachment and major dividing features such as the River Wear and Magnesian Limestone Escarpment.

29. The limited choice in the city's housing stock has, and continues to be, an important factor in why people chose to live elsewhere. This is particularly true for those residents with young families who have tended to move to neighbouring areas (primarily Durham and Newcastle). This has real spatial consequences for the city as schools, shops and services come under increasing pressure to remain viable with an ever decreasing customer base.

30. The key spatial challenges and opportunities for the Core Strategy can be summarised as follows :


Challenge	Opportunities
<b>Geography</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A substantial (but not inexhaustible) supply of brownfield land. Some cannot be viably developed without significant investment.</li> <li>○ The Magnesian Limestone Escarpment divides Sunderland from the Coalfields.</li> <li>○ Geography and topography fragment the City Centre from the river and coast.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The city has a good track record in regeneration</li> <li>○ Delivering key development areas in the Central Area to provide greater physical and functional links.</li> </ul>
<b>Sustainable Communities</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Parts of the city have high deprivation.</li> <li>○ Low levels of progression into higher education or higher earning jobs.</li> <li>○ Wealth and health fall below the UK average.</li> <li>○ Unemployment, whilst at a 25 year low, is higher than the national average.</li> <li>○ Housing choice has not kept pace with aspirations and income.</li> <li>○ A falling population over the last 10 years though this is forecast to grow.</li> <li>○ The city's population is ageing.</li> <li>○ Family forming age groups with higher paid jobs are seeking wider housing choices elsewhere but commute daily into the city to work.</li> <li>○ Obesity is becoming more prevalent</li> <li>○ Educational attainment is improving but is below the national average.</li> <li>○ A mismatch between skills and jobs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ New housing allows us to re-balance the housing stock to meet demand and choice.</li> <li>○ New housing should maximise access to employment and services (physically and digitally).</li> <li>○ Established housing market renewal programme.</li> <li>○ Address physical factors of provision and access to formal / informal recreation space, decent housing, facilities for walking, cycling.</li> <li>○ Increasing the role of the University of Sunderland and Sunderland College in developing vocational skills and creating a learning and entrepreneurial spirit.</li> <li>○ Encouraging enterprise in schools.</li> <li>○ Taking a strategic approach to raising skills.</li> <li>○ Attracting and retailing young people in the city.</li> <li>○ Working with employers and jobseekers to match people to jobs.</li> </ul>
<b>Economic Prosperity</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 16.6% of the city's employment is based in the City Centre but lacks high quality office space.</li> <li>○ Some employment areas require investment.</li> <li>○ A reliance on a narrow range employment base ie cars and contact centres.</li> <li>○ Competing demand for non-employment uses in employment areas.</li> <li>○ Less spending power results in less investment from new retailers.</li> <li>○ The City Centre underperforms by comparison to its neighbouring competitors</li> <li>○ Smaller shopping centres have a poor image and require investment.</li> <li>○ More people choose to shop on-line.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Sunderland is the most digitally connected city offering resilient connectivity for businesses.</li> <li>○ The Low Carbon Economic Area and the Enterprise Zone will drive investment.</li> <li>○ Expanding growth in software, low carbon businesses and those associated with the health and creative industries.</li> <li>○ Availability to diversify employment opportunities and expand on growth at Nissan.</li> <li>○ Good track record of attracting inward investment.</li> <li>○ Existing sites provide opportunities for comparison and convenience retailing.</li> <li>○ The Port that now attracts more business.</li> </ul>
<b>Environment</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ High quantities of green space, though not all are of sufficient quality.</li> <li>○ Tackling climate change.</li> <li>○ An underutilised seafront.</li> <li>○ Ensuring development remains sympathetic to our built heritage and natural assets.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ World Heritage Status for St Peter's will drive heritage led regeneration.</li> <li>○ Reducing greenhouse gases by 80% by 2080.</li> <li>○ Public realm improvements to ensure places remain attractive to live work and play.</li> <li>○ High quality tourist attractions e.g. a revitalised Seafront and successful events management.</li> </ul>
<b>Connectivity</b>	

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Poor public transport access from the Coalfields and Washington to the City Centre.</li> <li>○ Car is the dominant mode of travel.</li> <li>○ The Metro does not all of the city.</li> <li>○ The Leamside Line provides an opportunity to improve connectivity.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Government funding confirmed for the new Wear Crossing.</li> <li>○ Realisation of the wider SSTC.</li> <li>○ Nexus exploring the viability of extending the Metro across to Washington and the Coalfields.</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

## Defining the City in Spatial Terms

31. This Core Strategy has divided city down into 5 sub-areas to reflect their geographical, functional and operational distinctions. This section provides an overview of each area and outlines the issues and opportunities within it and indicates a “direction of travel” for Core Strategy policy.

Figure 3: The Core Strategy Sub-Areas



## **The Central Area**

**32.** The Central Area is a small geographic area close to the mouth of the Wear. Straddling both sides of the river, it contains the main commercial and administrative area of Sunderland and includes the City Centre and the City Centre Retail Core.

### **Key issues and constraints**

- *Need to develop the city centre office market*
- *Declining city centre vitality and viability*
- *Limited residential population*
- *Need to protect setting of candidate World Heritage Site*

### **Opportunities and growth**

- *Development of the Strategic Site at Vaux/ Farringdon Row will bring new employment and housing to the City Centre and enhance its vitality and viability*
- *The University and Software City are key drivers in the growth of the city's knowledge-based economy*
- *The regeneration of Sunnyside will create a mixed-use urban quarter in the city centre*
- *Stadium Village has the potential to accommodate large-scale leisure uses*
- *Centre of the public transport network*
- *The designation of the candidate World Heritage Site at St. Peters will create a world-class heritage designation and attract tourists to the city.*

**33.** The need to regenerate the city centre is a priority of the Council. A key element in this is the need to “grow” the city centre office market in order to provide the range and number of city centre B1 offices found in other similar sized cities. The former Vaux brewery site – along with the adjacent Farringdon Row site - is the only site of sufficient size in the city centre which gives the opportunity to deliver significant office employment and as such it is vital if the economy of the centre and the wider city is to be realised to its full potential.

**34.** Apart from the multi-storey blocks in the city centre, the proportion of residential properties is limited in Central Area. New residential development combined with a new office quarter on the Vaux site will have a number of positive regenerative effects. The re-development of the Vaux/ Farringdon Row site will be an important component in the City Council's strategy for encouraging people to remain, or move back into the city centre. Residential use elsewhere within the City Centre e.g. above shops will also add to its vitality and viability and will be supported.

**35.** Alongside the development of the Strategic Site, other complementary business uses will be fostered in the city centre. The potential of Software City as an incubator for new technologies will be built-upon, and new initiatives brought forward in the city centre to support the movement towards the creation of a “University City” as outlined in the Economic Masterplan. The University's plans for the development of its two city centre campuses will be supported to ensure that its role as a major centre for learning is also maintained.

**36.** The retail function of the city centre needs to be enhanced if the centre is to maintain its vitality and viability. The 2012 Retail Needs Assessment highlights a qualitative need for new convenience and – especially - new comparison floorspace in the City Centre. Within the Retail Core (see plan X) there are a number of sites that are capable of redevelopment for retail use, primarily the Holmeside Triangle and the Crowtree Leisure Centre. The realignment of St. Marys Way, as part of the redevelopment of the Vaux site, will allow new retail sites to be provided as part of the remodelling of properties on High Street West.

**37.** The Central Area extends across to the northern bank of the river and takes in Stadium Village which comprises the Stadium Park and Sheepfolds development sites. A Development Framework has been prepared to provide a comprehensive masterplan to guide development of the area. A masterplan is also being prepared to guide the development of the Bonnersfield area which takes in the area between the University's St. Peter's Campus and the Wearmouth Bridge. This area is suitable for a mixture of education uses along with new residential development, here development will have to be mindful of the need to protect the setting of the adjacent candidate World Heritage Site.

**38.** Public transport provision in Sunderland converges on the city centre. There are four Metro stations in the Central Area with the Public Transport Interchange at Park Lane provides modern facilities linking the Metro with local and long distance bus services. National and regional train services run from Sunderland Station. The Sunderland Parking Strategy 2004 indicates that while there is an adequate supply of parking spaces in the city centre, the quality of the car parks overall needs to be improved.

**39.** Stadium Village and the city centre have been highlighted to be in need of much improved public realm, and as regeneration takes place in the city centre, development should support improvements to north-south and east-west connectivity. The Council's City Centre Investment Corridors initiative will assist in focussing resources in key streets in the City Centre.

**40.** The city centre has a unique historic environment. It features a particular concentration of heritage assets (over 150 Listed Buildings, 4 Conservation Areas, a registered historic park and garden and a candidate World Heritage Site at St. Peters), which combine to give it an especially distinctive and high quality townscape character and sense of place. This environment should be conserved in a constructive and pro-active manner that capitalises on its proven regenerative role and tourism appeal. The City Council's Central Area Urban Design Strategy (July 2008) provides a detailed approach to ensuring architectural and design excellence in the city centre and adjoining areas on the northern bank of the river.

**41.** The area has a number of major green spaces and parks, such as Mowbray Park (which is identified as a historic park), and Galleys Gill which provide vital areas of recreation and form part of wider green infrastructure corridors. The opportunity to create new green spaces and public squares in

the city centre as part of large redevelopment schemes will be pursued such as the Minster Quarter.

### **South Sunderland**

**42.** Sunderland South sub-area covers an area of some 4,284 hectares and is the most populated sub area of the city, in 2010 it had a population of around 119,532 people (around 42% of the city population). It has the natural boundaries with the River Wear to the north and Coastline to the east as well as the A19 around the west and south.

#### **Key issues and constraints**

- *The area suffers from limited access to green space*
- *Green wedges between the urban area will help preserve the identity of communities*

#### **Opportunities and growth**

- *The Port is identified in the Economic Masterplan as having a major role*
- *Doxford International is a key employment area*
- *Major development sites at Groves, Chapelgarth, Cherry Knowle and South Ryhope will provide regeneration benefits arising from new housing development*
- *Gentoo has a significant regeneration programme in South Sunderland*
- *Public transport along radial routes provides an efficient and sustainable service*
- *The SSTC will provide access opportunities for sites along the river corridor*

**43.** Primary Employment Areas are identified at Doxford International, The Port and Pallion Shipyard. Doxford is an established business park with high-density office accommodation for a range of local, national and international firms. Sites at The Port and Pallion Shipyard have potential to accommodate developments that will support emerging offshore markets. The area also has three long-established employment areas at Pennywell, Leechmere and Hendon.

**44.** The strategic location of the Port at the mouth of the river gives it significant accessibility advantages for shipping. The Economic Masterplan highlights the potential role of the Port as a major facility in supporting the emerging offshore wind industry. The freight line to the Port from the Durham Coast rail line provides heavy goods freight access and is an important asset. New vehicular access to the Port is proposed as part of the Sunderland Strategic transport Corridor.

**45.** South Sunderland is a popular residential area. The area contains the largest proportion of the city's housing stock and has the potential capacity to meet a significant proportion of the city's housing requirement. Five Locations for Major Development have been identified for residential development in the area. Four of these - Chapelgarth, Cherry knowle, South Ryhope and Burdon Lane - are in close proximity to each other and offer the potential to be developed comprehensively as the "South Sunderland Growth Area".

**46.** A fifth LMD has been identified at the former Groves Crane factory. A long established regeneration site, this was identified for residential use in the UDP Alteration for Central Sunderland. A development framework has been prepared to guide development.



47. A new Metro station is proposed as part of the redevelopment of the former Groves Cranes site, and consideration may also need to be given to a further new station at Millfield to support the development sites in the locality (Lisburn Terrace, Deptford Terrace). The radial roads stretching through the area afford good connections with the City Centre.

48. Despite being the most densely developed sub-area, the area contains a range of built and natural features which add to the character of the area. The area has a distinct urban history and rich architectural heritage. This is reflected in the number and varying character of conservation areas in the sub-area.

49. Green space is at a premium in much of the sub-area due to the densely built-up nature of the area. Residential communities on the periphery are separated by open breaks and wedges which preserve their distinct identities.

### **North Sunderland**

50. The Sunderland North sub-area is highly urbanised with just over 58,000 residents. This represents 21% of the City's population. It is clearly bounded by the coast to the east, the Green Belt to the north, the A19 to the west and River Wear to the south.

#### **Key issues and constraints**

- *The area is highly urbanised and limited in land for new housing and future employment allocations*

#### **Opportunities and growth**

- *The Sea Front Strategy and Marine Walk Masterplan will assist in revitalising the seafront*
- *Gentoo regeneration programme will provide more homes and increase housing choice in the area*
- *Areas of the coast are designated as Special Protection Areas (SPA's)*

51. The Council's 2012 Employment Land Update identifies the employment areas at Hylton Riverside and Sunrise Business Park as Primary Employment Areas. These are thriving modern estates with a wide range of businesses. The area has a major role to play in the City's tourism industry. The City Council and its partners are aiming to regenerate the Roker and Seaburn seafront to create an attractive environment, building on the area's heritage and natural environment. A planning and regeneration framework – the Seafront Regeneration Strategy - is already in place to steer the development of the area.

52. North Sunderland has two distinctive housing market areas: the Northern Suburbs to the west of the area and the Northern Coastal areas to the east. The eastern part of the area, Seaburn/ Roker, is popular for higher value housing due to its coastal location. Housing renewal is planned for several communities in the western part of North Sunderland.

## Washington

**53.** Washington is a stand alone settlement built in the 1960s as part of the New Towns Programme and has the second largest population of all of the sub-areas, with approximately 56,500 residents. Developed into a series of villages, it is interperced with modern employment areas. It has proved attractive to businesses and residents.

### **Key issues and constraints**

- *There is limited potential for new housing and employment allocations within the built-up area*
- *The viability and vitality of the Town Centre needs to be maintained*
- *The characteristics of the New Town and its villages, such as the separation of conflicting land uses and substantial landscaping and open space, should be protected*
- *No passenger rail or metro services in the area.*

### **Opportunities and growth**

- *Washington's location on the strategic road network makes it highly marketable for industry and business*
- *Sunderland's designation as a Low Carbon Economic Area places the city, and particularly Nissan, at its geographical centre*
- *The North of Nissan site will add significantly to the portfolio of sites capable of attracting inward investment*
- *Development in the Enterprise Zone will intensify land uses for employment.*

**54.** For some time Washington has played a major role in the local and regional economy due to the availability of modern, high quality, business accommodation and access to major road networks. The Economic Masterplan highlights the new role that Washington will play as the City's economy is moved towards a greater emphasis on modern, low carbon businesses. The declaration of the Low Carbon Employment Area and, more recently, the Low Carbon Enterprise Zone provide a key positive direction in the development of Washington as a major location for new and emerging low carbon businesses. The success of the Nissan plant will be a significant factor in driving this.

**55.** The importance of Washington to the local economy is reflected in the recent Employment Land Update (2012). The Update, which takes forward the principles of the EMP, highlights the role that the area can play in supporting the development of the low carbon economy. In particular it highlights that Nissan, Turbine Park and some of the Washington Estates are key to the local economy and as such are recognised as Primary Employment Areas.

**56.** The Council's Employment Land Reviews (2009 and 2012) highlighted the need to seek a release of land to accommodate potential demand in the Washington area in the future. Due to the constrained nature of the area, this requirement was considered to be best met in the form of a large site to the north of the existing Nissan car plant.

**57.** Washington is limited in its future new housing land allocation due to the village layouts and surrounding Green Belt, open space and employment allocations. The area may have potential as a location for executive housing.

58. The New Town was designed with road vehicles – particularly the car – as the primary form of transport and the town. This results in sustainable transport options being relatively limited with buses being the main form of public transport available. The City Council, with partners, will support initiatives that encourage and enable faster services to/from Washington, particularly from Sunderland.

59. The main issue concerning connectivity stems from the development of the proposed Strategic Site to the north of Nissan as this will generate significant levels of traffic. The Council is liaising with the Highways Agency and other transport partners to ensure that the site can be operated effectively within the highway network. In the longer-term there may be a need to carry out improvements to the network.

60. The Green Belt encompasses the new town. Areas of Green Belt are allocated North of the River Wear aiming to protect the attractive rural nature of the riverside, emphasise the separation of uses between Fatfield and the Pattinson Industrial Estate and further prevent Fatfield from encroaching into the Wear Valley.

### **Coalfield**

61. The Coalfield is the largest of the sub-areas in the city, covering over 5,500 hectares, approximately 42% of the city. It is made up of a number of former mining towns and villages that include Houghton-le-Spring and Hetton-le-Hole. It is the least densely populated of the sub-areas housing only 17% of the city's population.

#### **Key issues and constraints**

- *Poor access to employment areas within and around the Coalfield*
- *Poor housing choice and environment contributing to out migration*
- *Houghton town centre is in need of regeneration and has a relatively poor retail offer leading to residents shopping elsewhere in the city and neighbouring authorities*
- *The Coalfield sub-area has more flood risk areas than elsewhere in the city*
- *No passenger rail or Metro services in the area.*

#### **Opportunities and growth**

- *Release of unviable employment land provides regeneration opportunities for the Coalfield*
- *The Gentoo renewal programme will provide more homes and increase housing choice in the area*
- *The re-opening of the Leamside Line would improve accessibility for the area*
- *The completion of the Central Route will improve access to the area*
- *The area has significant tourism potential due to historic industrial heritage and transformed natural environment.*

62. For some time the Coalfield has been the focus for housing development that has helped to absorb demand for housing in Washington; some 26% of new housing developed in the city since 2005 has been located in the area.

63. Compared to the other sub-areas the Coalfield has few modern employment areas. With the exception of Rainton Bridge (the only Primary

Employment Area in the Coalfield), the local employment areas comprise older estates with poor accessibility to main transport routes. This has resulted in limited market demand for land and premises. Some employment land has been released and redeveloped for new housing but the pressure for release continues as the popularity of the area as a location for new house building has grown. There is a need to balance out demands for these two land uses to ensure that the Coalfield can thrive.

**64.** New housing development in the Coalfield should seek to rebalance the local housing stock, including the creation of executive homes and affordable dwellings. Widening the range of housing types in the Coalfield is necessary to meet local need and to stem the out-migration of the city's residents to neighbouring areas such as County Durham. The focus for new development in the Coalfield will be on brownfield land, in sustainable locations. However, it is recognised that to meet the allocated housing numbers some greenfield sites may have to be developed.

**65.** The Council's 2009 Retail Needs Assessment highlights that there is a need to enhance the retail role and function of Houghton Town Centre. In this respect a scheme for the redevelopment of the former Houghton colliery site on the edge of the town centre to provide a new supermarket is being progressed.

**66.** The Coalfield is home to a large community of travelling show people, with two yards, one at Grasswell Terrace and one at Pearsons Industrial Estate. The Council will ensure the housing needs of this community are met when considering housing sites in the Allocations DPD.

**67.** The linear form of the Coalfield conurbation results in movement through the area being difficult. There is a particular reliance on public transport.

**68.** The development of the Central Route will remain an ambition. This road will enhance access to employment areas in the Coalfield and enhance their marketability. In the longer term the development of the Coalfield Regeneration Route around the west side of the area and round to the A19 link road at Dawdon will continue to be pursued with Durham County Council.

**69.** The underlying geology of the area gives rise to particular planning issues:-

- The Magnesium Limestone Escarpment is the city's most significant geodiversity and biodiversity asset and therefore should be protected from development that may result in its unnecessary erosion or harm.
- The area also contains significant minerals reserves. Both of the city's operational quarries, Hetton Moor House Farm and Eppleton Quarry, are located in the Coalfield. Four Mineral Safeguarding Areas have been identified in the Coalfield. Extraction at Warden Law, Great Eppleton and Pitlington Hill could have consequences for the city's Green Belt and open countryside.

## Spatial vision and objectives for the city

70. The spatial vision for Sunderland is a product of the Sunderland Strategy, the EMP and takes into account the issues identified for the city.

*“An internationally recognised city which offers a great quality of life with an enhanced, entrepreneurial and progressive low carbon economy.*

*Sustainable development and growth will see a distribution of land uses creating a city where residents have access to first class services, facilities and opportunities.*

*In the long term the aim is to develop a city where all residents have a realistic opportunity to access the main centres for employment, shopping and leisure without needing to use a car.”*

### **What Sunderland will look like by 2032 ?**

*The population of Sunderland is growing. There is an increase in the birth rate and in-migration, attracted by the improved mix of high quality housing to suit different needs at affordable prices, with more younger, economically active age groups are living and studying in the city.*

*Communities are playing an active role in shaping and managing their neighbourhoods and contributing to the city's economy. As a result of housing renewal and investment, the city's housing stock has considerably improved. Several major housing estates such as Pennywell, Mill Hill and Racecourse are now attracting a wide range of new residents. Investment in new and existing housing has led to district and local centres being at the heart of communities, playing a vital role in reducing carbon emissions and improving walking, cycling and the health of residents.*

*Job opportunities are diverse and plentiful in an economy concentrating on low carbon technologies and knowledge based industries underpinned by a major university. The city is a draw for major investment in high-tech industries, attracted to the city by local entrepreneurial activity as well as a high number of graduates and the quality of its infrastructure.*

*The University of Sunderland and Sunderland College have and continue to play vital roles in developing the city's economy, ensuring it is a dynamic enterprise-driven urban culture, attracting the best minds and investment.*

*A network of green infrastructure has been established across the city, linking in with housing and employment. This has helped to increase biodiversity and wildlife whilst also improving provision of green, open space for all residents and visitors. The green network includes enhanced environments of the Coast and the River Wear that link the city with its neighbouring authorities. The city's natural habitats and species have been protected and are in admirable condition.*

*The city's built heritage has been protected and plays a vital cultural role, ensuring the city's past, with its early Christian, medieval and industrial heritage, is not forgotten. Conservation areas and listed buildings have been revitalised with sensitive and appropriate development and help to ensure that the city's townscape sustains a distinctive sense of place.*

*Improved public transport, including a rejuvenated Metro system, has played a key role in providing a more sustainable city, with improved links between areas and enhanced connectivity between Sunderland, the city-region and the rest of the country. There is less need for residents to use their cars for work and shopping trips.*

*The city centre has been revitalised and has become the destination of choice for the whole city to live, work and play in. The development of the Vaux and Farringdon Row sites have introduced a new and attractive place to work and live. New retail development in the City Centre Retail Core has consolidated the sub-regional role of the centre. Together these sites have created a vital and viable centre which continues to attract higher order retail operators. Environmental enhancements have increased the attractiveness of the City Centre, with new and refreshed public space, shops and entertainment linked by improved pedestrian access.*

*Key sites in Central Sunderland have also been developed, removing former dereliction and vastly improving the city's environment, enhancing the perception of Sunderland as an attractive place to live, work and study. They are linked by the Sunderland Strategic Transport Corridor that provides enhanced access from the Port to the A19 via a landmark bridge crossing the River Wear. Its development has brought about an environmental upgrading of this key gateway into the City Centre.*

*Employment on both the Enterprise Zone and new strategic employment site at Washington has led to the city becoming an international focus for low carbon technology, research and development, focussed around the Nissan car plant and ultra low carbon vehicles. Washington's location and accessibility to the strategic road network, large amounts of land for economic development, has led to increased job creation benefits for the city and sub-region.*

*South Sunderland has been a focus for new house building introducing a much needed mix of housing and providing the city with a supply of higher value executive homes. This has been a major contributor in diversifying the city's housing stock further.*

*Doxford International is still a thriving business park and is home to a wide range of multinational companies drawn towards its prestigious high specification offices and availability of leading-edge telecommunications.*

*The Coalfield has been regenerated and has seen sustainable growth. The development of the Central Route has improved accessibility to the area. Rainton Bridge is now fully occupied and is a major source of employment in the area. New housing has led to an increased population whilst Houghton town centre now provides an enhanced retail offer.*

*The Seaburn and Roker seafront plays a major role in attracting tourists into the city, along with the development of Stadium Village for leisure related uses, and the World Heritage Site at St Peter's*

## **Spatial Objectives**

**71.** The spatial objectives aim to help achieve the spatial vision. A range of planning actions that could bring about the achievement of each objective has also been suggested.

<b>Spatial Objectives</b>	<b>Primary Policies</b>
<b>1. Spatial Development and Growth</b> Ensure an appropriate distribution and balance of employment, housing growth and other competing land uses in the context of maximising the reuse of previously developed land so as to minimise the urbanisation of greenfield land, whilst planning for sustainable growth of the city's population, including the retention of young economically active age groups.	<b>CS1</b> <b>DM1</b>
<b>2. Climate Change</b> Adapt to and minimise the impact of climate change by reducing carbon emissions and seeking to reduce the risk and impact of flooding.	<b>CS6</b> <b>DM1</b> <b>DM5</b> <b>DM6</b> <b>DM7</b>
<b>3. Economic Development</b>	<b>CS1</b>

Facilitate economic growth by providing a wide portfolio of high quality employment sites, whilst supporting the development of new key employment sectors, including the low carbon economy, and strengthen existing industry.	CS2 CS3 DM1 DM2 DM3
<b>4. Housing</b> Provide enough land for to meet the city's housing requirement and ensure a range and choice of housing types and tenures including increased provision of affordable and executive homes.	CS1 CS2 CS4 DM1 DM2 DM4
<b>5. Accessibility</b> Implement sustainable transport solutions that enhance the city's profile, its economic competitiveness and achieve low-carbon outcomes whilst enhancing accessibility for all to a full range of facilities and jobs and reducing dependency on the car. To implement traffic management measures to manage congestion and associated environmental and health impacts of traffic.	CS5 DM1 DM5
<b>6. Green Infrastructure</b> Protect the city's biodiversity, geological resource, countryside and landscapes, including the River Wear, the coast and the Magnesian Limestone Escarpment and seek opportunities to enhance that resource where possible, whilst ensuring that all homes have good access to a range of interlinked green infrastructure.	CS6 DM1 DM6
<b>7. Neighbourhoods and Communities</b> Develop cohesive, inclusive and attractive sustainable communities and neighbourhood that are well integrated with schools, shops, services, facilities and open space whilst ensuring that the diverse needs of the city's different communities are met.	CS4 DM1 DM4
<b>8. Well-being</b> Improve and protect citizens' health, promote healthy lifestyles and ensure the development of facilities to enable lifelong learning to reduce inequality and ensure a high quality of life.	CS4 CS6 DM1 DM3 DM4 DM6
<b>9. Waste and recycling</b> To increase the reuse and recycling of 'waste' in line with sub-regional responsibilities and plan for the most sustainable way of disposing of the remainder.	CS8 DM1 DM8
<b>10. The City Centre and other main centres</b> To expand and develop the City Centre and its fringe into a vibrant and economically buoyant entity connected to its River and Coast, by improving and expanding the office and retail offer, whilst securing the viability and attractiveness of district and local centres.	CS1 CS2 CS3 CS4 DM1 DM2 DM3 DM6
<b>11. Design and heritage</b> To increase the contribution that urban design and valued cultural and heritage assets can make to the image of the city and the quality of life of its residents.	CS6 DM4 DM6
<b>12. Minerals</b> To manage the city's mineral resources ensuring the maintenance of appropriate reserves to meet the future needs of the community whilst making sure that environmental impacts are properly considered.	CS9 DM1 DM9

## How Has This Core Strategy Been Put Together?

**72.** This Revised Preferred Option draft of the Core Strategy has not been prepared in isolation. It is the product of robust evidence, public consultation and a Sustainability Appraisal undertaken by the City Council and its partners. In addition, it has been informed by:-

- The Sunderland Strategy 2008 – 2025
- The Sunderland Economic Masterplan (2010)
- The National Planning Policy Framework and previous statements of national planning policies
- The North East of England Plan (the Regional Spatial Strategy)
- The results of a comprehensive evidence base
- The findings of the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal
- Other plans and strategies which have a spatial impact prepared by the City Council and its partners.
- The outcome of extensive engagement activities with the public, local businesses and partners. Consultations began in late 2005 with the Issues and Options Draft, the Preferred Options Draft in 2007/08 and latterly the Alternative Approaches in 2009
- Looking at and considering other reasonable alternatives. Accompanying each Preferred Options policy are a series of 'Rejected Options' which demonstrates how each policy has been tested, analysed and justified in terms of the wider policy review, public consultation and the Sustainability Appraisal.

