

20<sup>th</sup> June 2013**Report of the Chief Executive.****Strategic Initiative Budget (SIB), Healthy City Investment Fund and Community Chest - Financial Statement and Proposals for further allocation of Resources****1. Purpose of the Report**

1.1 Area Committee has delegated budgets to allocate to specific strategic priorities identified in the Local Area Plan and work plan, with the overall aim to benefit the wider community and to attract other funding into the area. The report provides a financial statement as an update position on progress in relation to allocating SIB, Healthy City Investment Fund and Community Chest.

**2.0 Strategic Initiatives Budget: Financial statement and proposals**

2.1 The table below shows the position at the start of the new financial year for 2013 -14 and following the last Area Committee meeting held April 2013.

	Committee Date	Allocated (not yet assessed)	Approvals	Balance
<b>The allocation for 2013/14 is £287,261 (subject to approval), with an under spend of £8,874 from 2011/12 this totals £296,135</b>				
				<b>£296,135</b>
<b>Project Name</b>				-
<b>New Balance</b>				<b>£296,135</b>

2.2 Members will recall approving the allocation of £50,000 for the Community Health and Green Spaces Project at the January 2013 meeting.

2.3 This report is asking Area Committee to note the information in **Annex 1** which summarises proposals for the project, identifies key partners, and a programme of activity. Through the Place Board and the Community Health and Green Spaces Steering Group the proposals detail the development of all 3 proposed phases to implement a co-ordinated approach to deliver a number of the key priorities of Area Committee:

- Investment in the natural and built environment and the involvement of local residents and organisations in improving the local area.
- A well connected Washington through the development of an integrated cycle network, improvements to green spaces, and the promotion of events and activities to link villages
- Ensuring Washington has healthy outcomes and lifestyles and the project addresses specific health inequalities in Washington.

2.4 Members are requested to consider allocating further resources from the 2013/14 budget to allow the project to be developed and delivered across the area and to include both shorter term 'quick win' activity in the first year, and more longer term outcomes linked to other key developments and projects. The allocation and approval of this additional funding from the 2013/14 budget of £50,000 will be subject to a detailed SIB appraisal and consultation exercise before projects are implemented. Should this proposal be approved, the balance of SIB funding remaining following allocation will be **£246,135**.

2.5 The People Board has worked to develop a project brief and related process to engage local VCS organisations in the delivery of local projects to reduce social isolation and improve wellbeing, attached as **Annex 2**. This includes the proposed Project Brief and the application form and guidance notes for a Call for Projects process. The Board recommends a budget of **£30,000** SIB is made

available for the delivery of such projects (which would be subject to application, consultation and assessment). Should this recommendation be approved the balance of SIB funding remaining would be **£216,135**.

2.6 Members are also asked to note the agreement of a 'change to project' with regards to the Washington Trust Partnership. The Partnership was awarded a total grant of £65,000 in April 2012. £50,000 was allocated to 'seed funding' to support collaborative work with local organisations and to provide the 'match funding' for applications and bids as required. The remaining £15,000 of the approved funding was to support the running costs of the organisation in its first year and to provide funding to utilise a bid writer to support the Trust and the local voluntary and community sector organisations.

2.7 When the Trust was set up 2 issues were impacting on the VCS in Washington, a need for matched funding to be identified as part of funding applications, and the capacity to develop and write bids with the expectation from funders that bids would be collaborative. In the 18 months the Trust has been operational the needs of the VCS have changed and become much clearer with the capacity to develop bids being the key issue. During this period funders have become much more focused on the impact that their funding will have and are less bothered about matched funding, in fact very few stipulate it as part of their grant conditions, where as previously they would have. The Trustees of the Partnership have carried out a review of the last 12 months and what has been achieved, and have concluded further resourcing of bid writing and some additional support for the Partnership's running costs is required. The proposal to vire £12,000 from the 'seed fund' allocation has been approved by Members and Area Committee is asked to note this agreement.

### 3.0 Healthy City Investment Fund: Financial statement and proposals

3.1 The table below show the position following the April 2013 meeting.

	<b>Committee Date</b>	<b>Allocated (not yet assessed)</b>	<b>Approvals</b>	<b>Balance</b>
<b>Healthy City Investment Fund (approved to AC to award)</b>	31.03.12			<b>£31,413</b>
<b>Project Name</b>	-		-	-
Well Men Partnership	27.09.12		£31,000	£413
<b>New Balance</b>			<b>£31,000</b>	<b>£413</b>

3.2 **£413 Healthy City Investment Fund** also remains to be allocated to specific initiatives as agreed at the Area