**73.** This Core Strategy is therefore broken down into two parts :

- 1) A suite of 10 broad **city wide policies** that bring together the range of policy requirements. In summary, these relate to :
  - The spatial growth and regeneration of the city and how new development will be distributed in the five separate sub-areas : Central Area; Sunderland North; Sunderland South; Coalfields; and Washington
  - Developing the city's economic prosperity to meet the long term employment and retail requirements (as informed by evidence on employment land and retail needs)
  - Ensuring there remains a sufficient supply of housing land to meet existing and future needs (for both general market and affordable housing)
  - Ensuring that movement and travel promotes the city's sustainable regeneration
  - Protecting and enhancing the city's built and natural environment
  - How the city will effectively manage both minerals and waste
  - How the city will manage and respond to changing circumstances
- 2) A suite of detailed **development management policies** which take their lead from the higher tier Core Strategy policies, which are to be used on a day to day basis to inform planning applications. These policies cover areas such as :
  - Managing the release of new sites giving priority to re-using brownfield land and other sustainable sites
  - The control and development of new and existing employment sites



- Detailed requirements as to new residential development including the required mix of types, affordable housing requirements, student accommodation
- The control of new development within the open countryside, including Green Belts.

74. This is the first time that development management policies have been consulted on, so the wording contained in this document should not be treated as finalised. Future drafts of the development management policies will be shaped by comments received through the consultation process and will include additional explanatory text to assist with context and definitions.

75. The strategic policies are illustrated on a 'key diagram' which shows their geographical relationship.

### **Supporting Documents To This Core Strategy**

76. This version of the Core Strategy is accompanied by three supporting documents :

- **Sustainability Appraisal** report as required by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The Sustainability Appraisal incorporates a Strategic Environmental Assessment of the plan as required by European directive. An '**Appropriate Assessment**' Report of the potential impact of the plan on Natura 2000 sites (these are international designations covering species and ecological habitats), and again a legislative requirement has also been prepared.
- The **Infrastructure Delivery Plan** which demonstrates what infrastructure is required to support planned growth and development, how much will it cost, where the money will come from to provide infrastructure and who will be responsible for its delivery.

77. All supporting studies, government guidance and other reports used to reach these Preferred Options are available from the City Council's website ([www.sunderland.gov.uk/ldf](http://www.sunderland.gov.uk/ldf)).

### **Developing the Core Strategy During the Economic Downturn ~ Delivery and Viability**

78. This Core Strategy is being brought forward at a time of great economic uncertainty which has significant implications for how the policies and proposals will be implemented or "*delivered*".

79. Where possible it has been designed to allow for flexibility in development in order to ensure that its vision and objectives are met. This will allow for key strategic elements to be delivered whilst allowing for future uncertainty.

80. Where a Core Strategy policy potentially places an undue burden on development, potentially affecting its viability, an alternative will be considered following robust justification clearly stating why the proposal is not deliverable or financially viable. The Council may however seek to place time limits on implementation or seek other measures to ensure the sustainability of the development.

### **What are Strategic Sites and Locations For Major Development ?**

**81.** Whilst the Core Strategy cannot be a site specific plan, it can by exception allocate sites which are considered to be central to the achievement of the strategy and where investment requires a long lead-in. The Core Strategy proposes to allocate two such sites at Vaux / Farringdon Row and on land to the North of Nissan (at Policy CS2).

**82.** The Core Strategy also outlines several Locations for Major Development (LMDs). Although not central to the delivery and success of the Core Strategy, these LMD's can help to regenerate large sites across the city, primarily in Central Sunderland. Planned in a comprehensive fashion, these would have a significant impact upon the pattern of land use within the city. These locations do not constitute site specific allocations, but provide further context for future development options. The LMDs will be refined in further detail in the emerging site specific Allocations Development Plan Document.

# Revised Preferred Options policies





# Chapter 1 : Spatial development, growth and regeneration in Sunderland.

## Introduction

**1.1** At national level, the NPPF outlines a set of core land-use planning principles that should underpin plan-making. Plans should:-

- actively manage patterns of growth to make the fullest possible use of public transport, walking and cycling, and focus significant development in locations which are or can be made sustainable;
- set out a clear strategy for allocating sufficient land which is suitable for development in their area, taking account of the needs of the residential and business communities;
- take account of the different roles and character of different areas, promoting the vitality of our main urban areas, protecting the Green Belts around them,

**1.2** These key principles have been embraced in Policy CS1.1.

## Background to Spatial Development and Growth policy

### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to the policies for the spatial approach to development and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

- Of the 4 approaches tested in 2009, Approach D was the preferred option, which is reflected in Policy CS1.1.
- Approach 'C' risked a heavy reliance on brownfield land which is not truly deliverable
- There was concern over limiting development in the Coalfield, and many responses wanted to see some growth

### Sustainability Appraisal

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- Approach D will improve the city's economy and help to increase the population of the city and have positive impacts on health, transport, heritage and building sustainable communities in Sunderland. However, there would be negative environmental impacts (it should be noted that all four approaches had negative aspects). This approach offered the most favourable outcome of the four approaches tested.
- The policy aims to direct development to the most sustainable and easily accessible areas- Central Area and Sunderland South. This will also help to ensure that the use of previously developed land is maximised, helping to ensure that green infrastructure across the city is protected. However, housing led regeneration in the coalfield could mean more residents there travelling out for work. As a key provider for employment land, Washington will help grow the city's economy.
- Prioritising the City Centre for office development and retail, will mean that the most intensive uses are located in the most sustainable location but could also lead to more congestion. However, the amount of land proposed for economic

development could potentially have a detrimental impact on the green infrastructure and biodiversity within the city. The policy is likely to have a positive impact on the city's economy and population. Emphasis is given to enhancing training and learning so as to enhance opportunities for securing new employment.

### **Spatial Strategy**

**1.3** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy policy CS1 emphasises the role that each sub-area will play in accommodating the development needs of the City. It also sets out where development will be located over the plan period.

**1.4** The spatial objectives aim to help achieve the spatial vision. A range of planning actions that could bring about the achievement of each objective has also been suggested.

### **Delivery of Spatial Objectives :**

**1. Spatial Development and Growth** - *Ensure an appropriate distribution and balance of employment, housing growth and other competing land uses in the context of maximising the reuse of previously developed land so as to minimise the urbanisation of greenfield land, whilst planning for sustainable growth of the city's population, including the retention of young economically active age groups.*

**3. Economic Development** - *Facilitate economic growth by providing a wide portfolio of high quality employment sites, whilst supporting the development of new key employment sectors, including the low carbon economy, and strengthen existing industry.*

**4. Housing** - *Provide enough land for to meet the city's housing requirement and ensure a range and choice of housing types and tenures including increased provision of affordable and executive homes.*

**10. The City Centre and other main centres** - *To expand and develop the City Centre and its fringe into a vibrant and economically buoyant entity connected to its River and Coast, by improving and expanding the office and retail offer, whilst securing the viability and attractiveness of district and local centres.*

### **Spatial Patterns of Development**

**Policy CS1.1** - **To ensure a sustainable pattern of development in the city, the priority for new development will reflect the following spatial principles:-**

- 1. The Central Area, including the City Centre, will be the principal location for offices, retail and main town centre uses;**
- 2. The majority of new housing in the city will be located within South Sunderland;**

3. Washington will be a key provider of land for economic development; only a minor amount of new housing is proposed;
4. Regeneration in the Coalfield will focus on its potential as an area for new housebuilding;
5. In North Sunderland the emphasis will be on the regeneration of the Seafront; new housing will be developed when opportunities arise.

### Quantity of New Development 2012-2032

**Policy CS1.2** - In order to meet the needs and aspirations of present and future residents of the City and to deliver a thriving economy, the following levels of development are proposed up to 2032:-

- a) **Business:** maintaining a supply of 1137ha of land to support existing economic activity (B1, B2 and B8 uses); identifying 81ha of land to support new economic activity;
- b) **Housing:** managing the phased release of land to meet or exceed a housing target of 15,025 net additional new homes across the city;
- c) **Retailing:** an overall requirement for up to 78,900 sq.m (gross) of comparison goods floorspace and 7,500 sq.m (gross) of convenience goods floorspace.

### Broad Location of New Development 2012-2032

**Policy CS1.3** - New development will be distributed across the City as follows:-

a) <i>Employment = ha</i> b) <i>Housing = units</i> c) <i>Retail = sqm.</i>	2011/12- 2015/16	2016/17- 2020/21	2021/22- 2025/26	2026/27- 2031/32	Total
<b>Central Area</b>					
<i>Employment</i>		1.9 <sup>1</sup>	1.2 <sup>1</sup>	1.1 <sup>1</sup>	4.2 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Housing</i>	480	548	604	623	2254
<i>Retail</i>	3,500 <sup>2</sup>	14,000 <sup>2</sup>			17,500
<b>South Sunderland</b>					
<i>Housing</i>	1632	1861	2053	2117	7663
<b>North Sunderland</b>					
<i>Housing</i>	256	292	322	332	1202
<b>Washington</b>					
<i>Housing</i>	192	219	242	249	902
<i>Employment</i>		5	10	5	20
<b>Coalfield</b>					
<i>Housing</i>	640	730	805	830	3005
<i>Retail</i>		6,700 <sup>3</sup>			6,700

<sup>1</sup>Vaux site <sup>2</sup>Crowtree Leisure Centre/ High Street West <sup>3</sup>Houghton Colliery site

### Retailing

**Policy CS1.4** - The defined retail core in the City Centre (shown in Figure 4) will be the priority location for new comparison goods and convenience goods floorspace. Elsewhere, only small-scale local facilities will be permitted where they meet a proven qualitative need and which, together with new/ committed retail schemes, do not cause adverse significant impacts on defined centres.

**1.5** The approach to the spatial development of the city reflects the opportunities afforded by the unique nature and characteristics of the five sub-areas. It is also mindful of the planning and locational principles set out in national planning policy guidance. Each sub-area has its own issues, needs and opportunities which have been reflected in the spatial principles outlined above. Where viable, development has been focussed on brownfield sites, but in order for the City to thrive, consideration has had to be given to the release of some greenfield land in order secure long-term regeneration.

**1.6** The priority for development will be the Central Area. Focussed on the City Centre, this area represents a particular concentration of development activity, including the strategic site at Vaux/ Farringdon Row, major retail development sites in the City Centre Retail Core, the two University campuses and Stadium Village. It is also at the centre of the local public transport network so is a highly sustainable location, more so with the completion of the SSTC and new Wear crossing. The development of this area is seen as the main driver for the regeneration of the wider city. It is therefore vital that new business and retail opportunities are realised in this area, along with a new resident population.


**1.7** South Sunderland will see major housing growth to accommodate the identified housing needs of the area, whilst absorbing some of the needs generated by the Washington and North Sunderland housing markets that cannot be accommodated in those areas. Some 7,600 new dwellings are proposed over the plan period which represents over half of the city's overall housing requirement. The southern periphery of this area has the potential to accommodate a significant amount of new housing across the entirety of the plan period. Sites at Chapelgarth, Cherry Knowle, Burdon Lane and South Ryhope are identified as separate Locations for Major Development, though these will be brought forward together in a co-ordinated and comprehensive manner as the South Sunderland Growth Area (Policy CS2.2).

**1.8** Due to its strategic location on the trunk road network and its key role at the centre of the Low Carbon Economic Area, Washington's main role is as a centre for economic development. This will accord with the key aims of the Sunderland Economic Masterplan and reflect the opportunities afforded by the declaration of the Low Carbon Enterprise Zone adjacent to the A19 and the ongoing success of Nissan. The development of the 20ha strategic site on land to the north of Nissan (Policy CS3.2) will expand the land portfolio in this key location and generate exceptional economic benefits for the city.

**1.9** By contrast, the built up area of Washington has limited new housing opportunities due to the village layout of the new town and open space and employment allocations. The town is also highly constrained by the surrounding Green Belt.



**Figure 4 : The City Centre Retail Core**



**1.10** New development in the Coalfield will primarily focus on the attractiveness of the area as a source of new housebuilding; some 3,000 new homes could be developed over the plan period which represents some 20% of the City total. Conversely, with the exception of Rainton Bridge, the area's existing employment areas comprise older estates which struggle to meet modern market requirements and are subject to redevelopment pressures. The approach in the Core Strategy is to ensure that the area retains viable opportunities for local employment, whilst balancing these against the regeneration benefits enabled through new housing development (including the provision of affordable housing). The City Council will continue to monitor

and manage the release of employment land in the area to ensure that opportunities to access local employment are maintained. Houghton Town Centre is identified as a Regeneration Area where new retail development will be sought (CS4.4).

**1.11** As a result of the built up nature of the North Sunderland area, there is a lack of land available for new development and as such there are few identified opportunities for growth. Nevertheless, some 1,200 new dwellings could be built in the area. The regeneration focus will be on the seafront at Roker and Seaburn as detailed in the Seafront Regeneration Strategy (CS4.4). The modern business parks along the riverside will provide important employment opportunities for the area. Where new sites come forward for development in this area, proposals will be assessed against the policies in the Core Strategy.

**1.12** The Core Strategy is based on a series of technical documents which provide robust evidence on development requirements in the City over the plan period. With regard Policy CS1 these principally comprise:-

- Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (2012)
- Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2012)
- Employment Land Update (2012)
- Retail Needs Update (2012)

**1.13** The quantitative requirements for development in the City established through these documents have been reflected in Policy CS1.2. Further detailed information on housing and employment land requirements are given in subsequent chapters in this plan.

## Chapter 2 : Key Regeneration Sites

### Introduction

**2.1** At national level, the NPPF highlights that two of the Government's main priorities are 1) the need for economic growth and 2) the need to deliver new housing. The NPPF states that local planning authorities should plan proactively to meet development needs and support an economy fit for the 21st century. Similarly authorities must ensure a wide choice of high quality homes, sometimes through planning for larger scale development.

**2.2** These principles have been embraced in the policies of the Core Strategy and in particular through the identification of sites for large-scale economic development and housing developments. These take the form of Strategic Sites or Locations for Major Development.

### Background to Strategic Sites/ LMD policy

#### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to the policies for these major development sites and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

#### Strategic Sites

- There is support for the regeneration of Vaux with priority for employment and housing uses.
- There is support for a strategic site to the North of Nissan. It should be a business park for a range of employment uses. Development would have good access to the national road network but there would need to be improved public transport access to the area

#### Locations for Major Development

- Support Stadium Village site but will need very careful planning with regard to type of "large scale leisure uses" particularly when mixed with housing.
- Groves site is supported- it is important to the local area
- Housing incursion into Sheepfolds and Pallion yards would be good idea
- Pallion Yard should be protected for ship building/repair
- There is too much emphasis on overly large sites – we need smaller strategic sites to push forward such as Bonnersfield and St. Peters Wharf
- The Port should be protected for employment uses. Though mixed use could be appropriate, but a lot of investment needed.
- Employment site at South Ryhope would be more appropriate for a high quality mixed use scheme (employment and housing), which would complement the predominant residential character of the area
- Cherry Knowle is a good site for housing, but needs road improvements.

#### Sustainability Appraisal

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- The Strategic Site at Vaux would contribute positively to sustainable development objectives in order to satisfy the requirements of the Core Strategy.

- The Strategic Site on Land North of Nissan has shown several uncertainties in terms of performance against environmental SA objectives. The mitigation measure proposed however should ensure that some of these concerns are tackled in an appropriate manner.
- The development of Stadium Village, Bonnersfield, Sunnyside, Holmeside and Crowtree would contribute positively to sustainable development objectives.
- The development of The Port and Pallion could have a detrimental impact on climate change and the environment, and along with Groves, could impact negatively on biodiversity.
- The development of South Ryhope, Cherry Knowle, Burdon Lane and Chapelgarth will have a positive impact in terms of population only. However, the latter two sites score poorly in terms of the appraisal and mitigation measures will need to be put in place.

## **Spatial Strategy**

**2.3** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy policy CS1 emphasises the role that the sub-areas will play. These major sites are key components in delivering the spatial strategy. Furthermore, by virtue of their size, location and proposed land use they will contribute significantly to the regeneration of the City. In particular, the two Strategic Sites are considered to be critical to the economic regeneration of the City. More details of these can be found in Policy CS3.2.

**2.4** The spatial objectives aim to help achieve the spatial vision. A range of planning actions that could bring about the achievement of each objective has also been suggested.

## **Delivery of Spatial Objectives**

### **3. Economic Development**

*Facilitate economic growth by providing a wide portfolio of high quality employment sites, whilst supporting the development of new key employment sectors, including the low carbon economy, and strengthen existing industry.*

### **4. Housing**

*Provide enough land for to meet the city's housing requirement and ensure a range and choice of housing types and tenures including increased provision of affordable and executive homes.*

### **10. The City Centre and other main centres**

*To expand and develop the City Centre and its fringe into a vibrant and economically buoyant entity connected to its River and Coast, by improving and expanding the office and retail offer, whilst securing the viability and attractiveness of district and local centres*

## **Key Regeneration sites**

**Policy CS2.1 - A number of locations are identified where major development or redevelopment will assist in the regeneration of the City. These comprise:-**

### **1) Strategic Sites at:-**

- i) Former Vaux brewery/ Farringdon Row (offices and**

housing)

ii) Land to the North of Nissan (employment)

**2) Locations for Major Development (LMD) at:-**

- i) Holmeside Triangle (mixed use including retail);
- ii) Crowtree Leisure Centre (retail);
- iii) Sunnyside (housing, leisure, business);
- iv) Stadium Village (leisure, housing and business);
- v) Bonnersfield (housing and education);
- vi) Former Pallion Shipyard (manufacturing/ offshore engineering);
- vii) The Port (port-related development);
- viii) Groves (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- ix) Chapelgarth (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- x) Cherry Knowle (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- xi) Land North of Burdon Lane (housing and supporting infrastructure);
- xii) South Ryhope (housing and employment);
- xiii) Philadelphia (housing/ mixed use and supporting infrastructure)

**Policy CS2.2 - The housing sites at:-**

- ix) Chapelgarth;
- x) Cherry Knowle;
- xi) North of Burdon Lane; and
- xii) South Ryhope

**Will be brought forward in a co-ordinated manner, along with associated infrastructure, as the “South Sunderland Growth Area”. A Supplementary Planning Document will be prepared to guide development.**

**2.5** As outlined in Policy CS3.2, the development of the two Strategic Sites at Vaux/ Farrington Row and to the north of Nissan will each provide specific - and significant - regeneration benefits within different parts of the City and within different sectors of the economy. These sites represent opportunities to diversify the local economy over the long term, driving the demand for occupations in new skill areas.

**2.6** Locations for Major Development are large sites where new business or residential development will be encouraged. These fall broadly into two land uses; economic development and housing. The LMD's along the riverside and in the City Centre/ Central Area take forward the land uses established in the UDP Alteration for Central Sunderland, where the primary emphasis was on redevelopment for manufacturing/ business uses. The four LMD's proposed along the southern periphery of South Sunderland take forward long-standing housing sites (with the exception of the site at Burdon Lane). The numbers of new houses proposed on these LMD's will not only significantly expand housing choice but will drive demand for services and allow for the creation of new sustainable communities. These four areas comprise:-

- **Chapelgarth** - was identified and allocated as a housing site in the UDP. The site is in council ownership.
- **Cherryknowle** - is HCA owned, the site will be predominately made up of residential development, however the site will also accommodate a replacement of the mental health facility and a hospice.
- **Land at South Ryhope** - the site was allocated in the UDP for economic development. This allocation has been reviewed through the Employment Land Update and the Core Strategy now proposes the site be used primarily for housing along with some economic development uses.
- **Land North of Burdon Lane** – This new site falls within a settlement break allocated in the UDP. However, the land has significant potential to bring forward a comprehensive development that offers regeneration opportunities for the city.

**2.7** The scale of development will result in the creation of a new residential community. In this respect, there is a need to ensure provision of the supporting infrastructure essential to the creation of a sustainable community. This is likely to comprise a new primary school, a local centre, community/ cultural facilities, open space, woodlands, cycleways, footpaths. The completion of the Doxford-Ryhope link road will be pursued (through developer contributions) to ensure the area is linked to the local road network. A detailed development framework will establish how the growth area will be brought forward.

**2.8** As highlighted in the 2012 Sustainability Appraisal, the scale of some of these sites might result in some adverse local environmental impacts. Development Management policy DM2 establishes the need for these sites to be masterplanned to ensure that environmental and sustainability concerns are taken fully into account in the detailed implementation of subsequent schemes.

# Chapter 3 : Developing the City's Economic Prosperity

## Introduction

**3.1** At national level, the NPPF highlights that the Government is committed to securing economic growth in order to create jobs and prosperity, building on the country's inherent strengths, and to meeting the twin challenges of global competition and of a low carbon future.

**3.2** Planning should operate to encourage sustainable growth. Therefore significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system. The NPPF states that in order to help achieve economic growth, local planning authorities should plan proactively to meet the development needs of business and support an economy fit for the 21st century.

**3.3** The need to ensure the sustainable regeneration of the city's economy has been a key priority of the City Council for some years. In this respect, the Council's Economic Masterplan will be a key driver in providing a long-term strategy for Sunderland's future economic growth. The Aims of the Economic Masterplan are set out in Chapter 1.

## Background to Economic Prosperity policies

### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to the economic development topic and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

### General

- The Core Strategy needs to be aligned with the Economic Masterplan to make it specific to the needs of Sunderland
- New growth industries should be encouraged in existing employment areas
- Development should be focused on accessible and brownfield urban areas but greenfield land may be allocated where good public transport links exist or transport infrastructure improvements would be developed

### City Centre

- Improvements to the city centre are vital to the economy of the city as a whole
- Consideration should be given to creating new food stores in Sunderland, Houghton-le-Spring and south east Washington
- Office development should be promoted in the city centre (but office building in outer areas prevents this)
- Software City should be recognised as a key sector in the future development of the city

### Existing employment sites

- The intensification and regeneration of existing employment land within the city is supported, particularly land close to existing public transport and sustainable transport options
- Small-scale employment sites should be retained in order to sustain new

economic development opportunities

### **Strategic sites**

- There is support for the regeneration of Vaux with priority for employment and housing uses.
- There is support for a strategic site to the North of Nissan. It should be a business park for a range of employment uses. Development would have good access to the national road network but there would need to be improved public transport access to the area
- Any major incursion into the Green Belt requires detailed justification and should only be pursued if the council can demonstrate that there is no alternative means of satisfying need.

### **Sustainability Appraisal**

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- In overall terms the Economic Prosperity policies would be likely to have positive/ significantly positive impacts. The proposed Strategic Site to the north of Nissan could have significant negative effects in terms of climate change and environmental limits/ infrastructure, though detailed mitigation could minimise these.

### **Spatial Strategy**

**3.4** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy policy CS1 takes forward the main thrust of the Economic Masterplan and emphasises the role that the Central Area and Washington will play in providing a location for new regeneration uses that will assist in developing and diversifying the local economy.

**3.5** The spatial objectives aim to help achieve the spatial vision. A range of planning actions that could bring about the achievement of each objective has also been suggested.

### **Delivery of Spatial Objectives**

#### **3. Economic Development**

*Facilitate economic growth by providing a wide portfolio of high quality employment sites, whilst supporting the development of new key employment sectors, including the low carbon economy, and strengthen existing industry.*

### **Economic Prosperity**

**Policy CS3.1 - The City Council will facilitate sustainable economic growth within the city by encouraging the development of new employment sectors which will diversify the economy and support the city's long-term economic growth. This will be achieved by:-**

- a) Attracting low carbon businesses and technologies to key areas including the North East Low Carbon Enterprise Zone;
- b) Prioritising the city centre for office development;
- c) Supporting developments which assist in the creation of the "University City"; proposals for facilities which support high-tech and knowledge-based sectors will be encouraged in the



- city centre;
- d) Exploiting the potential of the Port of Sunderland;
- e) Promoting the development of the tourism, leisure, heritage and culture sectors (including the Evening Economy in the City Centre);
- f) Encouraging investment in education and training in order for people to develop the qualifications and skills that are attractive to business and vital to new enterprise.

**Policy CS3.2 - Strategic Sites, which are central to the regeneration of the city, will be delivered at the following locations:-**

**i) Vaux, Farringdon Row/ Galleys Gill (19ha)**

As shown by Figure 5, the City Council will support a residential and employment-led mixed-use development on the former Vaux/ Galleys Gill/ Farringdon Row site.

Development on the Vaux site will comprise a mixture of business (B1) and residential (C3) uses with emphasis on the development of high-density B1a office floorspace. Farringdon Row will be developed for B1a office floorspace and residential (C3) use.

Other main town centre uses of an ancillary nature and scale will be acceptable as part of the redevelopment of these sites.

Galleys Gill will be retained and enhanced as public open space

**ii) Land to the North of Nissan (20ha)**

As shown by Figure 6, the City Council will support the development of land to the North of Nissan for strategic economic development use.

Development on the site will comprise land uses in use classes B1b (research and development), B1c (light industry), B2 (general industrial) and B8 (storage and distribution). Emphasis will be given to particular developments which support low carbon technologies. Offices (B1a) will only be acceptable when ancillary to the wider development and should not be of a scale where they impact upon the deliverability of office sites in the City Centre.

The site will be developed to accommodate major employers and should be in accordance with an agreed masterplan. Piecemeal development will not be acceptable. Due to its location, a high standard of design and landscaping will be sought to minimise its impact on the landscape.

**Policy CS3.3 - Established employment areas in the City will be maintained through the improvement, development and intensification of land and premises for economic development (B1, B2 and B8) purposes. The City Council will seek to manage the development of**

these areas in accordance with the following approach:-

a) The following areas are identified as Primary Employment Areas:-

- i) Doxford International (47.4ha)
- ii) The Port (108ha)
- iii) Pallion Shipyard (16.9ha)
- iv) Hylton Riverside (36ha)
- v) Sunrise Business Park (12.5ha)
- vi) Rainton Bridge (North and South) (52.7ha)
- vii) Glover (41.1ha)
- viii) Pattinson North (71.4ha)
- ix) Pattinson South (35.1ha)
- x) Stephenson (30ha)
- xi) Wear (45.9ha)
- xii) Nissan (335ha)
- xiii) Turbine Park (19ha)

Development within sites i) to xi) will be subject to Development Management policy DM3 in order to ensure the continued effective functioning of the area; development of sites within the Low Carbon Enterprise Zone will be subject to the approved Local Development Order (2012).

b) The following areas are identified as Key Employment Areas:-

- i) Hendon (44.3ha)
- ii) Leechmere (20ha)
- iii) Pennywell (14.4ha)
- iv) Pallion (25.7ha)
- v) Deptford (33.7ha)
- vi) Low Southwick (9.5ha)
- vii) North Hylton Road (29.6ha)
- viii) Armstrong (10ha)
- ix) Crowther (33.5ha)
- x) Hertburn (13ha)
- xi) Parsons (13.5ha)
- xii) Swan (3.9ha)
- xiii) New Herrington (3.7ha)
- xiv) Dubmire (5.0ha)
- xv) Sedgeleth (8.5ha)
- xvi) Market Place (3.3ha)
- xvii) Hetton Lyons East (15ha)

Development within these areas will be controlled through Development Management Policy DM3 to maintain appropriate economic development uses and to ensure the continued effective functioning of the area.

### **Potential Additional Employment Land Requirements**

**Policy CS3.4 - Working with South Tyneside MBC**, should evidence demonstrate there is further demand for employment land which cannot be met within the existing employment land areas, the two councils will seek to deliver this need through the production of a joint development

**plan document at the earliest opportunity.**

**3.6** The Council's 2009 Employment Land Review (ELR) has been updated to take full account of the Economic Masterplan and recent initiatives in the City, such as the designation of the Low Carbon Economic Area and, particularly, the Low Carbon Enterprise Zone located adjacent to the A19/ Nissan plant. The Employment Land Update (2012) highlights the significant potential of low-carbon industries to support the long-term future growth of the City's economy. This Update has informed the direction of the Core Strategy. Additional work is currently being undertaken to extend the Update so that it covers the full 20 year period of the plan.

**3.7** The 2012 Employment Land Update considers four scenarios for the development of the City's economy. These are based on differing growth rates envisaged as arising from the successful delivery of the overall strategy of the EMP. These growth rates can be translated into land requirements and potential new jobs; the preferred Scenario could give rise to some 8,500 new jobs by 2027.

**3.8** Essentially the overarching approach in the Core Strategy is to pursue opportunities to grow new employment sectors in the local economy, whilst ensuring existing sectors can prosper. This requires that the employment land portfolio is fit-for-purpose so that it can respond to emerging developer requirements. The Core Strategy has "realigned" this portfolio so as to focus on the Washington area – where the greatest potential for growth lies – whilst allowing a more flexible approach in those areas where market demand is weaker.

**3.9** To ensure a range and choice of sites, the Core Strategy identifies some 1137ha of land capable of supporting business and manufacturing uses. The Core Strategy proposes a range of sites which provide a comprehensive hierarchy:-

**3.10 *Strategic Sites*** which, due to the scale and nature of development, will provide the step-change in the local economy. These sites – at Vaux/ Farringdon Row and on land to the north of Nissan – are critical to the success of the City. They will provide large numbers of new jobs in new sectors of the economy. Further detail on these is provided below.

**3.11 *Primary Employment Areas*** (816.4ha) identified in the Employment Land Update, these twelve areas are considered essential to the long-term economic success of the City. These areas should be entirely protected from non-employment uses which could impact on their viability as employment locations.

**3.12 *Key Employment Areas*** (271.2ha) are also identified in the Update. Here a more flexible approach to new development is more appropriate, though a main requirement will be to ensure that industrial operations remain unaffected. Development Management policies set out the specific requirements for these areas.

**3.13** Outside of these locations are a number of older, less effective employment areas. Whilst these are expected to remain in employment use in the short-medium term, in the longer term in these areas the movement towards non-employment uses (e.g. housing) could provide significant new local regeneration benefits.

### **Strategic Sites**

**3.14 *Vaux/ Farringdon Row:*** The need to regenerate the city centre is an agreed priority of the Council. A key element in this is the need to “grow” the city centre office market in order to provide the range and number of city centre B1 offices found in other similar sized cities. The former Vaux brewery site has been identified as a Strategic Site where office floorspace will be provided as part of a mixed-use development along with housing and supporting retail and leisure uses. This – along with the adjacent Farringdon Row site - is the only site of sufficient size in the city centre which gives the opportunity to deliver significant office employment and as such it is vital if the economy of the centre – and the wider city is to be realised to its full potential.


**3.15** The re-development of the Vaux/ Farringdon Row site will be an important component in the City Council's strategy for encouraging people to remain, or move back into the city centre. Not only this, the employment opportunities for existing and prospective communities will help address social inequality, raise prosperity levels and stimulate investment.

**3.16** As part of a high-density mixed development the Vaux site could accommodate up to 4000 jobs. Such a development would maximise the job creation potential of the site, raise the profile of the city as an investment location and, by attracting large numbers of additional people to the city centre, would bolster the function of the nearby retail core.


**3.17 *North of Nissan:*** The Council's 2009 Employment Land Review highlighted the need to seek a release of land to accommodate potential demand for employment land in the Washington area in the future. This requirement is confirmed in the 2012 Update of the ELR. Due to the constrained nature of the Washington conurbation, this requirement was considered to be best met in the form of a large (20ha) new site to the North of Nissan. With the emergence of the Low Carbon Economic Area, the designation of the Low Carbon Enterprise Zone and the ongoing success of Nissan, the site is ideally located to capitalise on the emerging low carbon economy. It will allow the delivery of key elements of the Economic Masterplan.

**3.18** The potential uses for the site would be principally within the low carbon technologies/ advanced manufacturing sectors. A masterplan will be prepared to guide the development of the site; piecemeal development will not be acceptable as the site is critical to attracting strategic economic inward investment to Sunderland and needs to be developed in a cohesive and comprehensive manner.

Figure 5 : Policy CS3.2 – Strategic Site – Vaux, Farrington Row and Galleys Gill



**Figure 6 : Policy CS3.2 – Strategic Site – North of Nissan**



**3.19** The site is currently in the Green Belt. It is considered that the scale and long-term nature of the economic benefits gained through the development of the site will constitute the “exceptional circumstances” required to amend the boundary of the Green Belt in this location.

**3.20** In the light of emerging new retail developments (especially in the north part of the City) an update has been undertaken of the Council’s 2009 Retail Needs Assessment. This Update identifies a modest need for new convenience retail floorspace in the city in the period up to 2032 (due to the effect of new supermarket developments on the overall expenditure capacity in the City). However there remains significant growth in the comparison goods sector over the plan period and a need to address qualitative

deficiencies in comparison shopping facilities. The most appropriate – and pressing - location for new comparison facilities will be in the City Centre where they will assist in boosting vitality and viability.

**3.21** The Policy sets out the Council’s spatial strategy for delivering economic growth and prosperity and its commitment to investment in education, skills and training. New development can contribute towards this strategy by generating opportunities for employment and training for local people and by encouraging the use of local businesses and the voluntary and community sectors. Equally, the presence of a skilled and trained workforce will be a major attractor to new employers seeking to invest in the city.

**3.22** It is the Council’s intention to make best use of its planning responsibilities to increase the economic prosperity of the city and, in particular, to ensure that local residents and businesses benefit as a result. This will be achieved through the inclusion of ‘social and economic clauses’ in planning obligations which will encourage the adoption of processes by developers, contractors and “end users” that will help to stimulate economic growth.

## **THE POTENTIAL FOR ADDITIONAL LONG-TERM EMPLOYMENT LAND REQUIREMENTS**

**3.23** As highlighted earlier, the Council’s 2012 Employment Land Update establishes the overall requirement for employment land in the City up to 2027. This is reflected in the strategy and policies of the Core Strategy.

**3.24** This requirement is based on the amount of land needed to support the retention of existing “traditional” employment sectors, along with the new and emerging industries associated with the anticipated growth in low-carbon sectors as highlighted in the Economic Masterplan. The preferred economic growth scenario (*Masterplan ++*) indicates that some 81ha of land will be required in Sunderland to support emerging industries and businesses over the next 20 years. This has informed the policy direction of the Core Strategy. As part of this, a 20ha strategic site to the north of Nissan is proposed to accommodate companies requiring a location close to the factory.

**3.25** However, it is clear that there is significant demand from major manufacturing companies for land and sites over and above this, with the main focus being in the vicinity of Washington. The City Council’s Business and Investment Team (BIT) continue to receive significant numbers of enquiries from companies who wish to locate in the City. It is clear that similar inquiries have been made to neighbouring Councils.

**3.26** A considerable number of these inquiries comprise large floorplate schemes for major manufacturing companies seeking a base in the area. Some of these companies are suppliers to Nissan. Many of these inquiries come to fruition and are successfully located on appropriate sites. However the large size of some of the proposals means that they cannot be accommodated on available sites on existing employment areas in Sunderland (or South Tyneside). Effectively, because of the lack of suitable

sites, these valuable economic development proposals – and the significant job creation opportunities they would bring – cannot be realised.

**3.27** These proposals represent opportunities to capture significant investment in the city; but the current inability to find readily available sites means that these opportunities are often lost to other parts of the country.

**3.28** It is unlikely that there are existing suitable sites in the urban part of the conurbation due to the built up nature of the area, limited site size or other physical constraints. However the possibility of developing on sites in the built up area needs to be examined and discounted. Nevertheless, it is likely that the need will arise to consider exploring locations outside of the built up area where new land for economic development could be brought forward to meet this demand.

**3.29** At national level Government has emphasised that there is a need to secure economic growth, particularly in new sectors such as advanced manufacturing. There is also an emphasis on the need for plans to be based on robust evidence which takes account of market signals as well as the need to supporting new and emerging sectors. For this reason, the development of an Advanced Manufacturing Park in this location is a key component of the Council's recent bid to Government under the City Deal initiative. The bid is supported by South Tyneside Council.

**3.30** Work will continue on identifying actual land requirements. The outcome of this will be reflected in the next stage of this Core Strategy.

**3.31** Therefore working jointly with South Tyneside, further assessments are being undertaken to establish an understanding of the market demand over a 20-year period. This will particularly focus on the major mobile investment opportunities as well as the automotive, advanced manufacturing and offshore renewable sectors.

**3.32** Should this evidence demonstrate there is a need which cannot be met within the existing employment land portfolios, the two council's will work jointly to meet this need formally through the development plan system. This may include the preparation of a joint development plan document.



## Chapter 4 : Sustainable Communities

### Introduction

**4.1** National planning policy supports the creation of sustainable balanced and mixed communities. The NPPF recognises the need to deliver a wide choice of high quality homes, widen opportunities for home ownership and create sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities, highlighting the need for new housing to meet the needs of the different groups in the community. But new housing is not the only means to meet housing need, the NPPF identifies the need for local authorities to also identify and bring back into residential use empty housing and buildings.

**4.2** Town centres sit at the heart of sustainable communities and the NPPF requires councils through to promote town centres and support their viability and vitality.

**4.3** The overarching spatial approach of the Core Strategy sustainable community policies builds upon these principles in seeking to ensure that Sunderland becomes a more sustainable city with a strong sense of place.

### Background to the Sustainable Communities Policies

#### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to Sustainable Communities and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

#### New Housing

- New housing should be accessible to and support local and main service centres, and more housing close to employment locations should be sought
- Development of employment land for housing should only be where it is clearly of no value, be in sustainable locations and where there would be no amenity conflict with nearby industry.

#### House types / density / affordability

- Mixed developments of housing would be welcomed, as opposed to estates all of the same type of house.
- Higher density housing should be sought close to key public transport corridors and interchanges.
- Support for low densities where it achieved high value executive family housing.
- More 'affordable' and social housing is required to help young people and first time buyers and renters.
- More housing is required that is adaptable and suited to older people and those with mobility difficulties.
- More high quality 'executive' homes to, including large low density family homes.

### **Housing renewal and regeneration**

- Where appropriate brownfield land should be developed in preference to greenfield land.
- Manage the release of land for new housing in order to assist regeneration and renewal areas.

### **Community Facilities**

- Making Sunderland a healthy, safe and inclusive city should be a top priority

### **Sustainability Appraisal**

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- By bringing empty properties back into use and supporting renewal programmes, the city's residential environment will improve. Along with the provision of affordable and executive dwellings, there will be an increase in population.
- By supporting the renewal of housing areas and identifying Hetton Downs/ Eppleton as a regeneration area, there will be an improvement in the city's residential environment.

### **Spatial Strategy**

**4.4** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy policy CS1 emphasises the role that the sub-areas will play in delivering future housing needs. But housing alone does not deliver a sustainable community. In creating thriving, healthy, sustainable communities it is essential that there is not only the provision of a balanced housing market but that communities are supported by viable and vibrant centres with sufficient health, leisure, cultural and education facilities to meet the needs of the existing community and new population.

**4.5** The spatial objectives aim to help achieve the spatial vision. A range of planning actions that could bring about the achievement of each objective has also been suggested.

### **Delivery of Spatial Objectives**

#### **4. Housing**

*Provide enough land for to meet the city's housing requirement and ensure a range and choice of housing types and tenures including increased provision of affordable and executive homes.*

#### **7. Neighbourhoods and Communities**

*Develop cohesive, inclusive and attractive sustainable communities and neighbourhood that are well integrated with schools, shops, services, facilities and open space whilst ensuring that the diverse needs of the city's different communities are met.*

#### **8. Well-Being**

*Improve and protect citizens' health, promote healthy lifestyles and ensure the development of facilities to enable lifelong learning to reduce inequality and ensure a high quality of life.*

### **10. The City Centre and other main centres**

*To expand and develop the City Centre and its fringe into a vibrant and economically buoyant entity connected to its River and Coast, by improving and expanding the office and retail offer, whilst securing the viability and attractiveness of district and local centres.*

#### **Sustainable Communities**

**Policy CS4.1 - The City Council will seek to ensure that Sunderland will become a more sustainable city, with a strong sense of place, by:-**

- a) Ensuring an appropriate mix of good quality housing of all types, sizes and tenures is provided to meet the needs of the existing and future population;
- b) Supporting the roles of the city centre, town centres, major district centres, district centres and local centres, to ensure they remain as viable and vibrant destinations, consistent with their scale and function;
- c) Ensuring the provision of appropriately located high quality health, leisure, cultural and education facilities in conjunction with council initiatives and the co-locating of facilities;
- d) Seeking and supporting area-based regeneration initiatives.

#### **Housing**

**Policy CS4.2 - The City Council will seek to manage the existing housing stock to ensure an appropriate supply of dwellings by:-**

- a) Bringing empty properties back into use and supporting programmes of improvement, renewal and replacement to regenerate the city's housing stock, in partnership with Gentoo and other Registered Providers;
- b) Preventing over concentrations of Houses in Multiple Occupation and the loss of family housing, either through conversion, sub division, change of use or redevelopment. The City Council will declare Article 4 Directions where necessary.

**Policy CS4.3 - To ensure that new housing development meets future needs, the City Council will:-**

- a) Require provision of 10% affordable housing on all housing developments proposing a minimum of 15 dwellings or on sites of 0.5ha or more;
- b) Support the development of executive dwellings as part of housing schemes and also as stand alone developments;
- c) Increase the choice of accommodation for older households to enable independent living; including the provision of bungalows, retirement villages and extra care housing;
- d) Support the development of student accommodation, in appropriate locations where there is an identified need;
- e) Support the development of accommodation for people with disabilities, enabling a choice of tenure and independent living;
- f) Seek the incorporation of new housing into mixed use schemes where appropriate;

- g) Provide some 14 new stop-over pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and 43 new plots for Travelling Showpeople between 2012 and 2018.**

**Policy CS4.4 - The following locations are identified as Regeneration Areas:-**

- i) Houghton town centre: new retail opportunities and environmental improvements;**
- ii) Roker and Seaburn Seafront: tourism and leisure-led development supported by new housing and environmental improvements.**

### **Future Housing**

**4.6** The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) 2008 identifies an imbalance of house types amongst the city's housing stock, with low levels of family, detached and 'executive' type dwellings. This lack of choice is a major cause of out-migration to areas with more appropriate housing and is one of the main reasons behind the longstanding population decline in the city. It is necessary to ensure that an adequate and appropriate supply of housing is provided across the city in terms of type, tenure, design and price to meet the needs of existing and future residents. A flexible housing stock that can satisfy change in household size, ageing population and diverse lifestyle choices is required.

**4.7** Following the production of the SHMA the economic viability of affordable housing in the city was tested<sup>2</sup>, which indicated that a target of 10% affordable housing would be viable city wide for proposals that delivered 15 or more dwellings or for sites of 0.5 hectares or more. The council have chose to have a city wide percentage rather than sub-area percentages. Therefore it is expected that 10% affordable housing will be included wherever the development is located. Development Management Policy DM4.8 provides further details on the affordable housing policy. The Council's emerging Affordable Housing SPD will provide further detail on how affordable housing should be implemented.

**4.8** There are insufficient 'executive-type' dwellings within the city; these dwellings suit the needs of higher-income households and professionals and can help diversify Sunderland's housing offer and should be provided wherever possible, in order to help stem out-migration and enrich the city's socio-economic profile. The Allocations DPD will allocate these sites.

**4.9** It is also necessary to ensure that housing is delivered that meets the needs of all, particularly under-represented groups, including older people and people with disabilities, students, gypsies & travellers and showpeople. The Enabling Independence Strategy will provide the basis for bringing forward the necessary accommodation to meet the housing needs of older people and people with disabilities.

---

<sup>2</sup> The (2010) Economic Viability of Affordable Housing Requirements Report

**4.10** Sunderland University has two main campuses, St. Peter's on north side of the river Wear and Chester Road (the "City Campus") in the city centre. The University is of key strategic importance for the city and it is important that the need for student accommodation is satisfied, but only in appropriate locations which have good access to both the educational establishments they serve and to local facilities.

**4.11** The Tyne and Wear Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessment (2009) identified a need within the city for 14 Pitches for Gypsy and Travellers between 2008-2018. Further evidence is to be developed to update the requirements for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople to cover the whole plan period. The results will be incorporated into the Core Strategy at the earliest opportunity. However, for the timing being, this figure reflects the current uneven distribution of pitch provision within the Tyne and Wear area, rather than being a set requirement. As such, the Council will endeavour to find an appropriate site in a sustainable location with access to necessary infrastructure. However, based upon past/current requirements for Gypsy and Travellers in the city being of a temporary nature, the site may reflect this and provide for stop-over provision, rather than permanent. The use of the site will then be monitored, to get an indication of any requirements for a site of a permanent nature, which will be planned for accordingly should this be demonstrated.

**4.12** There is also a need for 43 plots for Travelling Showpeople across the city to accommodate household growth within the Showpeople community. The City Council will ensure the housing needs of this community are met when considering housing sites in the Allocations DPD.

### **Existing Housing**

**4.13** Regardless of proposed new housing development, the city's existing housing stock will remain its most important asset, forming around 90 percent of the stock in 2032. It is recognised that where existing housing is obsolete and not viable for improvement, demolition provides the opportunity to provide replacement housing that better meets local needs and aspirations of the area. Gentoo, the largest single Registered Provider in the city are undertaking a large regeneration/renewal programme throughout the city, with around 4,000 dwellings scheduled for demolition and 3,000 to be built. (To date 3,850 properties have been demolished and 1,650 new dwellings have been built.

**4.14** Due to the economic climate Gentoo have sold a number of sites onto private developers and as such the proposed build numbers of Gentoo are not as high as initially anticipated when the regeneration programme commenced. This has not impacted on the provision of rental properties rather a reduction in the number of properties Gentoo are developing for sale. Gentoo, subject to funding, will continue to develop high quality mixed tenure housing developments in areas in need of housing regeneration throughout the city.

**4.15** Sunderland has a shortage of larger family properties, and as such is limiting the economic growth of the city. It is therefore important to retain the larger properties, in doing so the Council will seek to resist the sub-division, demolition and change of use of family homes. Article 4 Directions will be implemented, where necessary, to control and manage the number of Houses of Multiples Occupation in any one locality.

### **Thriving Communities**

**4.16** Sunderland city centre and Washington and Houghton town centres are recognised as the three most important centres in the city. All three perform significant retail and additional non-retail functions and are important centres for the local transport network in the respective sub-areas. The size of the other centres in the city varies; the major district centres feature significant retail facilities and services such as banks, whilst the much smaller local centres primarily cater for day-to-day shopping needs.

**4.17** There is a hierarchy of centres in Sunderland. These are primarily locations for shopping facilities, but also play important roles as “hubs” of the local community:-

#### **City centre**

Sunderland city centre

#### **Town centres**

Houghton and Washington

#### **Major district centres**

Concord, Sea Road and Hetton

#### **District centres**

Southwick Green, Chester Road and Doxford Park

#### **Local centres**

Hylton Road, Pallion, Grangetown, Ryhope, Hendon, Pennywell, Silksworth, Thorndale Road, Shiney Row, Easington Lane, Market Street (Hetton), Fencehouses, Monkwearmouth and Castletown.

**4.18** In addition to these centres, there is also a need across Sunderland to provide an adequate provision of community facilities and key services which encourage social interaction and provide opportunities to support the needs of the city’s residents particularly older and disabled people, including the development of libraries, health facilities and public convenience. Large- scale residential developments should help in the creation and enhancement of sustainable neighbourhoods and create easy access to facilities and services.

**4.19** There are specific parts of the City where there are opportunities to pursue ‘targetted’ regeneration initiatives. Currently two areas have been identified: Houghton Town Centre and the Seafront.

**4.20** Houghton town centre has experienced considerable slippage in the national retail rankings since 2000/2001. The town centre has a limited convenience and comparison retail sector, a vacancy rate which is slightly above the national average, a low level of footfall, limited operator demand and is characterised, in part, by a relatively poor environment. However, Houghton town centre continues to have an important non-retail function for the Coalfield. The Retail Needs Assessment recommends that the scope to enhance the role and function of the town centre should be developed, in particular to ensure better representation for convenience and comparison goods. In this respect a scheme for the redevelopment of the former Houghton colliery site on the edge of the town centre to provide a new supermarket is being progressed.

**4.21** Sunderland's twin seafront resorts of Roker and Seaburn are two of the city's most treasured natural assets. Despite a decline over recent years the seafront continues to remain an important leisure destination and a strategic priority for the City Council. The regeneration of Sunderland's seafront is fundamental to the city's quality of life, the development and diversification of the city's economy and its cultural and tourism offer.

**4.22** To guide the regeneration and development of the Seafront, a suite of strategies and supplementary planning documents have been produced and adopted by the Council :

- The Seafront Regeneration Strategy provides the strategic platform for the regeneration of Seaburn and Roker. It sets out the Council's vision of an attractive, safe, clean and accessible seafront for all residents and visitors, of which the people of Sunderland can be proud.
- The Marine Walk Masterplan Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) provides development guidance for Marine Walk in the Roker Conservation Area. The Marine Walk Masterplan encourages proposals to reference the area's rich cultural and built heritage and distinctive natural environment.
- The Seaburn Masterplan and Design Code SPD seeks the comprehensive redevelopment of the Ocean Park area for leisure-led, family-focused development. A spatial masterplan develops broad planning principles supported by an indicative layout for the area. A design code provides more specific design guidance.

**4.23** To support the regeneration of the seafront the City Council is delivering infrastructure improvements at both Roker and Seaburn and is actively working with partners to deliver the aspirations set out in the Seafront Regeneration Strategy.

## Chapter 5 : Connecting the City

### Introduction

**5.1** The NPPF emphasises that planning policies should aim for a balance of land uses within their area so that people can be encouraged to minimise journey lengths for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities. A key element of the NPPF highlights the need for Councils to pursue sustainable growth and that local planning authorities should plan proactively to support an economy fit for the 21st century. The need for effective transport infrastructure underpins this.

**5.2** The overarching spatial approach of the Core Strategy transport policies builds upon these principles by:-

- promoting sustainable travel and seek to improve local transport infrastructure in order to secure local economic regeneration, and
- enhancing connectivity, both within the City and to the immediate sub-region

### Background to Connectivity policies

#### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to the connectivity topic and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are summarised as follows:-

#### Transport

- Transport and land use needed to be developed hand-in-hand
- Housing, offices and employment need to be in accessible locations
- Public transport, followed by walking and cycling needed most improvement and development in Sunderland, with cars and motorbikes given the least priority
- The Ryhope-Doxford link road needs to be included
- Coupled to improvements to the SSTC and the Central Route there should be public transport enhancements and demand management measures
- There should be focus on developing the Metro corridor
- The reopening of the Leamside Line would support economic development, freight and public transport

#### Sustainability Appraisal

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- By supporting an integrated approach to transport and land use planning and focusing and intensifying development in accessible built-up areas, encouraging a reduction in trip distances and supporting of trips by public transport, walking and cycling, carbon emissions in the city will be reduced. However, the implementation of the various transport schemes will have mixed effects on emissions. The SSTC will have lead to an increase in emissions whilst the Metro and the improvement of arterial routes would lead to an increase in usage and reduction in emissions. Focussing development in accessible locations and the development of public transport and walking and cycling routes, will help to will ensure that air quality does not deteriorate. There will also be an improvement in the economy and residents health.



### 5.3 Spatial Strategy

The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy policy CS1 emphasises the role that each of the five sub-areas will play in the development of the City. Key to realising this will be how the areas, and the communities within them, “connect”. Public transport plays a major role in this, but there is also a need for major road schemes to enhance accessibility, particularly with regards to major employment areas.

5.4 The spatial objectives aim to help achieve the spatial vision. A range of planning actions that could bring about the achievement of each objective has also been suggested.

### Delivery of Spatial Objectives

#### 5. Accessibility

*Implement sustainable transport solutions that enhance the city’s profile, its economic competitiveness and achieve low-carbon outcomes whilst enhancing accessibility for all to a full range of facilities and jobs and reducing dependency on the car. Traffic management measures will be employed in order to manage congestion and associated environmental and health impacts of traffic.*

### Connectivity

**Policy CS5.1 - The City Council will promote sustainable travel and seek to improve transport infrastructure in order to secure local economic regeneration and enhance connectivity, both within the City and to the immediate sub-region, by:-**

- a) Focusing and intensifying development in accessible built-up areas;
- b) Encouraging a reduction in trip distances and supporting trips by public transport, walking and cycling;
- c) Enhancing the City’s transport network to improve connectivity to key employment sites and neighbourhoods;
- d) Utilising traffic management measures in order to manage congestion and the environmental and health impacts of traffic;
- e) Ensuring that transport initiatives support the development of safer, cleaner and more inclusive centres and neighbourhoods;
- f) Working with neighbouring councils and other partners to promote cross-boundary transport initiatives.

**Policy CS5.2 - The City Council will work with the Highways Agency, the Department for Transport and other partners to facilitate the delivery of the following key schemes:-**

- i) East Washington Low Carbon Zone Infrastructure;
- ii) Sunderland Strategic Transport Corridor (remaining phases);
- iii) Ryhope to Doxford Park Link Road;
- iv) Central Route.

**Policy CS5.3 - The City Council will improve the following existing main transport routes in the City:-**

- i) A183 Chester Road;
- ii) A690 Durham Road;
- iii) A1231 Sunderland Highway;
- iv) A1018;
- v) A182 Houghton Road

**Policy CS5.4 - The City Council will work with Network Rail, Nexus and other transport partners to secure improvements to the public transport service and infrastructure in Sunderland, including the extension of the Metro system.**

**Policy CS5.5 - Enhancing the character and setting of the main public transport gateways as defined within the Central Area Design Framework:-**

- i) Sunderland Station;
- ii) Metro corridor;
- iii) Major road and pedestrian entry points into the City Centre;
- iv) City centre car parks.

**Policy CS5.6 - The City Council will safeguard the following former railway routes to ensure their protection as transport corridors:-**

- i) Penshaw – Pallion Line (South Hylton to Penshaw);
- ii) The Leamside Line (section within City).

**Policy CS5.7 - The City Council will work with partners to create a strategic network of district walking, cycle and equestrian routes, linking residential areas, employment sites, local centres, education, leisure and community facilities.**

**Policy CS5.8 - The City Council will support proposals that encourage the use of the River Wear for transport, in particular for leisure related trips.**

**5.5** Key 'connectivity' issues for the Core Strategy relate to the need to enhance accessibility by sustainable transport modes to local services and centres, key facilities such as hospitals and schools, and to main employment centres.

**5.6** Focusing on sustainable transport development will not only improve connectivity but will also help to support other crucial initiatives in Sunderland such as helping to improve traffic congestion, air quality, road safety and supporting increased levels of physical activity and overall health. Speed reduction and traffic management measures (including 20mph zones in residential areas) will be introduced where appropriate.

**5.7** A main "connectivity" issue is the need to ensure that transport infrastructure contributes to securing economic growth and regeneration in the City. A number of new road schemes and initiatives will assist in this:-

- The role of Washington as a source of economic development opportunity is emphasised in the Economic Masterplan and this is reflected in this Core Strategy. The anticipated level of development realised through the low carbon Enterprise Zone, the continuing success of Nissan and the development of the proposed 20ha Strategic Site will generate additional vehicle movements and put pressure on the existing road network. The City Council is working in close partnership with the Highways Agency and other transport partners to assess and implement the highway works needed to support the development of this area.
- The Sunderland Strategic Transport Corridor (SSTC) will provide a high-quality route between the A19 and The Port, taking in the City Centre as well as development sites along the riverside. The initial section of this road comprises the new Wear crossing at Claxheugh' however four phases of this road remain, comprising:-
  - Phase 1B (St. Michaels Way to Beach Street)
  - Phase 3 (South Bridgehead to Beach Street)
  - Phase 4 (Wessington Way)
  - Phase 5A (Improvements to Port Access)
- As outlined under Policy CS2.2 the Council is proposing a major area of housing growth in the South Sunderland Area. A key infrastructure requirement needed to support the scale of new development is the Ryhope-Doxford Link Road. Developer contributions will be sought to pay for this road.
- The Central Route has been a long-standing aspiration of the Council. The road will connect important employment areas to the primary route network in the Coalfield and enhance their marketability. Developer contributions will be sought to fund this road.

**5.8** In addition to these schemes, the City Council will continue to work with adjoining Durham County Council to investigate the possibility of a road link, in the longer term, around the southern Coalfield to connect with the East Durham Link Road/ A19 near Dawdon (the Coalfield Regeneration Route).

**5.9** In the City, the Metro is a significant public transport asset but the network needs modernisation to meet future needs. Some £580million has been awarded to reinvigorate the system by 2019. The main focus is currently on modernising Metro stations and facilities rather than expanding the network itself. However, the Core Strategy should also look to the long-term future to ensure that development does not prejudice the most feasible expansion possibilities of the Metro system in Sunderland. This is likely to comprise an extension of the route from the current South Hylton station westwards utilising the former South Hylton to Penshaw railway line. For this reason it is proposed that a corridor based on the line should be protected from development over the period of this plan to ensure that the route is not severed. The City Council will work with partners to implement Metro services between Sunderland, the northern Coalfield and Washington in the longer term.

**5.10** Similarly the former Leamside railway line provides an opportunity to improve connectivity between Washington and Sunderland (by taking in the former Penshaw-Pallion line). The Council will continue to work with its sub-regional partners and transport infrastructure stakeholders to investigate the potential of this line. This could include an access to sites within the Low carbon Enterprise Zone based around Nissan in Washington.

**5.11** In preparing this Core Strategy and the forthcoming Allocations DPD – and through the development control process - the Council will ensure that the location and design of new development does not conflict with the potential for the line's re-instatement.

**5.12** Traffic congestion on several strategic roads and junctions (e.g. at peak periods on A183 Chester Road and A690 Durham Road) is a cause of delays, with consequent additional costs to business and lost time for employees and other travellers. The Congestion Reduction Plan has been produced on behalf of the Tyne and Wear Local Transport Plan partners and outlines strategies to reduce congestion and improve public transport on key corridors. The schemes aim to improve the journey times, frequency, reliability, accessibility and quality of public transport on key routes to ensure major developments are easily accessible by bus. Initial schemes include major improvements to the A183 Chester Road as well as the Wheatsheaf Gyratory junction on the A1018 Newcastle Road. Other major road corridors will be improved as finances permit.

**5.13** The City is crossed by a network of footpaths, cycleways and equestrian routes. These ensure good – and sustainable – access to all parts of the City and a variety of destinations. Efforts will continue to develop the network. For example a new coastal route is being proposed from Ryhope Dene northwards to the River Wear to tie in with a long term proposal for a new foot and cycle connection (possibly a ferry) between the East End riverside and St Peter's campus.

**5.14** Although the River Wear is unlikely to facilitate large numbers of journeys, there is the potential to increase the use made of the river, in particular for leisure related trips. The development of river transport will be supported not least because of the contribution that it would make to the council's vision to better relate the River to City Centre activities.

## Chapter 6 : Caring for the City's Environment

### Introduction

**6.1** The Government is committed to protecting and enhancing the natural, built and historic environment; and, as part of this, helping to improve biodiversity, use natural resources prudently, minimise waste and pollution, and mitigate and adapt to climate change including moving towards a low carbon economy.

**6.2** The need to ensure the welfare of the city's environment has been a key priority of the City Council for some years. Through policy it is important that new development addresses the connections between people and places and integration into the natural, built and historic environment.

### Background to the Caring for the City's Environment Policies

#### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to the policies to the City's Environment and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

#### Built Environment

- Improved, secure and well designed environments are important.
- The design of developments should be in keeping with the established character of an area whilst, incorporating innovative design concepts.
- The standard of design across the city should be raised and encompass all elements of the public realm including streets and green spaces.

#### Natural Environment

##### Green Belt

- Major incursions into the Green Belt require a detailed justification and should only be pursued if the Council can demonstrate that there is no alternative way in which an adequate supply of employment land can be provided.

##### Green Infrastructure/ Green Space

- The approach should assist in the delivery of improved, integrated, multi-functional green infrastructure.
- There should be increased an increased emphasis on the quality and accessibility of public greenspace, rather than quantity alone.
- Pedestrian accessibility to greenspace should be improved.
- Only in special circumstances, such as where greenspace is identified as having low local value, should greenspace be used for other purposes.

##### Biodiversity

- Wildlife corridors and designated areas of biodiversity and geodiversity importance should continue to be protected.
- Priority should be given to increasing tree and woodland planting, particularly within new developments.
- Increased protection for existing trees, woodland and nature sites where important.
- That the maintenance and enhancement of all landscape areas should be encouraged.

### **Historic Environment**

- The protection and enhancement of the city's diverse heritage and built environment is a key issue across the city.
- Historic buildings should be preserved if threatened by new development.
- The local heritage and character of an area are important features that create a pleasant environment to live and work in.
- Heritage and cultural facilities are seen as important in attracting more visitors and tourists to Sunderland.

### **Sustainability Appraisal**

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- The policy aims to protect local environmental quality; ensuring that drainage systems take account of the impact on ecology and that water resources are protected
- The policy will ensure well designed and sustainable development
- The establishment of green infrastructure would ensure that biodiversity in the city is enhanced and designated sites are protected.
- The policy aims to maintain the broad extent of the Green Belt from inappropriate development.
- The policy sets out to protect and enhance biodiversity habitats and species and sites recognised at international, national and local levels as outlined in the Durham Biodiversity Action Plan. It also states that designated nature conservation sites, will be protected from inappropriate development.
- The establishment of green infrastructure, comprising of six strategic inter-district corridors and local sub-area corridors, would increase access to green and open space for the vast majority of residents in the city which would help promote sport and exercise.
- The policy respect the city's local heritage and historic environment (including listed buildings and conservation areas) by preserving and enhancing those parts of the built environment that make a positive contribution to local character, that establish a distinctive sense of place and which represent the unique qualities of Sunderland.

### **Spatial Strategy**

**6.3** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy Policy CS1 identifies the growth objectives of the city. But growth can not occur without consideration for the environment. New development should protect and enhance the natural and built environment, by directing development away from sensitive areas that cannot accommodate change, and through providing adequate mitigation to off-set any adverse impact.

#### **Delivery of Spatial Objectives**

##### ***2. Climate Change***

*Adapt to and minimise the impact of climate change by reducing carbon emissions and seeking to reduce the risk and impact of flooding.*

##### ***6. Green Infrastructure***

*Protect the city's biodiversity, geological resource, countryside and landscapes, including the River Wear, the coast and the Magnesian*

*Limestone Escarpment and seek opportunities to enhance that resource where possible, whilst ensuring that all homes have good access to a range of interlinked green infrastructure.*

### **8. Well-being**

*Improve and protect citizens' health, promote healthy lifestyles and ensure the development of facilities to enable lifelong learning to reduce inequality and ensure a high quality of life.*

### **11. Design and heritage**

*To increase the contribution that urban design and valued cultural and heritage assets can make to the image of the city and the quality of life of its residents.*

## **Caring for the City's Environment**

**Policy CS6.1** - The City Council will ensure that the City's environment remains one of its key assets, and its importance is recognised and capitalised on by:-

- a) seeking resource efficiency and high environmental standards within developments in the City;
- b) protecting and conserving the City's natural environment;
- c) protecting, preserving and enhancing the built heritage and culture of the city;
- d) protecting local environmental quality.

## **Built Environment**

**Policy CS6.2** - Those parts of the built environment that make a positive contribution to local character, that establish a distinctive sense of place and which represent the unique qualities of Sunderland will be protected, conserved and enhanced.

**Policy CS6.3** - The City Council will ensure that existing and proposed public realm located throughout the City will be of a high standard to offer accessible, functional, attractive and legible spaces.

**Policy CS6.4** - Sustainable design and construction will be integral to new development in Sunderland; development should address the following key issues:

- a) Maximising energy efficiency and integrating the use of renewable and low carbon energy;
- b) Waste and recycling during construction and in operation;
- c) Conserving water resources and minimising vulnerability to flooding;
- d) The type, life cycle and source of materials to be used;
- e) Flexibility and adaptability, allowing future modification of use or layout, facilitating future refurbishment and retrofitting;
- f) Opportunities to incorporate measures which enhance the biodiversity value of development, such as green roofs.

## **Natural Environment**

**Policy CS6.5 - The openness of the countryside around existing built up areas of the City will be secured by maintaining the green belt. The broad extent of the Tyne and Wear Green Belt (except land North of Nissan referred to below) will be maintained to:**

- a) Check the unrestricted sprawl and encourage the regeneration of the built up area;
- b) Assist in safeguarding the city's countryside from further encroachment;
- c) Preserve the setting and special character of Springwell Village;
- d) Prevent the merging of Sunderland with Tyneside, Washington, Houghton-le-Spring and Seaham and the merging of Shiney Row with Washington, Chester-le-street and Bournmoor.

Land to the north of Nissan will be de-allocated from the Green Belt to accommodate the proposed Strategic Site (CS3.2ii).

A strategic review of the existing Green Belt will be undertaken and inform this Core Strategy where necessary.

Minor boundary amendments will be undertaken as part of the Allocations DPD.

**Policy CS6.6 - The City Council will protect, conserve and enhance the varied landscape character within the City and the separate identity of its settlements, through the retention of important open-breaks and wedges within and between settlements.**

**Policy CS6.1.7 - The City Council will:-**

- a) Protect, conserve, enhance and review designated ecological and geological sites of international, national and local importance;
- b) Ensure that development protects existing locally distinctive priority habitats and species and makes the fullest contributions to enhancing their biodiversity, both through on-site measures and by contribution to local biodiversity improvements;
- c) Ensure that development protects and enhances local geodiversity assets.

**Policy CS6.8 - The City Council will :**

- a) The City Council will establish a network of strategic and district Green Infrastructure Corridors comprising linked green spaces. These strategic corridors will connect the city to neighbouring authorities with the:
  - i. Coastline
  - ii. River wear



- iii. Green Belt and open countryside west of A19
- iv. Northern boundary Green Belt
- v. Part of the Coast to Coast (C2C) cycle route
- vi. Part of the Walney to Wear (W2W)
- b) Maintain, protect and enhance the integrity and connectivity of the proposed Green Infrastructure corridors in line with the emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy;
- c) Protect, conserve and enhance the quality, community value, function and accessibility to the City's green space and wider green infrastructure, especially in areas of deficiency.

### **Historic Environment**

**Policy CS6.9** - The historic environment of the City, especially designated heritage assets (Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Historic Parks and Gardens) and their settings, other valued buildings and areas of historic, cultural and townscape significance and sites of archaeological importance will be protected, conserved and enhanced where possible.

**Policy CS6.10** - The City Council will support and develop initiatives to:-

- a) Secure and sustain the conservation and (where appropriate) viable use of Heritage Assets at Risk;
- b) Capitalise in an appropriate and sensitive manner on the regeneration and tourism potential of heritage assets;
- c) Promote and improve access to and understanding and enjoyment of the historic environment.

**Policy CS6.11** - The Council's heritage designations will continue to be reviewed. The City Council will make new designations to protect and conserve the City's built heritage assets where justified by appropriate surveys and evidence.

### **Environmental Quality**

**Policy CS6.12** - The City Council will seek to ensure that new development is sited and designed as to avoid adversely impacting upon the quality of the local environment.

### **Built Environment**

**6.4** The creation and maintenance of an attractive City has a significant role to play in attracting investment and assist in urban regeneration. This ties in with one of the fundamental aims of the Sunderland Strategy which is to achieve widespread recognition of Sunderland's attractiveness as a place in which to live, work, study and to visit.

**6.5** The city has many areas of public realm which has the potential to act as a catalyst for regenerating the city, by making it a more attractive place to invest, work, live and visit (for example Sunnyside in the city centre). The Economic Masterplan will introduce improvements and additions to public

realm in the city centre. Public realm will be designed for a range of user groups and should consider the safety, protection and enjoyment of people within the space.

**6.6** Action to reduce the impact of climate change is a key part of the overall vision of the Core Strategy and Development Management policies and is a cross cutting theme throughout, including objectives for reducing the need to travel supporting sustainable transport (CS5), supporting the role of Green Infrastructure (CS6) and sustainable design and development (CS6). It is recognised that climate change will have significant implications for the city.

**6.7** New development provides an opportunity for reducing energy consumption and enabling more efficient use of energy, both of which are important for reducing carbon emissions and wasteful use of finite natural resources. It is important that developments are designed to mitigate climate change, and to withstand its effects. This will help to minimise the impact of development on the global environment, and ensure that buildings and spaces endure.

**6.8** Sustainable design also includes the sustainable use of resources, which is an important part of conserving materials and natural resources that are likely to become scarcer. This includes considering how existing buildings can be re-used, and how new buildings might be used in different ways in the future. Sustainable materials include those that are degradable, have low embedded energy, are easily renewed, or are recyclable.

### **Historic Environment**

**6.9** The historic environment of Sunderland is an asset of enormous cultural, social, economic and environmental value. The city benefits from a rich, diverse and distinctive cultural and built heritage that makes a fundamental contribution to the quality of the City's environment and providing a sense of place and belonging for its local communities. The significance of the City's heritage assets is wide-ranging and far-reaching, providing an important educational resource, a catalyst for regeneration and offering huge tourism and visitor potential.

**6.10** The city's historic environment includes an extensive range of designated heritage assets that are considered to be of national (and sometimes international) significance, as well as regionally and locally important. These consist of 9 Scheduled Ancient Monuments, including the 7<sup>th</sup> century monastic site of Wearmouth, which is one half of the Wearmouth-Jarrow candidature for World Heritage Site inscription, almost seven hundred Listed Buildings, 2 registered Historic Parks and Gardens and 14 Conservation Areas. The majority of these are located predominantly in urban areas in Sunderland, with concentrations in the city centre. The City also contains a vast range of non-designated heritage assets of predominantly local significance, many of which are closely associated with Sunderland's social and economic history, for instance being representative of its industrial legacy.

**6.11** The Council acknowledges the value and importance of the City's historic environment and recognises that its heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource that must be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. To ensure that the City's heritage assets are appropriately conserved and sustained into the future the City Council will, as a general principle and in accordance with the NPPF, ensure that development affecting heritage assets and their settings will wherever possible preserve and enhance the significance of the heritage asset in a manner that is proportionate to the relative importance and nature of the asset. The detail of how this will be achieved is set out within Development Management Policy DM6.

**6.12** The Council also recognises that the historic environment has a fundamental role to play in the on-going regeneration and sustainable future of the City. Indeed, heritage-led regeneration initiatives have in recent years been particularly successful in transforming the built environment and driving forward the revitalisation of parts of the City Centre, most notably Sunnyside.

**6.13** Some of the city's Heritage Assets are however at risk, especially as a result of the economic downturn in recent times, and this situation is reflective of a wider national problem albeit somewhat more acute within the North East region. The City Council has and will continue to be pro-active in responding to this issue. Addressing Heritage-at-Risk is therefore a key priority of the Council and it will focus on working with funding partners such as English Heritage and Heritage Lottery Fund, other partner organisations, property owners and developers, to collaboratively and constructively develop solutions that secure and sustain the future of assets at risk.

**6.14** The Council will also seek to increase its knowledge and understanding of its historic environment, reviewing its local heritage designations, undertaking survey and appraisal work and commissioning specialist studies and research as opportunities arise, with the aim of continually developing its database of heritage assets and potentially making new designations where justified by such work. For instance the Council's Heritage Protection Team will continue to produce Conservation Area Character Appraisals and Management Strategies (CAMS) to ensure that all its Conservation Areas are supported by adopted planning guidance and a robust policy framework and relevant guidance is in place for the protection and stewardship of the city's local historic assets.

**6.15** The Council further acknowledges that the conservation and enhancement of the City's heritage assets should go hand-in-hand with enjoyment of them. The Council will therefore continue to promote and raise awareness and appreciation of the City's historic environment and seek to ensure wherever possible that is an accessible resource to be enjoyed by its local communities and visitors alike.

## **Natural Environment**

### **Green Belt**

**6.16** Although primarily urban in character, 57% of the city is classed as open countryside or green space, nearly 30 percent of which is designated Green Belt, that surrounds and separates the main urban areas.

**6.16** The maintenance of the broad extent of the Green Belt boundaries is an important factor in directing new development to urban areas. A significant amendment to the Green Belt boundary is proposed to accommodate the Strategic Site to the North of Nissan. The release of this site is vital to secure the ongoing development of the city's economy.

**6.17** The Council will be undertaking both a strategic and non-strategic review of the Green Belt boundaries to ensure the boundaries remain robust to meet the city's strategic development needs. However, it will not necessarily lead to a whole scale roll back of the existing Green Belt, findings will be incorporated into this Core Strategy at the earliest stage. At a more detailed level, this review will also consider minor boundary changes to ensure the Green Belt boundaries remain robust and clearly recognisable. These will be detailed within the emerging Allocations DPD.

### **Landscape Character and Settlement Breaks**

**6.18** The city has a diverse landscape, encompassing parts of two national landscape character areas, the Durham Magnesian Limestone escarpment and Tyne and Wear lowlands. The protection of the former is now the subject of study through the Limestone Landscape Partnership and also includes the Durham Heritage Coastline and River Wear Estuary. These areas require continued conservation, enhancement and protection where necessary.

**6.19** The broad extent of open breaks between settlements will be retained in order to support the development of green infrastructure, focus of development on urban areas and to help retain the distinct physical characteristics of local neighbourhoods within the city. Notwithstanding this, an amendment to the settlement break boundary in South Sunderland will be proposed to accommodate the proposed Location for Major Development at Burdon Lane. The deletion would facilitate the growth objectives and needs of the city.

**6.20** The Council is undertaking a full review of all its settlement break boundaries, to ensure they are still appropriate and fit for purpose. The findings of which are being consulted upon alongside the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options and will inform the Allocations DPD.

### **Green Infrastructure Corridors and Greenspace**

**6.21** The wide variety, quality and quantity of green infrastructure in Sunderland contributes significantly towards the creation of safer, healthier and more sustainable neighbourhoods, and in turn will protect and improve citizen's health and welfare.

**6.22** The Council seeks to complete an interconnected network of green infrastructure (GI) corridors that enable safe and convenient movement for wildlife, walkers and cyclists. A network of good quality GI can assist the city in meeting several of its spatial objectives by improving land for recreation purposes, improving local access and biodiversity, assist in mitigating against climate change and enable sustainable drainage. A GI Strategy for the city will be developed to support these key objectives.

**6.23** Six inter-district green infrastructure corridors within the city have been identified (as shown by Figure 7). These corridors will build on the existing network linking the city to the wider region and seek to broaden the range and quality of functions that green infrastructure can bring to the city. Due to the nature of the corridors, which include private as well as public open space, not all will be accessible to the public.

**6.24** Lower order district corridors that have particular relevance to local communities will also be protected and enhanced. The network of the potential green infrastructure corridors is also shown at Figure 7.

**6.25** The city contains a wide diversity of green space. Though overall provision of green space has improved over the last 15 years, the spatial distribution and quality of green space available remains varied especially in the older neighbourhoods in and around Central Sunderland. It is therefore important to protect valued green space from adverse development and create and enhance new green space where this will achieve higher quality value and greater distribution.

**6.26** Through the Green Space Audit which was consulted upon through 2012, the Council has quantified and surveyed all green space in Sunderland and the value it they have to the local community. Responses to the Green Space Audit and previous Core Strategy community engagement suggests that all but some minor areas of amenity space are valued.

### **Biodiversity**

**6.27** Due to the geology of the city, Sunderland has many sites of botanical interest and a variety of habitats of value to wildlife, including parts of two Natura 2000 sites, the Northumbria Coast Special Protection Area (SPA) which protects species and the Durham Coast Special Area of Conservation (SAC) which protects habitats. These sites are protected by European Union legislation and the impact of this plan on them has been considered in an 'Appropriate Assessment' in accordance with UK regulations.


**6.28** There are currently 17 Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), 10 of which are identified for both biological and geological or geomorphic value, 68 Local Wildlife Sites and five Local Nature Reserves within the city.

**6.29** The City Council will continue to work in partnership with the Durham Biodiversity Partnership for the successful delivery of the Durham Biodiversity Action Plan (DBAP) and its key targets. The DBAP will form the primary mechanism for achieving both the UK BAP targets and regional targets, within

Sunderland. Local Geodiversity Action Plans are also proposed to set out action to provide a framework for the delivery of geo-conservation.

**6.30** An full audit of the city's ecology was undertaken in 2011/12 and will be consulted upon shortly. This will inform both this Core Strategy and the emerging Allocations DPD regarding amongst other matters, the designation of new sites of nature conservation importance and (where necessary) deletion of existing sites.

**Figure 7 : Proposed Green Infrastructure Corridors**



## Chapter 7: Renewable Energies

**7.1** Climate change is recognised as one of the most significant threats facing the 21<sup>st</sup> Century and as such there are unprecedented challenges to the environment, economy and the future security of energy. The impact will be felt through changes in the range and extremes of weather on communities and the natural world, as well as global consequences such as rising sea levels.

**7.2** The NPPF stipulates that planning should support the transition to a low carbon future and encourage the use of renewable resources through the development of renewable energy and associated infrastructure in order to deliver the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development.

### Background to Renewable Energies Policy

#### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to waste and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

- Support for all types of renewable energy systems, with a slight preference overall for solar energy systems.
- Policy should be aligned with the Sunderland Climate Change Action Plan to emphasise role of energy efficiency.
- A preference for large wind turbines to be located offshore.
- In the occurrence of onshore wind turbine development preference for brownfield land to rural locations, but no preference between having just a few large wind farms, or many sites with fewer, smaller turbines.

#### Sustainability Appraisal

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- That it will help to ensure that carbon emissions are reduced in the city, whilst also increasing the amount of energy produced from renewable energy.

#### Spatial Strategy

**7.3** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy Policy CS1 identifies the growth objectives of the city. The sustainable regeneration of the city's economy and the achievement of the growth objectives is a key priority for the City Council. The Sunderland Economic Masterplan will be a key driver in providing a long-term strategy for Sunderland's future economic growth. The Masterplan proposes that, to become more prosperous, Sunderland must focus on a small number of important sectors and on the city centre, and do this by developing a low-carbon economy. The vision is that Sunderland will

become 'An entrepreneurial University City at the heart of a low-carbon regional economy'.

**7.4** The spatial objectives aim to help achieve the spatial vision. A range of planning actions that could bring about the achievement of each objective has also been suggested.

### **Delivery of Spatial Objective**

#### *2. Climate Change*

*Adapt to and minimise the impact of climate change by reducing carbon emissions*

### **Renewable Energies**

**Policy CS7 - The development of decentralised, renewable and low carbon energy will be supported subject to satisfactory resolution of all site specific constraints.**

**Renewable energy development should be located and designed to avoid significant adverse impacts on landscape, wildlife, heritage assets and amenity.**

**Appropriate steps should be taken to mitigate any adverse impacts, such as noise nuisance, flood risk, shadow flicker and interference with telecommunications, through careful consideration of location, scale, design and other measures.**

**Consideration will be given to the cumulative impacts of proposals within and outside the City.**

**7.5** The Economic Masterplan (EMP) vision that Sunderland will become 'An entrepreneurial University City at the heart of a low-carbon regional economy', is to be achieved through the successful delivery of priority aims. Aim 2 of the EMP is to establish Sunderland as a leading UK city for low-carbon technology and production and to support the wider region in developing a sustainable, low-carbon economy.

**7.6** In 2009, Nissan Sunderland announced future investment to pioneer electric vehicle battery production, just as the UK Government's announcement that the UK's Low Carbon Economic Area for Ultra Low Carbon Vehicles would be centered on Sunderland. This provides Sunderland with the opportunity to place itself at the front of a highly significant national policy, where it will attract attention, recognition and the prospect of international investment. This is an opportunity to present the city as a national exemplar, promoting showcase projects including electric vehicles, carbon-efficient technologies and low-carbon lifestyles.

**7.7** As is apparent, Sunderland is committed to playing its part in tackling climate change in helping to achieve the national target to cut carbon dioxide



emissions by 80% by 2050. Sunderland Partnership and Sunderland City Council recognise that climate change is one of the greatest environmental challenges facing the world today. Sunderland's Climate Change Action Plan sets out how the city is going to reduce its energy consumption, emissions of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other greenhouse gases, which are known to be the main cause of climate change. The Plan outlines a number of priority actions including improving transport infrastructure to create greener, cleaner and healthier transport

**7.8** In addition, Sunderland has joined other European cities in pledging to reduce carbon emissions by 20% by 2020. Sunderland has signed up to the European Union's Covenant of Mayors. The Covenant offers a permanent network of major European cities which, through sharing ideas and strategies, will work together to create the energy efficient, low carbon cities that are needed if the EU is to be successful in tackling climate change.

**7.9** Supporting renewable and low-carbon decentralised energy schemes is an important component of meeting carbon reduction targets. Renewable energy generators are already an important component of energy use in the city with 10 wind turbines at Nissan providing 6.6MW and a small windfarm at Great Eppleton Farm with 4 wind turbines providing 12 MW. The city also accommodates a number of smaller renewable energy generators including wind turbines, pvc cells, biomass.

**7.10** The development of most standalone renewable energy installations will require careful consideration due to their potential visual and landscape impacts, especially in areas of high landscape value. The size, location and design of renewable energy schemes should be informed by a landscape character assessment, alongside other key environmental issues.

**7.11** Further studies are required to understand the scope for further renewable development in the future. The Regional Renewable Energy Strategy (2005) identified that wave, offshore wind, solar, tidal and geothermal resources are not viable renewable energy technologies on a large scale in Sunderland.

**7.12** In addition, there maybe limitations for future wind turbine development within the Coalfield area. A report produced for the North East Regional Assembly, Wind Farm Development and Landscape Capacity Studies: East Durham Limestone and Tees Plain, 2008, identifies the Coalfield to be of medium to high sensitivity with regards to further wind farm development, due to the landscape impact of existing turbines at Great Eppleton and High Sharpley upon the Magnesian Limestone Escarpment.

**7.13** The Council are in the process of procuring consults to undertake a feasibility study to identify broad locations for future renewable energy development and the feasibility of decentralised energy in the city, the findings of which will inform future drafts of the Core Strategy.

## Chapter 8 : Waste management

### Introduction

**8.1** The National Planning Policy Framework does not specifically address waste. Planning Policy Statement 10 “Planning for Sustainable Waste Management” still remains in force. Updated national waste policies are awaited from the new National Waste Management Plan for England. Notwithstanding the lack of up to date guidance, waste management is under going a period of significant change which will see a reduction in waste generated and more sustainable forms of management in order to meet challenging targets for waste reduction, recovery and recycling/composting sufficient facilities.

### Background to the Waste Policies

#### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to waste and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

- The use of recycled materials in new development should be encouraged.
- Waste management industries for the treatment of residual household waste should be encouraged.
- Recycling industries should be encouraged to locate in the city.

#### Sustainability Appraisal

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- The policy aims to reduce waste production and increase recycling through applying the waste hierarchy (reduce, re-use, recycle, recover energy from waste and ultimately disposal).

### Spatial Strategy

**8.2** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy Policy CS1 identifies the growth objectives of the city. But growth can not occur alone. Development must be planned for alongside all necessary supporting infrastructure including waste management.

### Delivery of Spatial Objectives

#### **9. Waste and recycling**

*To increase the reuse and recycling of ‘waste’ in line with sub-regional responsibilities and plan for the most sustainable way of disposing of the remainder.*

### Waste Management

**Policy CS8.1 - The City Council will ensure the sustainable management of waste in Sunderland by:-**

- a) Managing waste through the waste hierarchy, in sequential order. Waste should only be disposed of in landfill if there are no other waste management solutions;**

- b) Supporting delivery of the South Tyne and Wear Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy;**
- c) Facilitating the development of a network of small scale local waste management facilities in accessible locations, and effective methods of waste management such as facilities to separate or store different types of waste, including materials that are required to be separated for kerbside collection schemes;**
- d) Allocating land as necessary for waste management facilities, to meet identified local and regional requirements.**

**8.3** The Government's objective is to protect the environment and human health by producing less waste and using it as a resource wherever possible. This means reducing the dependence on landfill and diverting waste to more sustainable methods of waste management.

**8.4** Driven by European legislation and established targets there is now a requirement to reduce the amount of waste generated, increase the levels of waste diverted away from landfill, and recycle more waste. This approach to sustainable waste management reflects the waste hierarchy, setting out the order in which options for waste management should be considered on environmental impact. The hierarchy gives priority to the following waste management solutions :

- Waste prevention
- Preparing for re-use
- Recycling
- Other types of recovery (including energy recover)
- Disposal (e.g. landfill).

**8.5** The aim is to recycle 50% of waste from household by 2020 under the EU Waste Framework Directive and to recover 70% of construction and demolition waste by 2020.

**8.6** Sunderland has progressed a joint approach to the procurement of waste services, along with the Councils of South Tyneside and Gateshead, known as the "South Tyne and Wear Waste Management Partnership" (STWWMP). Guided by the joint waste strategy, the partnership has developed a longer-term strategic solution for the treatment and disposal of residual municipal waste. A contract has been secured for the City's residual municipal waste to be treated at a new Energy from Waste Facility at Haverton Hill in Teeside.

**8.7** The contract involves building an Energy from Waste facility which will burn the waste to create electricity. The plant will be able to deal with up to 256,000 tonnes of waste each and capable of exporting 18.84MW electricity to the national grid. The facility will be supported by a Visitor and Education Centre at Gateshead's waste transfer facility which is located within Sunderland's boundary at the Campground site in Springwell. A new waste transfer facility station has been granted consent and will be developed at Jack Crawford House depot, in Hendon.

**8.8** In 2012 a forecasting exercise was commissioned by the North East local authorities to gain an understanding of commercial and industrial waste arisings up to 2030. Although the main focus of the study was commercial and industrial waste, as so many key facilities in North East England process waste from both municipal (i.e. household) and commercial and industrial (i.e. business) sources, a precise forecast of free capacities required the need to consider municipal waste arisings too.

**8.9** The tables below provide further information on the findings of the Study. The findings are based upon the achievement of statutory targets. Alternative scenarios were also developed which modelled increased recycling and landfill diversion targets. These show more positive outcomes, so the findings below are a worst case scenario. The alternative scenarios are discussed in the Urban Mines Model of Waste Arisings and Waste Management Capacity for the North East of England Waste Planning Authorities 2012.

**Table 1 : Existing Waste Infrastructure facilities in Sunderland**

Site Type	Not Operational (tonnes per annum)	Operational (tonnes per annum)	Total Capacity (tonnes per annum)
Co-Disposal Landfill		500,000	500,000
Haz Transfer Station		1,186,541	1,186,541
HWRC		61,499	61,499
Inert Landfill		150,000	150,000
Inert transfer station		999,999	999,999
Metal Recycling and ELV	7,498	174,003	181,501
Material Recycling Facilities (MRF)	6,900	629,998	636,898
Non-Haz Landfill	450,000	695,170	1,145,170
Non- Haz Waste Transfer Station	0	1,982,452	1,982,452
Treatment		74,999	74,999
Grand Total	464,398 6	6,454,661	6,919,059

Capacity is EA licensed capacity

**Source:** Environment Agency

**Table 2 : Projected Non-Hazardous Waste Arisings & Capacity Gaps**

	Total Arisings	Capacity Gap	Excluding Houghton Landfill
2012	328,169	+69,000	+69,000
2022	344,531	+166,000	- 84,000
2030	350,566	- 86,000	- 86,000

**Source:** Urban Mines (Model of Waste Arisings and Waste Management Capacity for the North East of England Waste Planning Authorities), 2012

**8.10** With considerable local landfill capacity and access to the new energy from waste plant at Haverton Hill in Tees Valley, residual waste capacity exceed arisings until 2029, although there is still energy recovery shortfall attributed to commercial & industrial generated waste.

**8.11** However, the owners of Houghton Landfill are considering closing the landfill in the near future and a planning application is currently being considered. Modelling requirements without the Houghton landfill extension shows a significant shortfall in available local landfill capacity of some 80,000 tonnes. Currently none of Sunderland’s Municipal Solid Waste goes into Houghton Landfill. The closure of Houghton Land fill is more likely to have a sub-regional and regional impact than at a local level.

**8.12** With the move to drive waste management up the waste hierarchy, the intention is not to provide additional landfill capacity within the city during the plan period but to look at alternative methods for managing waste. Development Management Policy DM8.1 provides further details on the criteria to be used in both allocating appropriate sites (through the Allocations DPD) and to determine planning applications for future waste facilities, including strategic waste facilities.

**Table 3 : Projected Hazardous Waste Arisings & Capacity Gaps**

	<b>Total Arisings</b>	<b>Capacity Gap</b>
2012	6,340	0
2022	6,146	0
2030	5,973	0

**Source:** Urban Mines (Model of Waste Arisings and Waste Management Capacity for the North East of England Waste Planning Authorities), 2012

**8.13** Hazardous Waste arisings (not including waste water and related treatment) are some 6,000 tonnes per annum, which is not expected to change significantly over the forecast period. This compares to a local hazardous transfer capacity of some 1.2 million tonnes annually (2010 figures). Although there is no hazardous landfill capacity in the city, there is considerable capacity in nationally significant sites in the Tees Valley sub-region, and at a regional scale there appears to be significant hazardous waste treatment and landfill overcapacity.

**Table 4 : Organic Waste Arisings & Capacity Gaps**

	<b>Total Arisings</b>	<b>Capacity Gap</b>
2012	28,000	0
2022	47,000	0
2030	49,000	0

**Source:** Urban Mines (Model of Waste Arisings and Waste Management Capacity for the North East of England Waste Planning Authorities), 2012

**8.14** Forecasting shows that some 28,000 tonnes of segregated organic waste is produced in Sunderland at present, increasing to some 49,000 tonnes.

**8.15** Comparing likely arisings to local capacities, there is no significant existing or planned organic recycling capacity, in windrow composting or anaerobic capacity in the city. A Materials Recycling Facility in Washington provided and operated by the Council’s contractor and transfer station in Birtley, currently takes Sunderland’s garden waste for onward transportation to a Green Waste Composting Facility outside the city. There maybe

opportunities for the development of suitably located organic recycling facilities, subject to satisfying Development Management Policy DM8.1

**8.16** There is limited information on low level radioactive waste arisings as such a Low Level Radioactive Waste Study is shortly to be undertaken. Once again the assessment is being undertaken collaboratively with most Local Authority's in the region. Once finalised the outcome of this work will be reflected in the Core Strategy.

## Chapter 9: Minerals

### Introduction

**9.1** The NPPF recognises that minerals are essential to support sustainable economic growth and our quality of life. It is necessary to ensure that there is a sufficient supply of minerals to provide the infrastructure, buildings, energy and goods that the area needs. Since minerals are a finite natural resource, and can only be worked where they are found, it is important to make best use of them to secure their long-term conservation.

**9.2** Sunderland possess a variety of valuable minerals resources including Permian yellow sand and crushed rock which play a part in meeting local, regional and national requirement.

### Background to the Mineral Policies

#### What you told us

There has been public consultation on the Core Strategy as it has progressed through its various stages. A number of responses are directly relevant to waste and these have been taken into consideration in formulating the policies in this version of the Core Strategy. These responses are set out as follows:-

- Mineral extraction should be restricted to the most suitable sites, especially where minerals can be transported by rail or water.
- The impact on SSSI's and priority habitats must be assessed in relation to the broad locations for Minerals Safeguarding Areas.

#### Sustainability Appraisal

The Core Strategy has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal continuously as it has developed. The latest SA (April 2012) highlighted:-

- The policy would not minimise the use of global and local resources by continuing to extracting minerals. Mineral safeguarding areas would ensure that resources are available for the future and ensure that no development is permitted which could cause unnecessary sterilisation by development.
- The processes involved in extracting minerals could help to develop appropriate employment opportunities that are accessible and diverse and attractive to residents and potential in-migrants.
- The extraction of minerals from the city's quarries could have a detrimental impact on the city's landscape.

### Spatial Strategy

**9.3** The Spatial Strategy set out in Core Strategy Policy CS1 identifies the growth objectives of the city. But growth requires building materials. Building materials for the development of the new homes, offices, schools, roads required as part of the cities proposed growth.

### Delivering of Spatial Objective Minerals

To manage the city's mineral resources ensuring the maintenance of appropriate reserves to meet the future needs of the community whilst making sure that environmental impacts are properly considered.

#### **Minerals**

**CS9.1 The City Council will ensure that mineral resources in the City are properly managed by:-**

- a) Ensuring an appropriate contribution is made to the national and regional needs for minerals, in ways which conserve and enhance the quality of the environment and the quality of life for existing and future generations, in accordance with the principles of sustainability;**
- b) Identifying Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs) around mineral deposits that are considered to be of current or future economic importance in the broad locations of Eppleton, Great Eppleton, Pittington Hill, Warden Law and Springwell to safeguard the deposits against unnecessary sterilisation by development;**
- c) Assessing proposals for non-energy mineral extraction both individually and cumulatively, in terms of contribution to targets, and the social, environmental and economic impacts arising.**

**9.4** Minerals are a finite resource and can only be worked where they exist. Sunderland's main contribution to meeting local, regional and national requirements in the last decade has been in providing Permian yellow sand and crushed rock from quarries on the Magnesian Limestone Escarpment.

**9.5** Sunderland currently has two operational quarries extracting aggregates, at Hetton Moor House Farm and Eppleton. There is no longer any coal extraction in the city and no new sites for aggregate extraction have been identified.

**9.6** Revised national and regional guidelines for the provision of aggregates in England 2005 to 2020 were published in June 2009. The guidelines for the provision of land-won aggregates from North East England over this period are 24 million tonnes of sand and gravel and 99 million tonnes of crushed rock. A sub regional apportionment has been established through technical work undertaken by the North East Aggregates Working Party (NERAWP). The Tyne & Wear Sub-region (including Gateshead, South Tyneside and Sunderland) must retain a land bank of planning permissions sufficient to deliver approximately 3.1 million tonnes of sand and gravel and 3 million tonnes of crushed rock over the period to 2020.

**9.7** Based on the latest Regional Aggregate Working Party annual report 2008, and the recent approval (subject to the signing of section 106's) for the extension of Eppleton Quarry, the Tyne & Wear Sub-region exceeds the NERAWP apportionment requirements for both crushed rock and sand and gravel extraction.



**9.8** The NPPF requires local authorities to undertake an annual Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA), either individually or jointly with neighbouring authorities. The LAA is an assessment of supply options and a rolling average 10 years sales data and other relevant local information. County Durham, Northumberland and the Tyne & Wear are producing a joint LAA. The findings of which will inform future drafts of the Core Strategy.

**9.9** Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs) are defined for mineral reserves that are considered to be of current or future economic importance. These are shown on the key diagram. The purpose of MSAs is to ensure that mineral resources are adequately taken into account in all spatial planning decisions. They do not automatically preclude other forms of development taking place, but highlight the presence of an economically viable mineral so that it is considered, and not unknowingly or needlessly sterilised.

**9.10** Surface coal resources are present across roughly the western half of Sunderland, defined on the key diagram. It is necessary to ensure that coal resources are not unduly sterilised by new development. In instances where this may be the case, the Coal Authority may seek prior extraction of the coal. Developers should liaise with the Coal Authority when proposing developments within Coal Safeguarding Areas.

**9.11** Mineral extraction has been one of the most significant activities shaping the development of the city over the past two centuries. Consequently, there are approximately 290 recorded mine entries listed in the city, potentially resulting in land instability. It is important new development does not lead to future public safety hazards, where required developers should carry out site investigations and where necessary mitigate, the coal mining legacy on site where necessary.

**9.12** Land instability and mining legacy is not a complete constraint on new development; rather because the legacy of past mining has been addressed the new development is safe, stable and sustainable.

**9.13** Where proposals for mineral extraction occur Development Management Policy DM9.1 sets out the criteria by which proposals for mineral extraction should be considered, to ensure that environmental, social and economic issues and impacts are fully considered and where adverse affects are identified, they are effectively managed and mitigated.

## Chapter 10 : Plan, Monitor, Manage

### Introduction

**10.1** National planning policy requires that plans should be deliverable over the plan period. In other words, they should not contain a 'wish list' of matters councils would like to see come forward, irrespective of whether there is a realistic chance of them being delivered.

**10.2** A central objective of national policy is to proactively drive forward and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, businesses and infrastructure that the country requires. Of particular note are the requirements for councils to *inter alia* :

- Maintain a rolling 5 year supply of deliverable housing land
- Keep under review the changing requirements and demands of the business community in terms of the scale, type and location of land to accommodate their requirements
- Monitor the changing and emerging demands of local communities in terms of the type, size and tenure of new required.

### **Plan, Monitor and Manage**

**Policy CS10 – Compliance with the policies and allocations will be continuously monitored throughout the plan period via Annual Monitoring Reports. Should this demonstrate that policies and allocations are not being achieved, the following mechanisms will be triggered:**

- a) Review of relevant supply of development related allocations;
- b) Review of relevant policies.

**Should at anytime the above mechanisms be triggered the following actions will be used to assist in the delivery of policies and allocations, where relevant:**

- i. Consider the potential for surplus / undervalued open space as an outcome of the greenspace strategy.
- ii. Consider the potential for surplus employment land through future reviews of the city's employment land portfolio.
- iii. Selective use of Compulsory Purchase Powers to assemble land suitable for the marketplace.
- iv. Bringing forward the phased release of Council owned land.
- v. The selective use of Compulsory Purchase Powers and /or land acquisition to assemble land suitable for the market place to meet any shortfalls in supply
- vi. Review and reprioritise its heads of terms for securing developer contributions as detailed in Policy DM10 to enable to ensure proposals remain viable.
- vii. Where major sites require significant levels of reclamation the City Council will consider a partnership agreement to bring a site to a stage where it becomes viable for development.
- viii. Supporting bids for Public Sector Funding through partnership work.

- ix. Working with the relevant agencies and utility providers to secure the timely provision of infrastructure
- x. Review internal working practices such as the pre-application or development management processes.
- xi. Regularly assess the needs and demands of the development industry, residents and partners.
- xii. Consider the preparation of supplementary planning documents to provide clearer guidance as to how the relevant policies should be implemented.
- xiii. Through the duty to cooperate, continue to work with adjacent Councils and agencies to consider the cross boundary development needs and infrastructure requirements.

Should there fail to be a five-year housing land supply at any time, the City Council in consultation with partners and developers will seek to bring forward additional suitable housing land and boost housing delivery, in accordance with Policy CS1, through the above actions and the following management actions :

- i. Establish an agreed process with developers, landowners and agents by which the SHLAA process can provide a 'real time' position on the city's housing land supply position rather than relying on an annual review.
- ii. Sites within the subsequent 6-10 year period of the SHLAA which do not adversely affect the achievement of other aspects of the Core Strategy will be advanced into the 5 year land supply.
- iii. Responding to changing demands in house types, in relation to densities.
- iv. Consider the bringing forward of suitably identified greenfield sites where they can help subsidise bringing forward the delivery of PDL.

**10.3** The spatial objectives and policies of this Plan will be delivered through coordinated working with a wide range of organisations from the public, private and third sector. The public sector (such as Government agencies, the public transport providers, the NHS Teaching and Primary Care Trust, the water, electricity, gas and telecommunications industries) will play an important role in the delivery of the Plan. Rationalisation of public sector assets to maximise their effective and efficient use is expected to continue over the coming years. This will include the disposal of assets for alternative uses or co-location for the efficient use of land.

**10.4** It is also envisaged that significant investment would come from the private sector (such as through house building companies, developers, retailers and businesses) through direct investment in land and buildings and developer contributions towards infrastructure delivery.

**10.5** The Council is working towards the comprehensive delivery of the policies and proposals of the Core Strategy. Presently, there is no reason to believe that these will not be implemented in full. However, it is accepted that

there are circumstances beyond the Council's control where development may fail to come forward for a number of reasons.

**10.6** Accordingly, the Core Strategy and its subordinate development plan documents must be flexible and robust enough to respond to changing needs and circumstances. This is particularly relevant given the current domestic and wider recessionary pressures affecting the ability of the private sector to deliver. This is equally pressing within the public and voluntary sector as a result of the Government imposed austerity measures. As such, where the Council is able to use its influence and power to enable delivery of the proposals and policies, it will do so.

**10.7** Policy CS10 sets out a range of measures that are available to the Council that it can utilise not just in response to a potential situation where a specific part(s) of the plan appear to falter, but also to directly pump-prime and where necessary accelerate delivery. These measures can call on the Council's role :

- As the statutory local planning authority both in terms of the processes by which proposals are determined but also how it responds to changing circumstances through the development plan making process
- As land owner in terms of how and when it disposes of its land and buildings, but also where it may directly intervene to purchase land
- As enabler and facilitator where it can influence the investment programmes of key infrastructure delivery agencies (through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan) that will be essential for the effective delivery of proposals.

**10.8** The Core Strategy has an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Annual Monitoring Report (AMR). Chapter 21 of this Core Strategy, 'The Implementation and Monitoring Framework' sets out the range of targets to each policy alongside a range of contingency measures that may be employed to bring the Plan in the event that it needs to respond to changing circumstances. Accordingly, Policy CS10 must be read in conjunction with the Implementation and Monitoring Framework.

## Chapter 11 : Promoting Sustainable Development Patterns

### **Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development**

**Policy DM1.1-** When considering development proposals the City Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. The City Council will always work proactively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area.

Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Plan (and, where relevant, with policies contained within other Development Plan Documents or neighbourhood plans) will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the City Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise – taking into account whether:

- a. Any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole; or
- b. Specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted.

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reiterates national policy approach set out in NPPF. It replicates the required 'model' policy developed by the Planning Inspectorate which it requires to be included within new Development Plans. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**11.1** A presumption in favour of sustainable development is now enshrined in national planning policy. When considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects this presumption.

**11.2** Development and economic growth, though desirable and necessary, is not sustainable in itself. There are three dimensions to sustainable development- the community/ society, the environment and the economy. Sustainable development can only be achieved when all three aspects are considered together consistently and are given equal weight.

**11.3** The Council will work proactively and positively with prospective developers, businesses, community representatives and local stakeholders to negotiate and bring forward quality development solutions which mean that

proposals can be approved wherever possible and which will maximise sustainable economic, environmental and social benefits for City.

### **The Sequential Approach to Development**

**Policy DM1.2 – Land for development should be released in the following sequential order :**

- i. Suitable, viable and deliverable previously-developed sites and buildings within urban areas, particularly around public transport nodes;**
- ii. Other suitable, viable and deliverable locations within urban areas not identified as land to be protected for nature or heritage conservation or recreational purposes;**
- iii. Suitable, viable and deliverable sites in locations adjoining urban areas, particularly those that involve the use of previously-developed land and buildings; and**
- iv. Suitable, viable and deliverable sites in settlements outside urban areas, particularly those that involve the use of previously-developed land and buildings.**

**Planning proposals on greenfield land will only be supported where it can be demonstrated that the release of the site can be justified on the basis of the above sequential approach.**

**All sites should be in locations that are sustainable, or will be, and be well related to homes, jobs and services by all modes of transport, particularly public transport, walking and cycling having regard to other relevant policies within the LDF.**

**Sites and proposals not covered by the above will be considered on their merits and compliance with other policies of the LDF.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reflects messages within the NPPF in terms of the presumption in favour of sustainable development, promoting the vitality of the main urban area and encouraging effective use of land by reusing land that has been previously developed. **There is no reasonable alternative**

### **Supporting Text**

**11.4** The policy advocates a sequential approach to the identification of sites for development, recognising the need to make the best use of land and optimise the development of previously developed land. Development of sustainable sites within the Urban Area should take priority over development beyond the urban limits.

**11.5** Over the last 10 years the City has delivered much of its new housing on sustainable brownfield sites and this remains both a national and local priority. However, there is not an inexhaustible supply in the long term. Whilst there remains a number of major brownfield sites across the city, development viability is a major planning issue and a number of these sites

are not available in years 1-5 as a result of various physical constraints and the costs of bringing them back into use.

**11.6** The Council recognise that to achieve the growth aspirations of the city there will be a need to consider the use of suitability sustainable greenfield sites in both the short and long term. Notwithstanding this, the development of sustainably located PDL and buildings should continue to take priority over greenfield land.

## Chapter 12 : Strategic Sites and Locations for Major Development

### Strategic Sites & Locations for Major Development

#### Strategic Sites

**Policy DM2.1.** - Development proposals for the Strategic Sites must be supported by either a masterplan or development framework, which includes a delivery strategy. Development Proposals must:

- a. provide the appropriate level of physical, social, health, green and transport infrastructure necessary, to achieve a sustainable development and create a sense of 'place'; and
- b. have regard for all policies within this document
- c. demonstrate through the use of detailed drawings and a written statement how they have successfully addressed the elements of the site and its surroundings, including
  - i. urban form, such as building lines, frontages, plot sizes and patterns, building heights, storey heights and massing;
  - ii. architectural quality, such as colour, type, source and texture of detailing and materials used;
  - iii. natural features, such as topography, trees, boundary treatments, planting and biodiversity;
  - iv. visual context, such as location and scale of landmarks, strategic and local and other site specific views.

#### Locations for Major Development

**Policy DM2.2.** - LMDs will be defined and allocated through the Allocations DPD. Should an LMD come forward in advance of this document proposals will be considered against policies within the NPPF, the Development Plan and the criteria set out for Strategic Sites.

#### Alternative Approaches

Policy establishes main requirements underpinning development of these sites. **There is no reasonable alternative**

**12.1** Strategic sites by their nature will involve major development or redevelopment, as such proposals must be planned in a comprehensive manner and considered in line with DM Policy 6.1, which should be detailed through the preparation of a masterplan or development framework. The inclusion of a delivery strategy within the masterplan/framework will allow for a managed approach to the development process.

**12.2** The preparation of a master plan/development framework for these sites ensures all aspects of development are considered and encompassed into the proposals to achieve a sustainable development and create a sense of place.



## Chapter 13 : Economic Development

### Development within Employment Areas

#### Primary Employment Areas

**Policy DM3.1-** Within Primary Employment Areas the City Council will safeguard, promote and manage land and premises for employment uses falling within the B use classes that is Business (B1), General Industrial (B2) and Storage and Distribution (B8).

Within these areas proposals for new development and changes of use for developments not within the B use classes will not normally be permitted. The only exceptions to this will be proposals for small scale ancillary uses where these can be shown to genuinely support, maintain or enhance the business and employment function of the area. These could include:-

- i) Shops (A1) including sandwich bars
- ii) Cafes (A3) including snack bars and cafes

The maximum permitted floorspace for individual units will be 50sqm. The number and massing of units will be carefully considered to avoid an over-dominance that might affect the function and appearance of the area. Conditions will be imposed to prevent the further change of use of units.

Other potential uses might include:-

- i) Training centres (D1)
- ii) Crèches/ day nurseries (D1)
- iii) Gymnasiums (D2)

Other uses will be considered on their merits. In all cases all new uses must:-

- a. be of a type, scale or appearance compatible with the established character and function of the employment site;
- b. not adversely prejudice the day-to-day operation of the employment site through traffic generation or pedestrian movement;
- c. not – with other similar uses - constitute a significant dilution of the business use on the area.

#### Key Employment Areas

**Policy DM3.2-** The release of vacant land or premises within designated Key Employment Sites to non-employment purposes will only be considered acceptable where it can be demonstrated that:-

- a. The Council's most up-to-date employment land assessment(s) recommends their release for another purpose; and
- b. The integrity, function and purpose of the remaining Site for employment purposes is not diluted;
- c. The land or building is no longer needed for employment uses,

- and has this been evidenced through the provision of a local (or, where appropriate, strategic) assessment of demand;
- d. It can be demonstrated to the City Council's satisfaction that a site is no longer viable or capable of accommodating economic development uses (e.g. due to its location or for reasons of development viability);
  - e. The site has been unused for employment uses for at least a year, despite having been properly marketed on reasonable terms in accordance with DM3.3;
  - f. The current employment use (if one remains) is moving to an alternative accessible and otherwise suitable site within the city;
  - g. The site is of an insufficient quality and/ or fitness to accommodate existing types of industrial demand;
  - h. The site has been allocated for redevelopment for mixed or non-economic development uses through the Allocations DPD.

### **Alternative Approaches**

Approach accords with NPPF applied to local level. There is a need to distinguish the relative importance of different employment areas and adopt an appropriate approach to development management within these areas.

**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**13.1** Employment land is a finite resource and needs to be protected to ensure that sufficient is available to allow the City's economy to prosper. However, these areas are increasingly subject to pressure for the development of non-employment uses (typically housing). National Planning Guidance highlights the importance of securing economic growth but outlines the need to take into account wider regeneration needs when assessing proposals.

**13.2** The Council's Employment Land Update classifies existing employment areas in the City as either "Primary" or "Key" according to a range of criteria. The Update also highlights the need to differentiate between these areas and – accordingly – apply a different Development Management approach to each of these. This will ensure an appropriate level of protection is afforded to individual estates commensurate with their role and importance to the local economy.

**13.3** Where an application is submitted for the redevelopment of a site or property for an alternative use, a key requirement is the need for the applicant to completely demonstrate that attempts have been made to secure the current employment use of the site/ property before an alternative use will be considered favourably by the Council.

**Policy Marketing – Development on Key Employment Areas**  
**Policy DM3.3-** When considering applications for non-employment development within Key Employment Areas the City Council will require a statement of the efforts that have been made to market any premises or site currently or last used for employment development where an

**applicant puts forward that:-**

- a) There is no realistic prospect of an employment re-use of the land or premises, or;**
- b) Redevelopment for an employment use would not be economically viable.**

**Proof of marketing should involve all of the following actions:-**

- i) The land/ premises has been widely marketed through an agent/surveyor dealing in commercial property at a price that reflects its current market value for employment purposes for at least 12 months and that no reasonable offer has been refused;**
- ii) The land/premises has been regularly advertised in the local press and regional press (e.g. the Sunderland Echo), property press (e.g. Estates Gazette), specialist trade papers and any free papers covering relevant areas. Initially this should be intense (weekly) advertising in local papers for the first month and subsequently monthly insertions for at least 8 months;**
- iii) The land/ premises has been continuously included on the agent's website, the agent's own papers and lists of commercial/ business premises. Copies of sales particulars and a record of all enquiries/offers should be submitted as part of the planning application;**
- iv) There has been an agent's advertisement board on each site frontage to the highway throughout the period; this should be of an appropriate size and design and be visible from the highway;**
- v) The land/ premises has been included in the Council's Sites and Premises Database for a period of at least 12 months;**
- vi) Show evidence that local property agents and specialist commercial agents have been sent mail shots or hard copies of particulars to find out whether there is a demand for business premises in the area;**
- vii) Show evidence that local businesses have been contacted and sent mail shots or hard copies of particulars to explore whether they can make use of the premises (or part of) as alternative or additional accommodation.**

**The above timescales are appropriate for the current market situation and may need to be extended in times of flat or falling markets. [are we not in this position now?]**

**Where viable, development proposals which result in the loss of vacant employment land and premises to other uses should include measures to outweigh the loss of the site which include:-**

- a. Supporting employment opportunities, including :**
  - i. Providing or funding the construction of incubator units, managed workspace and workshops of small and medium sizes businesses; or**
  - ii. Providing discounted rental agreements within mixed-use developments; or**
  - iii. Employment initiatives; or**

- iv. Funding or sponsoring training initiatives to raise skill levels within the workforces and the unemployed.
- b. Creating mixed use development that includes employment uses and / or live-work units;
- c. Assisting the relocation and expansion of existing businesses displaced from the site;
- d. Assisting the consolidation of businesses operating from multiple sites on to a single location;
- e. Providing grants to assist businesses to improve their sites/ premises; and
- f. To deliver the above supporting measures, the Council may alternatively seek a commuted sum.

Where this is the case the potential of the surplus land or buildings to provide vital community facilities will be given priority over residential-only proposals.

#### **Alternative Approaches**

This is a key requirement in assessing proposals for non-employment uses and addresses the viability of existing property and sites. Whilst different time periods and media could be included in the policy, those featured are considered to be reasonable in the context of current market conditions. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**13.4** It is important that proposals which would result in the loss of employment land or properties are properly assessed in order to ensure that the loss can be completely justified. This policy sets out how this assessment should be undertaken

**13.5** It should be noted that the Statement of Efforts and Proof of Marketing will only be part of an assessment by the Council of whether the proposed use is acceptable. Consideration of economic viability will need to take account of the costs of re-using the premises for employment purposes. The appropriateness of non-employment use will need to be assessed in terms of the other provisions of the policy.

#### **Other Employment Sites**

**Policy DM3.4 - On non-designated employment areas proposals for new employment uses or extensions to existing uses will be supported. Proposals for the change of use or redevelopment of land and buildings which are presently in employment uses but not specifically identified above will be considered favourably where it can be demonstrated they can secure other significant regeneration benefits and are considered acceptable in all other respects to other policies within the Plan.**

#### **Alternative Approaches**

This approach accords with NPPF regarding review of employment land allocations and the need for flexibility. The sites have been identified through the Employment Land Update. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**13.6** In accordance with the guidance in the NPPF, the City Council has appraised the employment land portfolio in the City and has identified those areas which are most suited to supporting the development of the local economy. There are a number of existing areas which are not identified as a Primary Employment Area or a Key Employment Area. These tend to be older, less marketable employment areas close to, or within residential areas, where proposals for redevelopment for other uses – more likely to be residential use - could give rise to significant regeneration benefits. Each application will be decided upon its merits but a key consideration will be the ability to secure appropriate levels of residential amenity in any redevelopment scheme.

**New Employment uses outside identified Employment Areas**  
**Policy DM3.5 - The Council will support proposals for new employment uses outside designated areas where it can be demonstrated that there is not the capacity within the existing portfolio of sites and where such uses would not be contrary to other policies within the plan or detrimental to local amenity.**

**Alternative Approaches**

This approach accords with NPPF regarding the need for flexibility of approach in terms of employment land supply and the need to be responsive to market signals. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**13.7** Whilst the City's established employment areas will be the most appropriate location for businesses, it is acknowledged that in order to maximise opportunities to grow the local economy and be responsive to changing market conditions, there may be occasions where a certain use require a location outside of these areas. This policy highlights the key considerations that the City council will take into consideration when assessing proposals for such development.

**Trade Counters**

**Policy DM3.6 - Where industrial users require a "trade counter" or "factory shop" type of facility some limited retailing may be permitted. In these cases, retailing will be limited to a maximum of 15% of floorspace and only comprise goods made or stored on the premises.**

**Alternative Approaches**

This policy reflects the need to control the character of employment areas, whilst allowing for business needs. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**13.8** Certain business uses found in employment areas require an ancillary trade counter for the sale of goods. In order to retain the employment character of these areas it is important that in these cases the retailing element does not become over-dominant. A maximum of 15% of internal floorspace may be permitted to be used for sales.

**13.9** It will be up to the operator to demonstrate that proposals for a factory shop would not:-

- i) compromise the industrial nature of the site or area in question;
- ii) attract customers in such large numbers so as to impede the access arrangements and cause significant operational difficulties for other neighbouring occupants; or
- iii) affect the viability or vitality of any nearby local centre.

## **Retailing**

**Policy DM3.7 - Planning permission for restaurants and cafes (A3), drinking establishments (A4) hot food takeaways (A5) and amusement centres will normally be granted in existing city, town and local centres and other appropriately located and accessible sites unless they have a detrimental effect on the environment, residential amenity and public or highway safety.**

**In addition, the proposed use should be located such that, in itself, or in addition to other groups of non-retail uses, it does not demonstrably harm the vitality and viability or seriously adversely affect the appearance of the particular thoroughfare where it is located.**

**Applications for the development of these uses in the City Centre will be subject to provisions of the Council's approved Evening Economy Supplementary Planning Document.**

## **Alternative Approaches**

There is a need to ensure these uses are developed in a manner that is complimentary to other uses and amenity considerations. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**13.10** The NPPF states that plans should promote competitive town centres that provide customer choice and a diverse retail offer. This policy recognises that non-retail uses are most appropriately located use in the Local Centres in Sunderland. However, it is important that non-retail are well-designed and located in order to ensure that the viability and vitality of centres is maintained. An important consideration will be the proposed hours of operation; these must be conducive to ensuring the vitality of the street where the unit is located. Proposals which result in the creation of dead frontages during normal shopping hours will be resisted.

**13.11** This policy applies to the following uses:

- **A3 (Restaurants, snack-bar, cafes, café-bars).** The primary use is for the sale of food and drink for consumption on the premises
- **A4 (Pubs and bars).** Use as a public house, wine bar or other drinking establishment. The primary use is for the sale and consumption of alcohol on the premises
- **A5 (Hot-food takeaways).** Use for the sale of hot food for consumption off the premises

**13.12** In the City Centre, applications for uses in classes A3, A4 and A5 will be subject to the provisions of the Council's approved Evening Economy Supplementary Planning Document (see below).

### **Evening Economy**

**Policy DM3.8 - Applications for Licensed Premises within the City Centre should accord with the Council's Evening Economy Supplementary Planning Document.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Takes forward policy approach set out in the Council's adopted SPD. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**13.13** The development of the evening economy in the City Centre - i.e. the period after 4.00pm - is one of the key approaches in seeking the regeneration of the City Centre.

**13.14** In 2008, the Council approved a supplementary planning document on the issue of the control of food and drink uses in the City Centre as part of the evening economy. The aim of this is to sustain and complement the vibrancy of the city centre without compromising its other attractions (both existing and planned). This SPD will be a material consideration in assessing relevant planning applications and the guidance will be applied when making decisions on planning applications within the city centre.

## Chapter 14 : Sustainable Communities

### Existing Housing

#### Re-use and Protection of Existing Housing Stock

**Policy DM4.1** - The City Council will support proposals that bring empty properties back into residential use subject to compliance with all relevant housing policies.

#### Change of Use

**Policy DM4.2** - The loss of residential housing stock through change of use or redevelopment will not be permitted unless it is demonstrated that:

- a. the dwelling no longer provides accommodation of a satisfactory standard and it has been demonstrated that it is financially unviable to improve or adapt; and either
- b, the locality and character of the surroundings are no longer appropriate for residential purposes; or
- c. the replacement house type aligns more closely with the needs of the SHMA.

#### Alternative Approaches

The policy reflects messages within the NPPF in terms of the presumption in favour of sustainable development, promoting the vitality of the main urban area and encouraging effective use of land by reusing land that has been previously developed. The NPPF also contains strong references to bringing empty properties back into use. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.1** Bringing an empty property back into residential use or modernising an older property is considered more sustainable than its loss, as it not only contributes to the housing supply but helps sustain communities. However the Council do understand that retention is not always appropriate and in certain instances losses are unavoidable. When clearance does occur the redevelopment of residential areas can also help contribute to creating improved living environments by new stock being aligned more to the required house types and sizes of the area.

**14.2** As part of the Council's empty homes strategy, a number of programmes are in place to assist in bringing properties back into use.



## **Houses of Multiple Occupations**

**Policy DM4.3 - The change of use or redevelopment of property for houses of multiple occupation/ self contained flats/ student accommodation will be permitted providing:**

- a. the property is located where increased traffic and activity would not be detrimental to local amenity;
- b. the intensity of use will not adversely affect the character and function of the locality;
- c. the proposal would not be detrimental to the amenities of neighbouring properties by causing undue noise and disturbance;
- d. adequate provision for parking, servicing, refuge arrangements and the management and maintenance of the property can be demonstrated through the submission of a management plan.
- e. the proposal would not result in houses of multiple occupation/ self contained flats/ student accommodation being the dominant use of the neighbourhood.

**Policy DM4.4 - Article 4 Directions will be implemented by the City Council where the number of HMOs in one locality, including student accommodation, have a detrimental affect on the character and function of an area. This will remove permitted development rights preventing the change of use to HMOs without prior planning approval.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the strategic objectives of the council and as such Core Strategy policy. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.3** The adaption of a building to create a number of dwelling units can assist in increasing housing supply to meet specific needs of the community. However, this form of increase can have a detrimental impact on neighbouring properties and the wider community if too many are concentrated in one area, or they are not managed appropriately.

**14.4** Therefore it is important that proposals of this nature seek to protect the existing community and the character of an area. The Council will utilise Article 4 Directions to protected areas where necessary.

## **Future Housing**

### **Housing Mix and Type**

**Policy DM4.5 - Proposals for new housing development should be informed with the most up to date Strategic Housing Market Assessment.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reflects the NPPF requirement to undertake a SHMA to assess, understand and meet housing need. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.5** Local Planning Authorities are required by NPPF to have an understanding of the full both market and affordable housing needs in their area and ensure the plan meets those needs. This then allows specific housing proposals to align to an areas needs in relation to size, type and tenure and ensure the creation of sustainable, inclusive communities.

### **Housing Density**

**Policy DM4.6 - The City Council will adopt a flexible approach to housing density which recognises housing need and the varying characteristics of existing settlements across the city area. In appraising development density the City Council will use the following methodology:**

- a. Have regard to the locational characteristics of the site – higher densities will be encouraged at sites with have good public transport accessibility and are located in close proximity to local centres. In addition higher density housing development will be encouraged at central area/urban locations. Notwithstanding the above the density of each development will be considered on its own individual merits taking into account the local context of each site;
- b. promote densities which achieve the house types/sizes required through the Strategic Housing Market Assessment;
- c. consider the amount, quality and type of open space within the local area to influence on site open space requirements;
- d. appraise the design and layout of developments to ensure an appropriate balance is struck between density, amenity, parking and servicing requirements.

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF requirement for authorities to set out their own approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances. The council have chosen not to include set specific densities. An alternative policy could be to set strict densities but the council consider this too restrictive and will not necessarily be responsive to local circumstances. **An alternative policy could include set densities.**

**14.6** The density of residential development should be informed by the characteristics of the immediate area and the housing needs of the area, rather than a set density which may restrict design and house types. This flexible approach allows development to be designed appropriately, whilst meeting an areas housing needs. Exploiting developments around good public transport links and centres through achieving higher densities contributes to creating sustainable communities.

### **Backland development**

**Policy DM4.7 - New residential development within the curtilage of an existing house will only be acceptable if it is not detrimental to general amenity and to the established character of the locality. 'Tandem' development will normally be resisted.**

**Layouts unable to maintain the particular character of the area in the vicinity of the application site will normally be resisted.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF to resist inappropriate development in residential gardens. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.7** Utilising large garden areas of dwelling houses for additional housing can assist in contributing to the overall housing offer within the city, whilst contributing to sustainable communities. However, careful consideration has to be given to proposals of this nature to ensure amenity issues are not created and the character of an area is not damaged.

**14.8** Retaining appropriate amenity levels and an areas character are normally difficult to achieve with 'Tandem Development' (where one house is immediately behind another and access is shared). Unless these issues can be overcome development of this nature will be resisted.

### **Affordable Housing**

**Policy DM4.8 - Residential schemes of 15 or more dwellings and/or on development sites of 0.5ha or more will be required to provide 10% affordable housing, with the tenure mix to be 75% social rented and 25% intermediate tenure. In considering planning applications :**

- a. **Affordable housing provision lower than the required rate and/or proposing a different tenure mix will only be acceptable where robust justification is provided through a viability assessment.**
- b. **The affordable dwelling types and size should reflect the sub-area needs set out in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, however consideration will be given to the viability of a site by means of the submitted robust justification.**
- c. **The dwellings must remain affordable in perpetuity for future eligible households.**

**Off site provision, or a financial contribution towards affordable housing, as an exception will only be considered where it can be;**

- i. **proved preferable for planning reasons; and**
- ii. **brought forward for development within a similar timescale as the associated planning application.**

**Residential schemes that include affordable housing should comply with the Affordable Housing SPD.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reflects the NPPF requirement to undertake a SHMA to understand and meet objectively assessed affordable housing needs. Policy DM4.8 is based on the findings of the SHMA & EVA requires 10% 75:25 split.

The NPPF requires councils to set their own policies where affordable housing is needed. The Economic Viability of Affordable Housing Requirements Report (2010) tested numerous options for required percentages and tenure splits which resulted in the 10% requirement and the 75% 25% tenure split being the most viable option, as such these were carried forward to Policies CS4 and DM4.

The policy could have been alternatively worded so that the requirements were rigidly applied. This would not have been in accordance with the NPPF. The policy therefore provides the necessary flexibility to take into account individual circumstances relating to development viability and where appropriate the opportunity to provide provision via a financial contribution.

**Therefore there is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.9** Policy CS4.3 sets out the requirement for 10% affordable housing provision on schemes proposing 15 dwellings or more, or 0.4ha or more in size. In order to achieve a mix of tenure of affordable units in line with need the council will seek to achieve 75% social rented tenure and 25% intermediate tenure on schemes.

**14.10** The viability of schemes is recognised as a barrier to certain developments achieving the 10% or the tenure split, in these instances robust information will be required as part of the planning application and the council will negotiate with the developer on a site by site basis to achieve an appropriate affordable housing contribution.

**14.11** When designing schemes which incorporate affordable units, the required types and sizes should be informed by the most up to date Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA). Any deviations from the evidence in the SHMA should be justified via the planning application.

**14.12** In certain instances to provide affordable units on site may not be appropriate. As such, the onus will be on the developer to demonstrate why off site provision or a financial contribution is preferable for planning reasons.

**14.13** Notwithstanding the above, all affordable housing units must remain affordable in perpetuity to ensure that future residents can benefit from this type of provision.

**14.14** An Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) is currently in draft form, this expands upon these policies. All proposals requiring affordable housing provision should also comply with this SPD.

## **Student Accommodation**

**Policy DM4.9 - Proposals for purpose-built student accommodation or the conversion of existing buildings for student accommodation must demonstrate that:**

- a. **There is a need for the student accommodation**
- b. **No suitable/viable site is available within the following sequence of priority locations:**
  - i. **Within either Chester Road Campus or St Peter's Campus**
  - ii. **Within the Sunderland Central Area**
  - iii. **The Edge of Central Area**
- c. **Only where it can be proven that no sequentially preferable sites are available, will less central locations will be considered and such proposals must demonstrate that:**
  - i. **Less central locations are accessible to the university campuses and local facilities by a choice of means of transport and**
  - ii. **The locality does not already have an over concentration of such uses or where the development would create such an over concentration.**

**With regards conversions for student accommodation applications must also accord with the requirements of Policy DM4.3**

**All applications for student accommodation must be supported by a management strategy to demonstrate how the property will be maintained and managed.**

**In all cases, the proposed use should not be detrimental to the amenities of neighbouring properties, (including the provision of suitable parking arrangements) nor the appearance or character of an area.**

## **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reflects the NPPF in supporting the viability and vitality of Town Centres and creating sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities. The campus' are in the Central Area therefore the sequencing provides the most sustainable approach to delivering new accommodation which supports the regeneration of the city centre. An alternative policy could remove the priority locations which may result in development not assisting in the regeneration of the city centre. The requirement to demonstrate need could be removed, however this may result in an oversupply of this type of accommodation. **An alternative policy could be more flexible.**

**14.15** Sunderland is a city with a university, but does not yet possess the characteristics and qualities of a university city. The Sunderland Economic Masterplan identifies that the city centre needs to be exploited more by student life to bring about these characteristics and qualities and to generate more activity, both day and night. The close proximity of the two university campuses to the city centre would assist in driving this objective forward and developing the city centre as a prime location for student accommodation. By directing student accommodation to the campus's and the Central Area, this will minimise private car use and encourage walking and cycling as far as

possible. It is also important to ensure that students have good access to everyday facilities and services which they rely on.

**14.16** Whilst encouraging the development of student accommodation in the right location, what has to be balanced out is the need for this type of accommodation in the first instance.

**14.17** In order to avoid an over supply of student accommodation, proposals should clearly demonstrate (through the submission of an assessment) the likely demand for additional accommodation with regard being given to: -

- Existing and projected numbers for students in further/higher education in the City, taking into account any expansion plans of the University of Sunderland.
- The proportion of these students that are likely to require accommodation in the City.
- The existing number and location of student housing units.
- The number and location of additional student housing units that have extant planning permission.
- Any potential impact on the local housing market if the number of students living in accommodation not specifically designed for students decreases; and
- The adaptability of the proposed development for uses other than student housing if the anticipated demand does not manifest.

### **Supported Living Accommodation**

**Policy DM4.10 - Planning proposals for supported living accommodation, including extra care and 'clustered' accommodation for people with disabilities will be permitted where:**

- a. The proposal is linked to the councils wider programme of provision for the ageing population and;**
- b. The development is integrated into the local residential community.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reflects the NPPF requirement to undertake a SHMA to assess, understand and meet the needs of different groups in the community. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.18** In order to plan for the city's aging population, the Council has an accommodation programme in place to ensure provision is brought forward in a planned manner throughout the city. The emphasis for accommodation for older people is based around 'extra care' rather than the traditional 'nursing home' type accommodation, located in existing communities with a range of facilities to ensure sustainable living.

## **Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople**

**Policy DM4.11** - Where there is a proven need and identified demand for the provision of new pitches and plots (or the extension of existing sites) for gypsy and traveller and travelling showpeople, proposals should be located : -

- a. in accordance with the sequential approach (Policy DM2)
- b. away from any known high flood risk areas
- c. so as not to have any detrimental impact on neighbouring residential amenities and highway safety
- d. so as to avoid adverse effects on the wellbeing of site occupiers, with particular regard given to noise & air quality
- e. so as to avoid sensitive areas (both natural and local environment) and not create a significant intrusion into the landscape
- f. on sites that are appropriate for a live/ work lifestyle
- g. in areas which are compatible with other policies of the LDF and will not be detrimental to the city's regeneration aims.

**Policy DM4.12** - The City Council will safeguard existing travelling showpeople sites, unless it can be demonstrated that:

- a. there is no longer a need for the site, or
- b. capacity can be better met elsewhere

Should it be demonstrated that existing sites are no longer required for travelling showpeople, sites should be reinstated to their former land allocation.

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reflects the NPPF requirement to set criteria to guide land supply allocations where there is an identified need. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.19** The Government's overarching aim for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople is to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way of life of travellers while respecting the interests of the settled community, as such traveller sites should be sustainable economically, socially and environmentally. As well as the above Policy, proposals for sites should be considered in accordance with the NPPF and the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (March 2012).

## Sunderland's community, social and cultural facilities

### New community and social facilities

**Policy DM4.13** - In order to meet increased demands for social infrastructure, the City Council will require development schemes that result in additional need for social infrastructure to contribute towards supporting existing facilities or providing for new facilities (which may include consideration being given to the potential for the co-location or integration of social infrastructure providers).

New social infrastructure uses must be :

- a. Close or accessible to the community they serve
- b. Accessible by a range of transport modes, in particular, walking cycling and public transport
- c. Appropriately located in relation to their scale and the needs of the catchment they serve
- d. Provided in buildings which are flexible and sited to maximise the shared use of premises.

### Alternative Approaches

The policy requirement reflects the NPPF's approach to enhancing the sustainability of communities and residential environments. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.20** Social Infrastructure provides opportunities for residents to meet, share their interests and access to essential services such as education, health care and family support. New developments can lead to increased pressure on existing community facilities and infrastructure either cumulatively or individually.

**14.21** If new developments are to be viable and sustainable in the long term, they need to create places where people want to live and work. Developments are therefore likely to require the provision of social infrastructure, to serve the new community, thereby enhancing the quality, image and desirability of the area. As such the Council will expect schemes that create additional demand for social infrastructure to make an appropriate contribution to the provision either on-site, close to the development, or within the appropriate catchment for the infrastructure type.

**14.22** When assessing the impact of new development the Council will consider:

- existing social infrastructure accessible to the development and their available capacity;
- the likely number of future occupants;
- the needs of community service providers operating in the area (public and community) and their accommodation requirements;
- whether community or leisure facilities are proposed within the new development.



## **The Loss of Social Infrastructure**

**DM 4.14- Where land or buildings currently or formerly in community use become surplus to requirements, priority will be given to alternative public or community uses. The Council will resist the loss of social infrastructure facilities unless the following conditions can be demonstrated:**

- a. No shortfall in provision will be created by the loss; and**
- b. Adequate alternative facilities are already available in the sub-area; or**
- c. A replacement facility that meets the needs of the local population is provided, with a preference for on-site provision.**

**In all cases the applicant will be required to:**

- i. Consult with the Council's Community Officer; and**
- ii. Consult/market the site for 6 weeks (minimum) with the Local Voluntary Community Sector and the Local Press; and**
- iii. Liaise with relevant area officers to ensure the loss would not create, or add to, a shortfall in provision for the specific community use.**

## **Alternative Approaches**

The policy is in line with the NPPF's aims of guarding against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**14.23** As well as encouraging new facilities and services it is important to protect viable facilities so far as is practicable unless there is an overriding justification for their loss or exceptional benefits deriving from alternative forms of development.

**14.24** The Council will require any application involving the release of any community facility or land last used for community purposes to be supported by written evidence to satisfy the criteria within Policy DM4.14. The level of detail will depend upon the nature of the proposal; the applicant should contact the Council at the earliest stage to discuss. Evidence that may be required to support an application could include:

- a. The nature and condition of the building or site and the cost of repairs, renovations or improvements needed to allow the facility to continue in operation;**
- b. The nature and location of comparable facilities;**
- c. The potential to relocate the use into other premises or to another site in the area**
- d. Evidence that the premises has been actively marketed. Evidence will be required of sales literature, details of approaches, and details of offers. (It should be noted that any evidence of a commercially sensitive nature or which breaches commercial confidentiality would not be made publicly available);**
- e. Evidence that the local community has been notified in writing of the intention to close the facility and detail of representations received.**

## Chapter 15 : Transport, Access and Parking

### Transport, Access and Parking

#### Policy DM5.1 - Proposals for new development should:

- a. provide access for pedestrians, cyclists and users of public transport through the creation of direct and attractive links between new and existing development and pedestrian, cyclist and public transport networks, in addition to private car access;
- b. have safe and adequate means of access, egress and internal circulation/turning arrangements for all modes of transport relevant to the proposal;
- c. be acceptable in relation to highway capacity and safety on existing roads and include proposals to mitigate any adverse impacts, the cost of this must be met by the developer.
- d. Include a level of parking appropriate to the development and be designed to sensitively integrate parking and servicing requirements; avoiding vehicles dominating the streetscene.

**Policy DM5.2 - All development proposals must demonstrate their effect on traffic and transport by submission of a Transport Statement (and where relevant a Travel Plan), that accords paragraphs 32-36 of the NPPF. The extent of which should be appropriate to the scale and nature of the development and its location.**

**Policy DM5.3 - The findings of the Transport Statement/ Travel Plan should be incorporated into the proposed development, including parking requirements.**

#### Alternative Approaches

The policy accords with the approach the NPPF sets out for promoting sustainable transport. **There is no reasonable alternative.** The policy accords with NPPF approach in relation to the requirement for Transport Statement. **There is no reasonable alternative.** The policy ensures the findings of the transport statement are implemented. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**15.1** Facilitating sustainable development through ensuring people have a choice about how they travel is a key message of the NPPF, particularly with the contribution it can make to wider sustainability and health objectives. Minimising the need to travel and journey lengths can be achieved by providing a balance of mixed land uses in communities.

**15.2** Proposals should exploit opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes, of which the Transport Statement/ Travel Plan should detail in line with the NPPF.

### **Electric Vehicle Charging Points**

**Policy DM5.4 - All new residential development that include garages and car parking spaces should make provision for access to an electrical facility suitable for charging electric vehicles.**

**Policy DM5.5 - For communal and non-residential developments 5% of parking spaces must be marked out for Electric Vehicle use and adequate charging infrastructure should be provided.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the approach the NPPF takes. An alternative approach would be to set a size limit on residential developments. **An alternative policy could set size thresholds.** The policy accords with the approach the NPPF takes. The % level set in the policy has been put forward as a 'reasonable' amount. **An alternative policy could increase or decrease the % levels.**

**15.3** Electric vehicles are a highly sustainable mode of transport and are becoming more of a choice for consumers and as such new dwelling houses should ensure they are adequately equipped to charge electric vehicles. By also ensuring that communal and non-residential developments of any size incorporate a small percentage of parking spaces with the adequate charging infrastructure in place will contribute to the availability of facilities throughout the city and encourage more journeys via this sustainable method.

### **Public Transport**

**Policy DM5.6 - The City Council will work in partnership with Nexus, bus operators, developers and other relevant agencies in order to:**

- a. implement measures to assist public transport movement over other vehicles**
- b. ensure that stops and stopping areas are well designed, appropriately located and accessible.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy is in line with the approach set out in the NPPF. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**15.4.** Public transport is one of the most sustainable forms of transport, provided that people have adequate access to it and the networks in place are efficient. Developing and improving systems can not be undertaken in isolation and effective partnerships with public transport providers will ensure public transport becomes a priority over other forms, resulting in it becoming a mode of choice for the city's residents and visitors.

# Chapter 16 : Caring for the city's Environment

## Built Environment

### Design

**Policy DM6.1** - The City Council will seek to ensure that all new development across the city creates high quality, distinctive and well designed places. New development should be designed to maximise durability and adaptability throughout the lifetime of the development.

Consequently development proposals must:

- a. create places which have a clear function, character and identity based upon a robust understanding of local context, constraints and distinctiveness
- b. maximise opportunities to create sustainable, mixed-use developments which support the function and vitality of the area in which they are located
- c. be of a layout, scale and massing which is compatible with its surroundings, retains acceptable levels of privacy and protects amenity.
- d. promote natural surveillance and active frontages, including the provision of appropriate lighting, to assist in designing out crime.
- e. create visually attractive and legible environments through provision of distinctive high quality architecture, detailing and where deemed necessary public art
- f. provide appropriate landscaping as an integral part of the development, including the enhancement and upgrade of public realm, existing green infrastructure, landscape features, natural assets and provision of additional open space
- g. not detract from established views of important buildings, structures and landscape features
- h. in the case of tall buildings, must form a positive relationship with the skyline and topography of the site and the surrounding area.

Where practical the City Council will require development proposals

- i. to achieve a Building for Life standard
- j. achieve Lifetime Homes accreditation, in terms of residential development.

### Alternative Approaches

The policy is in line with the NPPF'S requirement for councils to secure high quality, distinctive and well designed places. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.1** The Council will seek a high standard of design and layout for new development appropriate to the site's context, the area's defining characteristics and local distinctiveness. The Council will encourage

proposals which are attractive, sustainable, functional, modern, well-connected and inspiring.

**16.2** The policy sets out the design principles that should be applied across the city to all forms and scales of development. To avoid undue repetition within individual policies, it is intended that the criteria within the policy is used in conjunction with other policies within this document. The policy should also be used as a basis for the assessment of proposals that can not comfortably be assessed against other policies.

**16.3** A number of Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) in relation to design, including Residential Proposals, Householder Alterations and Extensions and Design and Access statements have been produced by the Council. The SPDs supplement the above policy and will be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications for relevant proposals.

**Resource Efficiency and High Environmental Standards  
Policy DM6.2 - New development will be required to incorporate sustainable resource management and high environmental standards. Developments must be supported by a Sustainability Statement that sets out how the development;**

- a) is designed with regard given to sustainable development principles, taking into account the effects of climate change;
- b) achieves or exceeds the government's targets towards zero carbon;
- c) reuses and recycles materials and other resources from all stages of development, design, demolition, construction and operation;
- d) maximises energy efficiency through internal and external layout, orientation, massing, materials, insulation, heat recovery, construction techniques, natural ventilation, shading and landscaping; and
- e) protects existing water and sewage infrastructure. Where development increases the demands for off-sites service infrastructure, it must be demonstrated that sufficient capacity already exists or that extra capacity (or a financial contributions towards capacity) will be provided.

**Where suitable and viable, extensions, conversions and retrofitting of existing buildings will be required to meet or exceed the relevant Code for Sustainable Homes and BREEAM targets. Where appropriate, simple and cost effective energy efficiency measures should be incorporated into the existing buildings.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF to support energy efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The council could alternatively insist on higher targets, but this would/ could threaten the viability of schemes- without feasibility or viability information the council are not in a position to request standards above the nationally prescribed targets . **An alternative policy would be to request higher CSH/ BREAM targets.**

**16.4** New development provides an opportunity for reducing energy consumption and enabling more efficient use of energy, both of which are important for reducing carbon emissions and wasteful use of finite natural resources. It is important that developments are designed to mitigate climate change, and to withstand its effects. This will help to minimise the impact of development on the global environment, and ensure that buildings and spaces endure.

**16.5** The policy seeks to influence the quality of development proposals and promote energy efficiency and sustainable sources of energy supply. Progress towards 'zero carbon' development will be made through progressive tightening of the Building Regulations. Over time these changes will replace the energy related elements of the Code for Sustainable Homes (CSH) standards and the BREEAM standards for non-domestic buildings.

**16.6** Applicants are expected to include a Sustainability Statement alongside developments applications. The Sustainability Statement should set out how the development has assured high resource efficiency and high environmental standards against the criteria within policy DM6.2. Since not all development involves new buildings, extensions and alterations to new building are also required to demonstrate how the proposals will also assured high resource efficiency and high environmental standards.

**16.7** The Council will actively promote and support individual schemes which showcase best practice in sustainable construction and renewable energy, where appropriate.

### **Advertisements/ Shop Fronts**

**Policy DM6.3 - In order to add to the appearance of City's street scenes proposals for;**

- a. advertisement signs/displays should relate in size and height to the shop front/surroundings and be appropriate to the context of the site
- b. new and redesigned shopfronts should be to a scale and design which relates to the building in which the shop front is to be installed as well as adjacent buildings.
- c. security shutters and roller grilles, should be perforated in design, colour co-ordinated with the frontage and have recessed shutter boxes.

**Proposals in areas of special advertisement control will be subject to the requirements of the relevant designation.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF with regards advertisements. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.8** Advertisements can play a helpful role in promoting the City's businesses and provide direction to locations. However, they can sometimes have a negative impact upon the amenity of an area as well as public safety, as such consideration must be given to local characteristics and features of the street scene. In relation to public safety it is necessary to consider the effect of an advertisement upon the safe use of vehicles and operation of traffic flow, including pedestrian traffic.

**16.9** A shop frontage contributes to the overall appearance of the street scene and as such proposals for this type of development need to ensure the changes positively enhance the appearance of the building as well as the immediate area and do not detract from it.

**16.10** It is now standard practice that most commercial/retail premises install security shutters. However, care needs to be taken in the choice as solid shutters, particularly bare galvanised or mill finished aluminium can have a detrimental environmental effect, making areas feel desolate and forbidding once trading hours have ceased. Recessed shutter boxes can also assist in avoiding clutter to fascias.

### **Telecommunications**

**Policy DM6.4 - Telecommunications development will be permitted where it would not have a serious adverse effect on residential amenity, the appearance of the area, or sites of archaeological or nature conservation value. Where such effects are considered likely, the Council will also take into account the following factors:-**

- a. **The significance of the proposed development as part of a telecommunications network;**
- b. **Whether any satisfactory alternative sites for telecommunications development are available;**
- c. **Whether there is any reasonable possibility for sharing existing telecommunications facilities;**
- d. **In the case of radio masts or towers, whether there is any reasonable possibility of erecting antennae on an existing building or structure;**
- e. **Whether all reasonable steps will be taken to minimise the impact of the development on local amenity.**

**Where permission is granted for a building or other structure used for telecommunication, and which is not considered capable of any subsequent use, adequate arrangements will be required to ensure removal of the development when no longer needed.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF to support high quality communications infrastructure. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.11** The development of telecommunications equipment plays a vital role in enhancing the provision of local community facilities and services and maximising existing masts, sites and buildings should be utilised in the first instance. All equipment must be sited sympathetically within an area and be justified in accordance with paragraph 45 of the NPPF.

### **The Historic Environment**

**Policy DM6.5 - The City Council will, wherever possible support proposals that have a positive impact on the significance of the City's heritage assets and local historic character. Development proposals affecting heritage assets should recognise their significance and values and demonstrate how they conserve and enhance the significance and character of the asset, its setting where appropriate, and sustain its significance into the future.**

**In considering proposals the City Council will have regard to the relative status and international, national and/or local contextual importance of the heritage asset and the impact of the proposal on its importance.**

### **The Candidate World Heritage Site (cWHS)**

**Policy DM6.6 - The City Council will seek to facilitate development that is of high regenerative value that will serve to preserve and enhance the internationally recognised Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) of the Wearmouth-Jarrow candidate World Heritage Site and its setting. There is a presumption that development considered to impact adversely upon this cherished heritage site will be refused consent.**

**All development within the defined buffer zone of the cWHS will be required to accord with the design principles and guidance of The Wearmouth Masterplan and Design Code SPD. All proposals to develop should be informed and supported by a Heritage Impact Assessment and preceded by an appropriate level of archaeological investigation.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.  
**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.12** The former 7<sup>th</sup> century monastic site of St Peters is one half of the candidate World Heritage Site (cWHS), the other half comprising St Pauls monastic site in Jarrow. The twin monastic site is considered to be globally important by virtue of having Outstanding Universal Value (OUV). The site's OUV is conveyed and expressed through five attributes which are defined in the Management Plan for the site, namely the relationship between the twin monasteries and their estuarine settings, the standing (above-ground) remains and the in-situ excavated remains of the Anglo-Saxon monastic building complexes, the monastic plan and further archaeological remains. These five attributes are used for day-to-day management of the cWHS, including through the development management process in defining the impact of proposed changes or developments on the site and its setting.



**16.13** The Wearmouth Masterplan and Design Code Supplementary Planning Document (January 2012) to establish design principles and guidance for development within the defined buffer zone of the cWHS. The SPD recognises the five tangible, physical attributes of the OUV of the monastic sites that are to be protected through the Council's development management function. Some of these attributes require key views of the main feature of the cWHS (i.e. the church of St Peter and adjacent site of monastic remains) from distant vantage points to be protected from being obscured; such defined 'key' views are also required to be enhanced by being 'framed' by high quality architectural solutions for the development sites in the SPD.

**16.14** All applications for development within the buffer zone will be required to be supported by a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) that fully considers the impact of the proposed development on the key visual attributes of the site's OUV within the context of the provisions of the SPD and the Management Plan. The HIA should include a visual analysis of the impact of the proposed development and in seeking to justify the appropriateness of the development must demonstrate that it satisfies the requirements of the SPD.

**16.15** Developers should also at the outset of the design process consult with the County Archaeologist to ensure that the appropriate level of archaeology assessment required to support an application is undertaken at the appropriate stage of the process, and where necessary further investigation to be carried out prior to development commencing is programmed accordingly.

### **Scheduled Ancient Monuments**

**Policy DM6.7 - Development which adversely affects the site or setting of a Scheduled Ancient Monument will be refused planning permission unless wholly exceptional circumstances exist that satisfy the requirements of paragraphs 132 and 133 of the NPPF.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.  
**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.16** The Scheduling of Monuments has been carried out by the government since 1882. There are currently 9 Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAMs) in Sunderland, ranging from bronze and iron age beneath ground archaeology at Hastings Hill, Copt Hill and Humbledon Hill, the remains of the Monastic site at St Peters (that comprises part of the cWHS), Hylton Castle, Bowes Railway and the World War 1 early warning acoustic mirror at Fulwell.

**16.17** Proposed works that directly affects a SAM normally requires Scheduled Monument Consent which are determined by English Heritage and not the Council. The Council's responsibilities in relation to SAMs consist of having regard to the effect of any development upon the setting of a SAM. The Council will refuse planning permission for developments which adversely affects the setting of a SAM unless there are wholly exceptional circumstance that satisfy the requirements of paragraphs 132 and 133 of the NPPF.

## Listed Buildings

**Policy DM6.8 - Development affecting a listed building will be required to conserve its integrity and special interest, having particular regard to the protection and restoration of its historic fabric, features and plan form, its boundary enclosures, setting and views of it, its group value and contribution to local character.**

**Alterations and additions to listed buildings must be designed to be sympathetic and complimentary to the height, massing, alignment, proportions, form, style and materials and architectural detailing of the building and be specified to appropriate conservation standards. The City Council will resist the loss of traditional features that could be preserved.**

**Applications for proposals affecting the setting of a listed building will be required to be supported by technical and illustrative material that enables the impact of the proposal to be properly assessed.**

**The City Council will support development proposals that secure in a sensitive manner the future and return to beneficial use of listed buildings at risk. Priority will be given to the re-use of listed buildings in development schemes.**

**The demolition of listed buildings will only be considered in exceptional circumstances. Any proposal to demolish a listed building will be required to be supported by a feasibility study that has robustly explored all options to conserve the building, satisfies the requirements of paragraph 133 of the NPPF, and clearly justifies the case for demolition. Consent for demolition will not be given until redevelopment proposals have also been agreed and a programme of recording of the listed building has been initiated.**

## Alternative Approaches

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.  
**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.18** Sunderland contains 692 listed building and these represent the significant majority of those heritage assets in the City that are recognised as being of importance at a national level. Of these 9 are listed Grade I, 16 grade II\* and the remaining 667 grade II. Listed Buildings are defined as buildings of 'special architectural or historic interest' and the statutory list on which they are included is compiled by the Government on the advice of English Heritage.

**16.19** The Council will exercise a strong presumption in favour of the retention and properly informed conservation of its listed buildings. Owners are encouraged to keep their listed buildings in appropriate uses and maintain their buildings in a sensitive manner befitting their architectural and historic integrity. Proposals to repair, restore, alter and add to listed buildings should always seek to retain and repair wherever possible surviving architectural

features and historic fabric as it is the original materials and craftsmanship that usually gives a listed building its authenticity and significance. Original features and material can however sometimes be strengthened and upgraded with the introduction of modern techniques to satisfy modern requirements without undue loss of historic fabric and integrity. This is preferred to replacement which should only be carried out when the original materials are beyond repair. In such cases replacement should normally always be carried out on an exact like-for-like basis and specified to appropriate conservation standards.

**16.20** The restoration of lost features should always be undertaken on the basis of 'informed conservation', this broadly means that any restoration work should be well-researched and 'true' to the archaeological, historic, architectural or artistic integrity of the asset. For example, the design and specification of the element to be reinstated should be informed by historic evidence, physical or documented, of the original material, form, pattern and detailing of the feature or building component being restored. The Council will usually require this approach to be taken on all restoration works to all heritage assets.

**16.21** Alterations and additions to listed buildings are often necessary to allow a listed building to be adapted for new uses that secure its immediate and long term future. Where alterations are justified on these grounds an approach of minimal intervention should be taken and new work should be designed and specified in the context of the architectural and historic significance of the part of the building affected, taking into account for example the plan form, extent of surviving features and fenestration patterns. Additions to listed buildings and development within their settings (and also within Conservation Areas) should not as a general rule dominate the asset; the impact of proposals will be considered in terms of their height, massing, bulk, proportions, form and architectural articulation, use of materials, relationship with adjacent heritage assets, alignment and treatment of setting.

**16.22** Proposals for major extensions to listed buildings and for new development that affects the setting of a listed building, either by virtue of being within its curtilage or sited in the surrounding environment within which the listed building is experienced, will be required to be supported by visual analysis in the form of relevant perspectives, cross sections etc and other technical material that clearly shows how the proposed new building will impact on its setting. This is also applicable to substantial forms of new development in Conservation Areas and within the settings of Scheduled Ancient Monuments.

**16.23** The Council recognises the need in some cases to be flexible in the consideration of proposals to re-use listed buildings at risk, particularly those that have been vacant and deteriorating for long periods of time and are vulnerable to damage from vandalism and exposure to weather conditions. However, proposals which harm the significance of the listed building, including its setting, will not be considered acceptable unless the degree of harm is outweighed by the benefits of securing the repair and re-use of the

listed building and the proposal sustains the overall significance of the asset into the future.

**16.24** Proposals to demolish listed buildings will almost always be resisted by the Council and will only be considered if wholly exceptional circumstances exist. Any application to demolish a listed building must be supported by a feasibility study that has fully examined all possible options in the medium term to retain the building. The feasibility study should effectively comprise an appraisal of all possible options to re-use the building and specifically address the criteria listed in paragraph 133 of the NPPF. The study should normally include a full condition and structural survey of the building, an economic viability appraisal that establishes the conservation deficit (or surplus) for all development options considered, full details of the nature and length of any marketing of the property and any other information deemed by the Council as necessary to allow a fully informed appraisal of the proposal to be made. An Archaeological Building Recording and Statement of Significance will normally be required in such cases to give a full understanding of the importance of the listed building. It will be expected that the team of professionals that carry out the feasibility study will have appropriate knowledge and experience of listed buildings.

### **Registered Historic Parks and Gardens**

**Policy DM6.9 - Development within or adjacent the City's registered historic parks and gardens (Mowbray Park and Roker Park) and unregistered parks and gardens that are considered by the City Council to be of historic interest, will be required to protect and enhance their designed landscape character and setting and their natural and built features of historic, architectural or artistic importance.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.  
**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.25** Sunderland contains 2 parks on the Register of Parks and Gardens of Historic Interest – Mowbray Park and Roker Park. The Register is compiled by English Heritage, its purpose being to safeguard the features and qualities which make the parks and landscapes of national importance. Inclusion on the register is a material consideration that will be taken into account by the Council when determining planning applications.

**16.26** There are several parks and gardens and other designed landscapes of historic interest in the City that whilst not currently registered, are considered by the Council to be of sufficient significance to warrant being treated as if they were registered when considering development proposals that affect them. These include Doxford Park, Backhouse Park, Barnes Park and Rectory Park, and some of the City's 19<sup>th</sup> century designed municipal cemeteries such as Bishopwearmouth Cemetery and Sunderland Cemetery.

## **Conservation Areas**

**Policy DM6.10** - The City Council will ensure that the significance and diverse and distinctive characteristics of the City's Conservation Areas is sensitively conserved and sustainably managed. In order to achieve this the City Council will:-

- a. Require development to accord with the objectives and proposals of the adopted Character Appraisal and Management Strategy (CAMS) for the respective Conservation Area;
- b. support development proposals that otherwise make a positive contribution to the character and distinctiveness of the conservation area;
- c. support proposals for the conversion and adaptive reuse of vacant or underused significant buildings in a sensitive manner;
- d. normally refuse permission for the demolition of any building or structure that makes a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area. Applications will be considered in the same manner as those to demolish listed buildings, and consent to demolish will only be given when acceptable plans for redevelopment have been agreed;
- e. normally resist development of significant open spaces and the loss of any trees that contribute to the essential character of Conservation Areas and the settings of individual buildings within them, unless there are clear heritage or environmental benefits that outweigh the loss;
- f. Use Article 4 Directions, where appropriate, to restrict permitted development rights and protect features of historic / architectural importance; applications submitted as a result of a Direction will be required to accord with the guidelines in the relevant CAMS;
- g. Require new buildings to respect and enhance the established historic built form, street plan and settings of Conservation Areas and important views and vistas into, within and out of the areas.

## **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.

**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.27** There are currently 14 Conservation Areas in the City, ranging from City Centre and riverside areas to pre-conquest villages to the Victorian suburb of Ashbrooke and the coastal resort of Roker, each with their own unique character and local distinctiveness. Conservation Areas are defined as "areas of special architectural or historic interest the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance", and they are the only type of designated heritage asset that is designated at the local level by the Local Authority. Designation is dependent on the overall quality and interest of an area, rather than individual buildings, though the majority of the City's listed building are located within Conservation Areas.

**16.28** Character Appraisals and Management Strategies (CAMS) have been adopted as formal Planning Guidance for 12 of the City's 14 Conservation Areas. These documents are material considerations in the decision-making

process. A draft CAMS has been prepared to cover the remaining 2 conservation areas – Old Sunderland and Old Sunderland Riverside – and it is anticipated this will be adopted by the end of 2013/14. Development proposals in Conservation Areas will be considered against the Management Objectives and Management Proposals in the relevant CAMS or otherwise on the basis of whether they preserve and enhance the significance of the Conservation Area. Proposals which support the objectives and proposals of the CAMS or otherwise make a positive contribution to the character and distinctiveness of the Conservation Area will normally be approved.

**16.29** Proposals to demolish unlisted buildings which are identified in the relevant CAMS as making a positive contribution to the Conservation Area will normally be refused. Applications will be expected to be accompanied by the same level of supporting information as those to demolish listed buildings.

**16.30** Article 4 Directions are an effective mechanism of planning control to protect key features of Conservation Areas and help to stem the gradual erosion of their character and appearance. The Council has been very proactive in protecting groups of important buildings in Conservation Areas through the making of Article 4 Directions. Directions are in place in 7 Conservation Areas and have been particularly effective in conserving the townscape character and architectural integrity of these areas. Each Direction is supported by a guidance note in the relevant CAMS which explains the Council's requirements for different types of proposed works, thereby establishing clarity and consistency in the application of the Direction.

**16.31** The Council will consider making further Article 4 Directions where buildings are identified (through the preparation of a CAMS, other studies and research or as part of the development management process) as being of such architectural and historic merit to warrant this extra level of protection.

**16.32** Proposals for the sympathetic and creative re-use and adaptation of vacant and underused historic buildings in conservation areas will be encouraged. Such proposals will normally be supported, especially where they provide a sustainable future for heritage assets identified as being at risk.

**16.33** The Council will require all forms of new developments within and adjacent to Conservation Areas to display high quality designs that respect and enrich their historic context and the fundamental character of the Conservation Area, as defined in the relevant CAMS. Proposals for new buildings in Conservation Areas will be considered using the same principles to those affecting the settings of listed buildings. Hence, issues of height, massing, bulk, proportions, use of materials, alignment and orientation, form and styling, relationship with surrounding heritage assets, and views into, within and out of the conservation area will be of paramount.

### **Non-designated heritage assets**

**Policy DM6.11 - Development affecting non-designated heritage assets, whether locally listed, identified in the Historic Environment Record, through characterisation studies and research, or identified as part of the application process, should have particular regard to the conservation of the heritage asset, its features and its setting, and make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.

**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.34** Sunderland contains many heritage assets that are not designated but nevertheless make a positive contribution to the industrial, social and cultural heritage of the City and are of particular importance to local communities and the quality of the City's townscape and landscape . These assets are representative of a wide range of different types of buildings, structures and spaces, including archaeological remains, and are distributed throughout the City. Examples include Sunderland Cottages within the City's residential conurbations, farmhouses, barns and other agricultural buildings predominantly in the outlying rural parts of the City and surviving waggonways / railways, engine sheds, staiths, garths and quays from Sunderland coal mining and shipbuilding history.

**16.35** The City Council does not currently have a local list of undesignated heritage assets but will consider preparing one in the future. This does not mean that the impact of a proposal on a non-designated heritage asset should not be taken into account in determining planning applications. In considering development proposals affecting non-designated heritage assets, and where necessary their settings, the City Council will have regard to the conservation of the heritage asset and its contribution to local character and distinctiveness in weighing the up the merits of the proposal.

### **Archaeology and Recording of Heritage Assets**

**Policy DM6.12 - The City Council will ensure the preservation, protection and where possible the enhancement of the City's archaeological heritage. Where proposals affect heritage assets of archaeological interest, preference will be given to preservation in situ. However where loss of the asset is justified in accordance with the NPPF, the remains should be appropriately recorded, assessed, analysed, disseminated and the archive deposited with the Tyne and Wear Historic Environment Record.**

**Where demolition of a designated built heritage asset or undesignated building of significance has been justified, or substantive changes are to be made to the asset, works must not commence until archaeological recording of the asset has been carried out and deposited with the Historic Environment Record.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.  
**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.36** The City's archaeological remains are a rare record of the evolution of civilisation in Sunderland, giving people the opportunities to experience and learn about their past.

**16.37** The Tyne and Wear Historic Environment Record (HER) is compiled, maintained and continually updated by the County Archaeologist on behalf of the five Tyne and Wear authorities. The City Council is required in accordance with paragraph 141 of the NPPF to make publicly available information on the significance of heritage assets gathered as part of plan-making or development management. The Council therefore provides information as and when opportunities arise through these processes, and takes a pro-active approach towards this gathering of information, for inclusion in the HER.

**16.38** Such opportunities arise in particular with development proposals that seek to demolish or make substantive physical changes to heritage assets. In such cases the Council will require an appropriate level of archaeological assessment, historic and architectural appraisal and other relevant analysis deemed necessary to enable firstly, the impact of the proposed development on the significance of the heritage asset (and its setting where appropriate) to be fully understood and appraised, and secondly, an appropriate level of archaeological recording to be made of the asset's state prior to works being carried out. Typically the type of assessments / appraisals required will comprise an archaeological building recording and statement of significance of the asset, depending on the extent of works involved. Occasionally elements of the building recording can be conditioned where it is not essential to understanding the impact of the proposed works.

### **Heritage at Risk**

**Policy DM6.13 - In considering proposals affecting heritage assets identified as being at risk the City Council will:-**

- a) **Support their conversion and adaptation where this secures their sympathetic repair, re-use in beneficial uses and sustains their significance into the future;**
- b) **Explore opportunities for grant-funding to secure their conservation and make viable schemes for their re-use;**
- c) **Positively engage with owners and partner organisations to develop schemes that will address the at-risk status of the assets.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment.  
**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.39** The City currently has 8 buildings / structures / sites and 2 Conservation Areas on English Heritage's At-Risk Register. These comprise the Old Sunderland and Old Sunderland Riverside Conservation Areas,



Track, Wagon Shop and structures at Bowes Railway Museum, Hylton Castle and St Catherine's Chapel, Doxford House, Christ Church, Washington F-Pit, Fulwell Acoustic Mirror and Monkwearmouth Museum screen wall.

**16.40** English Heritage's Register only includes Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Grade I and II\* listed buildings and conservation areas, and occasionally grade II listed building in conservation areas. There are numerous grade II listed buildings and non-designated heritage assets across the City, the condition and vacancy of which also places them at risk.

**16.41** The City Council has developed and continues to evolve a variety of initiatives and measures to address Heritage-at-Risk across the City, which are often an integral part of the development management process. The Council through its Heritage Protection Team will work with property owners, developers and their professional advisers at pre-application stage to help source funding and agree sympathetic ways of repairing and restoring heritage assets, and develop often innovative ways of converting and adapting them to accommodate uses that secure their future in beneficial usage.

**16.42** Other measures include preparing management strategies for the City's Conservation Areas and development briefs for the disposal of heritage assets, usually in council-ownership. These documents identify the future conservation and managements needs of the assets and provide clear guidance to prospective developers on the conservation requirements of the sites thus ensuring the significance of the heritage assets is sustained in future uses of the sites. These documents are essential tools in the development management process that are used by architects and developers to inform the design process in the preparation of proposals and by the Council in the consideration of planning applications.

**16.43** Sourcing external funding is increasingly becoming an important mechanism for the Council to address heritage-at-risk. The Council has secured grants from external organisations i.e. English Heritage, Heritage Lottery Fund, to repair and restore designated heritage assets on English Heritage's at-risk register, and deliver area-based conservation-led regeneration schemes. At the current time, there are several schemes in progress or being developed utilising external funding that are specially dealing with those heritage assets on English Heritage's At-Risk Register, the aim being to secure the removal of the assets from the register and satisfy their conservation needs in the immediate and long term. These schemes include the undertaking of urgent repair works to the Wagon Shop at Bowes Railway with a grant from English Heritage, and the repair and enhancement of Fulwell Acoustic Mirror with funding from both English Heritage and Heritage Lottery Fund. The Council also jointly funds with the HLF an area-based Townscape Heritage Initiative in the Old Sunderland and Old Sunderland Riverside Conservation Areas. This initiative is building on the successes of previous jointly funded grant schemes with English Heritage in the Conservation Areas to restore and return to beneficial uses from derelict states numerous key listed buildings.

### **Access and enjoyment of the historic environment**

**Policy DM6.14** - The City Council will encourage proposals that retain, create or facilitate public access to heritage assets to increase understanding, appreciation and enjoyment of their significance, special qualities and cultural values.

**Proposals should demonstrate that all reasonable steps have been taken to ensure heritage assets are accessible to all user groups and that this can be achieved without harming the significance of the asset.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on conserving and enhancing the historic environment. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.44** Conservation of the City's historic environment goes hand in hand with enjoyment of its heritage assets, often facilitating understanding, appreciation of their special qualities and creating or improving access to them for the local and wider community. Restoration and enhancement schemes, especially those with funding from English Heritage or Heritage Lottery Fund, often incorporate physical and web-based interpretation, public accessibility and educational requirements, and heritage skills training, in order to disseminate appreciation and enjoyment of the history and importance of the heritage asset to a wider audience.

## **The Natural Environment**

### **Development within the Green Belt**

**Policy DM6.15** - Proposals for development in the Green Belt should accord with Chapter 9 of the NPPF 'Protecting Green Belt Land'.

### **Alternative Approaches**

Reiterates NPPF policy on Green Belt. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.45** The National Planning Policy Framework continues to protect the Green Belt from inappropriate development. Inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt. The NPPF confirms that the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and permanence, but development may also harm other characteristics.

**16.46** The Council will impose strict controls, in accordance with the NPPF, on the nature and form of development within the Green Belt. The construction of most types of new building in the Green Belt is inappropriate development, and will be resisted, in order to protect the Green Belts purpose and character, unless there are 'very special' circumstances which outweigh the harm to the Green Belt. The 'very special' circumstances required to justify inappropriate development will not be considered to exist unless the harm (by reason of inappropriateness and any other harm) is clearly outweighed by other considerations. Proposals for development within the

Green Belt will be considered against the relevant provisions within the NPPF and policy DM6.18.

**16.47** Several small settlements are included within the Green Belt e.g. Burdon and Offerton. Proposals for extensions and alterations within these areas will be assessed in accordance with the NPPF and DM6.18. In accordance with the NPPF residential gardens do not constitute previously developed land and proposals for their development will constitute inappropriate development within the Green Belt.

### **Settlement Breaks**

**Policy DM6.16 - In order to prevent the merging of settlements, development will not be permitted where it would prejudice the aims of maintaining the open character of settlement breaks as identified on the key diagram.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with Core Strategy Policy in preventing the merging of settlements. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.48** The retention of open breaks between settlements are longstanding elements of planning policy for the City. Settlement breaks help to retain the distinct physical characteristics of the City's constituent communities; assist in the regeneration of the older or poorer quality urban areas by focusing resources and investment into the built up area; provide open space lungs, sometimes incorporating leisure/ recreational facilities which help to alleviate local deficiencies.

**16.49** The same approach applies to land within Settlement Breaks as land within the Green Belt. Applications for development within Settlement Break should be assessed in accordance with policy DM6.18.

### **Agricultural Land**

**Policy DM6.17 - Development which results in the irreversible loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 2 and 3a) will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that no other sites exists upon which the development could reasonably be located.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF, taking account of the best and most versatile agricultural land. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.50** The NPPF requires authorities to take into account the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land.

**16.51** The Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) system classifies land into five grades, with Grade 3 subdivided into Subgrades 3a and 3b. The best and most versatile land is defined as Grades 1, 2 and 3a by policy guidance is the land which is most flexible, productive and efficient in response to inputs and

which can best deliver future crops for food and non food uses such as biomass, fibres and pharmaceuticals.

**16.52** Available ALC information indicates a predominance of good to moderate quality land throughout the City. Much of the better quality land is found on the areas underlain by Magnesian limestone to the east of Houghton le Spring. This represents some of the highest quality agricultural land in Tyne and Wear. Land is currently surveyed on an ad-hoc basis.

**16.53** When considering applications for planning permission that affect agricultural land, the implications upon farming and quality of land is to be considered together with the environmental and economic implications. In assessing such factors, the Council will bear in mind that once agricultural land is developed, even for "soft" uses such as golf courses, its return to best quality land is seldom practicable. The need to control the rate at which land is taken for development will also be a factor in any assessment.

### **New Development in the Countryside (including Green Belt and settlement break)**

**Policy DM6.18 - Development proposals in the countryside will require special justification for planning permission to be granted. Proposals should be necessary for the efficient operation of agriculture, horticulture, forestry and other land based business. The applicant will be expected to provide the following evidence as part of a planning application:**

#### **New rural dwellings**

**a. New permanent dwellings should only be allowed to support existing rural activities on well-established units, providing it is demonstrated that:**

- i. there is a clearly established *existing* functional need**
- ii. the need relates to a *full-time* worker, or one who is primarily employed in a rural activity and does not relate to a part-time requirement;**
- iii. the unit and the rural activity concerned have been established for at least three years, have been profitable for at least one of them, are currently financially sound, and have a clear prospect of remaining so ;**
- iv. the functional need could not be fulfilled by another existing dwelling on the unit, or any other existing accommodation in the area which is suitable and available for occupation by the workers concerned; and**
- v. other planning requirements, e.g. in relation to access, or impact on the countryside, are satisfied.**

**Where the business has not been established for three years and the permanent dwelling can not be justified consideration will be given to temporary accommodation.**

Proposals for temporary accommodation will also be subject to points i, ii, iv, v of the above criteria and will have to provide clear evidence of a firm intention and ability to develop the enterprise concerned.

### **Rural Diversification**

**b. Proposals for rural diversification must be supported by evidence to demonstrate that:**

- i. the diversification scheme contributes to the viability of the farm as a whole and its continued operation, or to the sustainability of the local community;**
- ii. The proposal either retains existing, provides additional or creates alternative employment, or is for community purposes; and,**
- iii. The proposal does not involve a residential use except where consistent with other Strategic or Development Management policies.**

### **Conversions**

**c. The conversion, adaptation and reuse of rural buildings will be permitted provided that:**

- i. Any necessary modifications, alterations or extensions do not increase the scale, or adversely affect the form and character of existing buildings, but are designed to reflect and compliment them; and**
- ii. Proposals do not involve the conversion of a recently constructed agricultural building(s) that has not been materially used for agricultural purposes.**

**Proposals for the conversion, adaptation and reuse of rural buildings for residential dwellings will also be subject to the following:**

- iii. the applicant has demonstrated that alternative uses for the building are not available or viable;**
- iv. the creation of a residential curtilage will not have a harmful impact on the character of the Countryside.**

**Where substantial reconstruction is necessary, the proposal will be considered in the same way as a new building in the Countryside and assessed against other Strategic and Development Management policies of this Core Strategy.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy is an extension of the NPPF policy, but rather than dealing with applications on their merits and on a case by case basis it was considered prudent to include a policy that provides more detail- along the lines of PPS7- Annex A. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.54** The planning system recognises that it plays an important role in supporting and facilitating development and land uses which enable those that earn a living from, and help to maintain and manage the countryside

continue to do so. However, careful consideration has to be given to proposals in the countryside to ensure that they are necessary for the efficient operation of agriculture, horticulture, forestry and other land based businesses. As such proposals of this nature require special justification to be considered acceptable.

### **New rural dwellings**

**16.55** In demonstrating a functional need (Policy DM18.a[i]) consideration should be given to the following:

- A functional need is necessary to establish whether it is essential for the proper functioning of the enterprise for one or more workers to be readily available at most times. (Such a requirement might arise, for example, if workers are needed to be on hand day and night; in case animals or agricultural processes require essential care at short notice or to deal quickly with emergencies that could otherwise cause serious loss of crops or products).
- If the functional requirement is established consideration will be given to the number of workers needed to meet it, which will be derived from the scale and nature of the enterprise.

**16.56** In demonstrating that the unit and the activity are financially viable and established (Policy DM18.a[iii]):

- A financial test is necessary and evidence of the size of the dwelling which the unit can sustain. A realistic approach will be taken to the level of profitability, taking account of the nature of the enterprise concerned.

**16.57** The dwelling proposed should be of a size commensurate with the established functional requirement. Dwellings that are unusually large in relation to the rural needs of the unit, or unusually expensive to construct in relation to the income it can sustain in the long-term, will not be permitted. It is the requirements of the enterprise, rather than those of the owner or occupier that are relevant in determining the size of the dwelling that is appropriate to a particular holding.

**16.58** In the authority are particularly concerned about abuse the history of the holding will be investigated.

### **Rural Diversification**

**16.59** It is recognised that diversification into non-agricultural activities is vital to the continuing viability of many rural enterprises and as such the Local Planning Authority will be supportive of well-conceived schemes for business purposes that contribute to sustainable development, help to sustain the rural enterprise and are consistent in their scale with their rural location.

### **Conversions**

**16.60** To promote a strong rural economy the Local Planning Authority will support the re-use of appropriately located and suitably constructed existing buildings in the countryside where this would contribute to sustainable development.

### **Landscape Character**

**Policy DM6.19 - Development proposals in areas of high landscape value, or where it is considered necessary by the City Council, will be required to include landscaping schemes which retain or enhance existing landscape features or offer appropriate mitigation measures for loss of landscape features to reflect local landscape character.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF in protecting and enhancing valued landscapes. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.61** The policy emphasises the importance of local character and distinctiveness and ensuring that the new development relates to and enhances areas of high landscape value. The draft Landscape Character Assessment for Sunderland will need to be given due regard when submitting proposals that could have an impact on the landscape in order to assess the possible landscape impacts of any proposals.

## **Woodlands/ Hedgerows and Trees**

**Policy DM6.20** - New proposals should retain all trees, woodlands and hedgerows of amenity and wildlife value. Consideration must be given to both individual merit as well as general contribution to amenity and their interaction as part of a group within the broader landscape setting. Where this cannot be achieved, mitigation and compensation measures should be outlined in a planning statement. There should be an emphasis on native species in rural, urban fringe and semi natural areas. Urban areas may include a combination of native and non-native species with the aim of promoting increased bio-diversity.

Where on-site compensation cannot be provided, a financial contribution of the full cost of appropriate re-provision and successful establishment will be required.

Development near trees must be carried out to the relevant British Standards currently BS 5837.

Development schemes that include the planting of new trees must follow the recommendations of the National House Building Council's Practice.

No new developments are to be positioned where they will be excessively dominated by or be solely under the crown of protected trees.

An arboricultural report must be undertaken by a qualified person and be submitted as part of any proposal which impacts upon trees.

The council will ensure the retention and protection of trees which are subject to Tree Preservation Orders and those which are located within Conservation Areas. Applications which involve the loss of TPO trees and trees within a conservation area will be considered on their own merits and on their contribution to the character of an area.

## **Alternative Approaches**

The policy recognises the important role of Woodland/ hedgerows / tree for biodiversity habitat and residential environments in accordance with the NPPF. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.62** Woodlands and trees play an extremely important role in the landscape and environmental quality of the City. Existing trees and landscaping can be a valuable resource and, where it is desirable to retain them (including any statutorily protected trees), the design and layout of new development must allow for this including details of measures to protect retained trees and landscaping during construction.

**16.63** Detailed schemes of landscaping should be submitted with the planning application or may be required as a condition of planning permission



where appropriate. Proposals which fail to make satisfactory arrangements for landscaping or the tree protection of retained trees will be refused.

**16.64** British Standard 5837:2012 Trees in Relation to design, demolition and construction recognises that trees need to be properly protected during construction periods. This document provides guidance on planting and protecting trees during construction and the level of information required for full surveys.

**16.65** Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) are particularly important in controlling the felling and pruning of trees or woodlands which make a significant contribution to the environment. New orders will continue to be made where trees of amenity value are at risk. The Council will take enforcement action against those who deliberately damage or remove protected trees.

### **Biodiversity**

**Policy DM21 - Development proposals adjacent to a designated site (including its buffer zone) will contribute to its long term positive conservation management as agreed in writing with the City Council.**

**Development and land use changes that are considered to have an adverse effect on priority habitats or species, or would cause fragmentation of wildlife corridors, including stepping stones will be refused permission.**

**Developments are required to implement measures in agreement with the City Council that protect and aid the recovery of priority species populations.**

**Where development results in significant harm to biodiversity an alternative habitat will need to be provided to adequately sustain current populations and facilitate the survival of an individual or group of species. The habitat and species populations will be maintained thereafter by the implementation of a scheme as agreed in writing with the local planning authority. Failure to do this will render proposals unacceptable.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy reflects the NPPF requirement for Council's to conserve and enhance the natural environment. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.66** The need for strong protection of nationally and internationally recognised environmental assets, landscapes, habitats and ecological networks is emphasised in the NPPF. Local authorities are required to protect valued landscapes, minimise impacts on biodiversity and provide net gains in biodiversity where possible aiming to halt the overall decline in biodiversity which has occurred over recent years.

**16.67** The general principle of the policy is to ensure the protection, management and enhancement of all natural environmental assets and the more significant the asset, the greater the presumption in favour of its protection. It is expected that in the majority of cases, priority habitats and species will have already been identified on a site-specific basis and are protected through national and local designations.

**16.68** Where a development proposal contains or is adjacent to a designated site, proposals should take account of their buffer zones and where possible incorporate or enhance them as part of the development.

**16.69** Development likely to have a significant impact on priority habitats and species will be refused.

### **Green Infrastructure**

**Policy DM6.22 - Development proposals must incorporate multifunctional Green Infrastructure through the inclusion of climate change mitigation or adaptation measures such as surface water storage, contribution to sustainable drainage systems, extreme temperature regulation and carbon capture and will be maintained thereafter as agreed in writing by the City Council.**

**All development is required to protect, enhance and manage existing wildlife corridors and green infrastructure networks helping to connect gaps and areas of weakness as demonstrated in the Green Infrastructure corridor and will be implemented via a scheme agreed in writing by the City Council.**

**Provision and design of green infrastructure must be compatible with the habitats and/or species for which a site has been designated or it is desirable to retain or promote across a given area.**

**Development proposals must help reconnect fragile habitats identified in the Green infrastructure Strategy that are susceptible to fragmentation by intensive land use, transport routes or urban development, and create networks of green and semi-natural landscapes.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy requirement reflects the important role of green infrastructure in the NPPF. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.70** This policy would help in delivering an integrated network of multi functional green infrastructure. The green infrastructure corridors are safeguarded for the most part either through national protection (such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest), through regional and local landscape designations of various types and through established policy protection of other areas of community open space which have recreational or amenity value.

## **Greenspace**

**Policy DM6.23 - All new developments are required to contribute towards the provision of new and enhanced greenspace. Development will be expected to provide greenspace in accordance with the quantity and quality standards and area needs set out in the Greenspace Report.**

**Public and private greenspace will be protected from development which would have an adverse effect on its amenity, recreational or nature conservation value.**

**The development of a site that is currently or its last use was for green space or for sport or recreation may be permitted provided that it can be demonstrated the development brings substantial benefits to the community that would outweigh the harm resulting from the loss of open space; and**

- a. A replacement facility which is at least equivalent in terms of usefulness, attractiveness, quality and accessibility, and where of an appropriate quantity, to existing and future users is provided by the developer on another site agreed with the Council prior to development commencing; or**
- b. If replacement on another site is neither practicable or possible an agreed contribution is made by the developer to the Council for new provision or the improvement of existing green space or outdoor sport and recreation facilities and its maintenance within an appropriate distance from the site or within the site; or**
- c. A combination of criteria a and b, and in the case of playing fields, the development is approved by Sport England.**

## **Alternative Approaches**

**The policy requirement reflects the important role of green space in the NPPF. There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.71** Green space is a valuable asset to the community, places for people to participate in organised sport, play, for informal recreational activity and for appreciating the natural environment. The benefits to greenspace to human health and wellbeing are well documented, and the need to retain open space for these uses will continue to be important as City's population grows.

**16.72** The Greenspace Report will establish the particular greenspace needs of an area and the quality and quantity standards required. In some instances it may be necessary for contributions in lieu to be sought where there is sufficient quantity of greenspace in an area but the quality is poor. Where necessary the applicant will be required to provide detailed information on how/ when the greenspace will be implemented and once implemented how the greenspace will be maintained/ managed in its longevity

**16.73** The National Planning Policy Framework stipulates that existing open space, sport and recreation facilities should not be built on unless clearly surplus to requirements, or where the loss would be replaced by equivalent or

better provision in terms of quantity and quality, or where the need for and benefits of the development clearly outweigh the loss.

**16.74** In certain cases, a proposed development might be able to offer the opportunity for alternative provision with comparable community benefit. In this case exceptions to the policy would be considered that satisfy the criteria set out in Policy DM6.23.

### **Local Environmental Quality : General**

**Policy DM6.24 - The City Council will protect and improve local environmental quality and amenity by:**

- a. ensuring account is taken of the impact of existing sources of air, noise, dust and smell or other pollution on new development**
- b. ensuring account is taken of the impact of the new development on the amenity of existing uses by reason of its sensitivity to air, noise, dust and smell or other pollution.**

**16.75** Any consideration of the quality of air and potential impacts arising from development is capable of being a material planning consideration. In considering proposals the council must take appropriate account of the risks to development from existing pollution, not only in terms of direct pollution impacts, but also in terms of the potential for complaints against the existing use which may result in constraints being placed on existing businesses, which may jeopardise viability). Consideration must also be given to the risks to existing development from proposed polluting generating uses. Proposals must adequately demonstrate how these risks can be managed or reduced.

### **Amenity**

**Policy DM6.25 - When considering the impact of new development on amenity, the City Council will have regard to the following:**

- a. privacy/overlooking;**
- b. outlook;**
- c. access to daylight and sunlight;**
- d. noise, vibration and disturbance;**
- e. the resulting physical relationship with other properties;**
- f. light spillage, air quality and other forms of pollution**
- g. safety and security; and**
- h. bad neighbour uses**

**Development will only be acceptable where it would not cause an unacceptable loss of amenity to adjoining or future occupiers of the development or suitable mitigation measures are incorporated into the proposal.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF approach to local environmental quality.  
**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.76** New development, redevelopment or alterations to existing buildings can themselves remedy environmental deficiencies and contribute to the quality of life of the city's residents. New development should take into account the amenities of adjoining properties, with particular attention being paid to the scale of new buildings in relation to existing surrounding development, daylight, sunlight effects, siting, elevational treatments and the use of appropriate materials. The policy seeks to ensure high standards of amenity in new development and contribute to a safe, quiet and attractive environment.

## **Water**

**Policy DM6.26 - Where development proposals affect an area identified at risk from surface or groundwater flooding (as set out within Sunderland' Strategic Flood Risk Assessment), a site specific flood risk assessment and/or drainage strategy is required to be submitted in support of the application.**

**Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) should be implemented into new development where feasible. Where not implementable, justification should be provided outlining the reasons and demonstrating alternative sustainable approaches to managing surface or groundwater flooding.**

**All developments must take account of the impact on ecological factors of proposed drainage systems, and provide mitigation and enhancement measures to protect and improve biodiversity and geodiversity features and their future management on ecology, including (SuDS).**

**New development should not have a detrimental impact on the city's water resources, including the Magnesian Limestone Aquifer and its protection zones.**

**Development along the River Wear and Coast should take account of the Northumbria River Basin Management Plan, to deliver continuing improvements in water quality.**

## **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF approach to local environmental quality.

**There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.77** To help adapt to expected climate change, the policy provides the broad framework for addressing the increased risk of flooding including a requirement for sustainable drainage systems.

**16.78** Floods can occur on any ground when rainfall exceeds the natural drainage capacity of a site. In addition to rainfall changes, climate change is likely to increase the impact of other weather events in Sunderland, with the key risks likely to arise from sea level rise and extreme heat events.

**16.79** The Council's SFRA will enable the Council to ensure that development is located away from areas that are identified at most at risk from flooding and to ensure existing flooding issues are not exacerbated.

**16.80** Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) should be used to minimise the risk and impacts of flooding and can be designed to function in most settings through flexible design. Developers will be expected to provide and fund effective SUDS maintenance programmes.

**16.81** The Council, together with the Environment Agency and the water providers are committed to protecting the city's water resources. It is of utmost importance that the aquifer which provides the City's drinking water and watercourses are protected from contamination from pollutants.

### **Ground Conditions**

**Policy DM6.27 - Where development is proposed on land where there is reason to believe is either unstable or potentially unstable, contaminated or potentially at risk from migrating contaminants or gases, it will be necessary to ensure any risks have been fully assessed and where necessary preventive, remedial or precautionary measures appropriate to the intended use of the land are included as part of the development proposals.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with the NPPF on the grounds of pollution and land instability. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.82** In accordance with the provisions of the NPPF, the Council must ensure that sites are suitable for development taking account of ground conditions, pollution arising from previous uses and any proposals for land remediation.

**16.83** The Council must satisfy itself that the potential for contamination and any risks arising are properly assessed. Affected development must incorporate remediation and management measures. These must deal with risks of water pollution, contamination from site works and with health risks for end users.

**16.84** Where a site is affected by contamination or land stability issues, the responsibility for securing a safe development rests with the developer and/or landowner (NPPF, para 120). Accordingly, the developer should be able to demonstrate that an appropriate site investigation has been undertaken which shows no evidence of contamination on the site likely to affect the grant of a planning permission. The report should be submitted with a planning application and the Council will consult the Environment Agency and take account of environmental health officer advice in assessing such reports.

**16.85** If contamination is shown the developer must also demonstrate that a method of treatment necessary to deal with any hazards found has been agreed or conditions requiring such measures to be implemented can be

attached. Such measures must ensure that water resources and other environmental resources are not adversely affected, further migration of gases and substances is prevented, and that appropriate remediation takes place on-site to secure a safe development that is suitable for its proposed use

### **Health and Safety Executive areas**

**Policy DM6.28 - Any development within the specified distances from the sites identified as 'notifiable installations' or the development of new notifiable installations must take account of any risks involved and the need for appropriate separation between hazardous installations and incompatible uses.**

### **Hazardous Substances**

**Policy DM6.29 - Proposals involving the introduction, storage or use of hazardous substances which would create potential risk to surrounding areas will not normally be permitted.**

### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy accords with NPPF guidance on Major Hazards. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**16.86** Sites and installations which have quantities of hazardous substances present on site are designated as notifiable installations by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE). Consultation zones are defined around these hazardous installations and the City Council is required to consult with the HSE on certain proposals for development within such zones. The Council will be guided by HSE advice in determining whether a proposed development may proceed as submitted or whether protection measures could overcome any safety objections.

**16.87** The siting of new notifiable installations will be managed with the aim of keeping the installations separate from housing and other sensitive land uses with which the installations would be incompatible. The Council will consult the Health and Safety Executive and the Environment Agency about the siting of proposals for new notifiable installations.

## Chapter 17 : Renewable Energy Development

### Renewable Energy Development

**Policy DM7.1-** In considering proposals for renewable energy developments, together with any ancillary buildings and infrastructure, consideration will be given to the potential impacts on:

- a. The surrounding natural, built and cultural landscape and townscape including buildings, features, habitats and species of national and local importance; and
- b. The amenity of local residents including visual intrusion, air, dust, noise, odour, traffic generation, recreation and access; and
- c. Air traffic operations, radar and air navigational installations

Applications within the Green Belt will also be considered against Paragraph 91 of the NPPF.

Appropriate mitigation and/or compensation measures will be required prior to any development. Where necessary the applicant may be required to include a satisfactory scheme to restore the site to a quality of at least its original condition once operations have ceased.

### Alternative Approaches

The policy accords with the NPPF on guidance on Renewable Energy Development. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**17.1** The policy focuses on the key criteria that will be used to judge applications. This policy applies to all types of standalone renewable energy, including wind turbines, biomass generators, anaerobic digestion plants and other energy from waste technologies, hydropower turbines, and solar photovoltaic arrays.

**17.2** In accordance with national planning policy, significant weight is given to the wider environmental, social and economic benefits of renewable and low carbon energy generation. The impact on neighbouring residents and other sensitive receptors is also a significant consideration, but will vary, depending on the size, scale, location and type of technology proposed. Any potential cumulative impact of schemes within the area, including within and outside the city, will also be considered.

**17.3** Some applications may need to be accompanied by an 'environmental statement' which will include an indication of the likely significant effect of the development on human beings, flora, fauna, soil, water, air, climate, landscape, material assets and cultural and historical heritage. Applications for wind turbine installations will need to include details of associated infrastructure such as new access roads so that the Council can fully assess the proposal.



## Chapter 18 : Waste

### Waste

**Policy DM8.1 - Proposals for new waste facilities should be focused on previously developed employment land (excluding land within Primary Employment Sites) and will be required to meet the following criteria:**

- a. All waste processes and operations must be contained, processed and managed within buildings unless there are acceptable operational reasons why these processes cannot be contained within buildings ;
- b. There should be no unmitigated nuisance to adjacent premises or highway users by virtue of dust, odour, vibration, smoke, noise, mud or slurry;
- c. The site should be adequately screened;
- d. The site must have acceptable means of access to the local road network for the number and size of vehicles involved in the operation;
- e. Development will not be allowed that is judged to have a strong likelihood of creating unacceptable adverse visual, air or noise impacts on residents, neighbours and occupiers within the proximity of the development.

**Policy DM8.2 - The City Council will safeguard existing waste transfer and management sites for waste management use, unless it can be demonstrated that:**

- a. there is no longer a need for the facility; and
- b. capacity can be met elsewhere; or
- c. appropriate compensatory provision is made in appropriate locations elsewhere in the City; or
- d. the site is required to facilitate the strategic objectives of the City.

### Alternative Approaches

The NPPF does not contain specific waste policies. National waste planning policy will be published in a National Waste Management Plan for England. In the mean time policies have been drafted in accordance with PPS10 and Core Strategy policies. **There is no alternative in the short term, the policy will be revised to accord with National Waste Management Plan for England once adopted.**

**18.1** Policy DM8 focuses on the key criteria and supporting information required as part of a proposal to determine planning applications. The criteria will be used to assess all types of waste proposals. As set out at Policies CS3 and DM3, three tiers of employment land are identified - proposals for waste facilities will not be supported on Primary Employment sites. To ensure waste disposal sites operate without detriment to amenity, public safety and without having a significant adverse effect on the environment and appearance of the proposed development site it is expected that proposals will be located

within buildings, this is particularly so where they would adversely affect sensitive uses.

**18.2** Some applications may need to be accompanied by an 'environmental statement

**18.3** Existing waste management sites are part of the infrastructure for waste development in Sunderland. Depending on individual circumstances, such sites may also have the potential to increase their capacity, or be able to diversify to provide additional waste services and facilities. As some waste management facilities can be of a relatively low value land use, some of these sites could be vulnerable to redevelopment for other uses. Sites should therefore be safeguarded from development for non-waste management uses.

## Chapter 19 : Minerals

### **Minerals**

**Policy DM9.1 - Proposals for mineral extraction must demonstrate the extent, quality and significance of the reserves to be extracted and must ensure that:**

- a. The natural and historic environment is conserved, managed and enhanced as appropriate. Where this is not possible because the benefits of mineral extraction outweigh any likely harm to the natural and historic environment, significant justification and mitigation must be provided.
- b. There should be no unmitigated nuisance to adjacent premises or highway users by virtue of dust, odour, vibration, smoke, noise, mud or slurry;
- c. Sensitive working practices, high operating standards and environmental management systems are adopted
- d. Workings will not increase the potential of flood risks or surface water flooding
- e. Essential infrastructure is protected
- f. Sustainable transport methods for transportation of minerals are utilised where possible; and
- g. Practices to facilitate sensitive environmental restoration and aftercare of the site should be incorporated into the day to day operation of the site by the operator, for the lifetime of the site and for a period thereafter which will be agreed with the City Council prior to Mineral Extraction commencing.

**Policy DM9.2 - There will be a presumption against open cast coal extraction within the City unless**

- a. There is an identified need for such resources, and
- b. The proposal is environmentally acceptable, or can be made so through agreed mitigation or through planning conditions or legal obligations; or
- c. The proposal can provide City wide, local or community benefits which clearly outweigh any likely adverse impacts.
- d. The applicant can satisfy the criteria policy DM6.3

**Policy DM9.3 – Within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, proposals for non-mineral development of sites will need to demonstrate, where appropriate, that they will not result in the sterilisation of mineral resources, or where they do that the mineral resources are either not economically viable for extraction or can be extracted prior to development taking place.**

**Policy DM9.4 - Before granting planning permission for non-mineral development within a Coal MSA, consideration will be given to feasibility / viability of the extraction of coal resources prior to development commencing (defined on the Key Diagram).**

**Policy DM9.5 - For all new development proposals that require planning permission (except householder extensions or changes of use) in Coal Mining Development Referral Areas, consideration should be given to issues arising from past coal mining, in particular land instability. Where stability issues are identified developers are required to carry out site investigations and prepare a Coal Mining Risk Assessment, to be submitted with the planning application.**

#### **Alternative Approaches**

The policy requirement reflects the NPPF's approach to facilitating the sustainable use of minerals. **There is no reasonable alternative.** The policy accords with the NPPF on land instability. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**19.1** In addition to the above policies applications for mineral extraction should be considered alongside the National Planning Policy Framework : Technical Guidance.

**19.2** Policy DM9 focuses on the key criteria that will be used to judge applications. Proposals for mineral extraction (including extensions to existing sites), will be required to robustly justify the requirement for extraction, specifically in relation to the need for the site to maintain supply in line with the sub-regional apportionment and / or the maintenance of the aggregates landbank.

**19.3** The possibility of significant environmental and social effects associated must be fully understood before consideration can be given as to whether the proposed development is acceptable. The applicant will be expected to provide detailed information of the likely significant effect of the development on human beings, flora, fauna, soil, water, air, climate, landscape, material assets and cultural and historical heritage.

**19.4** Proposals should be supported by a scheme for restoration and an end date by which this will have been implemented. The restoration of minerals sites will be expected to be done progressively, with small sections of the site worked and then restored and so on. Restoration should maximise public and environmental benefit, but its after-use should be determined in relation to its land use context and surrounding environmental character. Where possible restoration schemes should make contributions towards achieving specific targets set out in the Durham Biodiversity Action Plan.

**19.5** Sunderland has a limited supply of mineral resources, therefore it is necessary to safeguard known minerals resources from other development that could sterilise their eventual extraction. It is a Government requirement that proven resources are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development, and that there should be prior extraction of the mineral if it is necessary for such development to take place. Sunderland's MSAs have been developed in accordance with guidance published by the British Geological Survey and by using mineral resource information provided by the British Geological Survey and the Coal Authority.

**19.6** With regards development proposals within Coal MSAs, where it can be accommodated in an environmentally acceptable manner and provided that the proposed development is not prejudiced or delayed significantly coal resources should be extracted prior to development commencing. In order to avoid potential problems of delay, any potential surface coal working should be included in an overall programme for the development.

## Chapter 20 : Infrastructure and Developer Contributions

### Infrastructure/ Developer Contributions

**Policy DM 10 –** Where appropriate, the provision of new infrastructure will be required to be provided on site. Where this is not possible, the applicant will be expected to provide infrastructure off-site but within close proximity to the proposed development site.

In instances where neither on-site or off-site provision is appropriate the developer will be required to submit robust justification demonstrating why. In this instance a commuted payment is likely to be sought.

In determining the nature and scale of any planning obligation, specific site conditions and other material considerations including viability will be taken into account.

Where necessary the applicant will be required to provide detailed information on how and when the infrastructure will be implemented and once implemented how the infrastructure will be maintained and managed in its longevity.

### Alternative Approaches

The policy accords with the NPPF in ensuring the viability of a development scheme is taken into account when seeking developer contributions. It would be unreasonable for the council not to do so. **There is no reasonable alternative.**

**20.1** The delivery of planned growth set out within the Core Strategy is dependent upon the availability of infrastructure to support it. The council is committed to implementing a Community Infrastructure Levy alongside the Core Strategy, as such strategic infrastructure improvements will potentially be provided through the Community Infrastructure Levy. However, where localised infrastructure improvements, such as open space and community facilities are required to serve the new and existing population, the Council will expect them to be provided as part of proposals through Section 106 agreements or conditions.

**20.2** Contributions that may be required include the following:

- open space and recreation (including leisure and sports facilities);
- strategic green infrastructure and biodiversity enhancement/ mitigation;
- transport (including footpaths, bridleways, cycleways, highways, public transport, car parks and travel planning);
- community facilities (including meeting halls, youth activities, play facilities, library and information services, cultural facilities and places of worship);
- employment, Training and Enterprise and Targeted Recruitment;
- education, health and social care and community safety;

- utilities infrastructure and renewable energy;
- emergency and essential services;
- environmental improvements;
- drainage / flood prevention and protection;
- waste recycling facilities;
- public art and heritage.

**20.3** The NPPF acknowledges the value of planning obligations in the planning system but highlights that they should be proportionate and reasonable. It sets out that the combined impact of obligations and other policy requirements should not be such that the ability to develop sites viably is threatened.

**20.4** It is recognised that there maybe some sites where viability is an issue and planning obligations are not financially achievable. In this instance a robust economic viability assessment must be submitted. The viability assessment must fully detail the financial limitations of the site / proposed development and clearly demonstrate how the planning obligations are not deliverable.

**20.5** For larger development sites, where development will be phased consideration will be given to provision for a review of the scheme's viability prior to the commencement of future phases of development.


**20.6** Where infrastructure is necessary to make a particular development acceptable in planning terms (such as environmental mitigation or compensation), the development will not be approved if the measures required cannot be secured through appropriate conditions or agreements.





# Sunderland LDF Core Strategy Preferred Options

## Key diagram



- City Boundary
- Built Up Area
- Economic prosperity**
- City Centre Office Priority (CS1)
- Retail Priority (CS1)
- Central Area (CS1) + City Centre (CS1)
- Housing Growth Area (CS2)
- Locations for Major Development (CS2)
- 1 Groves
- 2 Former Pallion Shipyard
- 3 Stadium Village
- 4 Bonnersfield
- 5 Sunnyside
- 6 Crowtree Leisure Centre
- 7 Holmeside Triangle
- 8 The Port
- 9 Land at South Ryhope
- 10 Cherry Knowle
- 11 Land North of Burdon Lane
- 12 Chapelgarth
- 13 Philadelphia
- Enterprise Zone (CS3)
- Strategic Sites (CS3)
- Proposed Employment (CS3)
- Primary Employment Areas (CS3)
- University Campus (CS3)

- Sustainable communities**
- Town Centres (CS4)
- Regeneration Areas (CS4)
- Connectivity**
- Trunk Roads (CS5)
- Major Roads (CS5)
- Proposed Schemes (CS5)
- Existing Railway (CS5)
- Transport Corridors (CS5)
- Environment**
- Green Belt (CS6)
- Settlement Breaks and Green Wedges (CS6)
- SAC's/ SPA's (CS6)
- Minerals**
- Mineral Safeguarding Areas (CS9)
- Coal Safeguarding Area (CS9)

0 0.45 0.9 1.8 Miles

This map is based upon Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Sunderland City Council 100018385. Published 2013.

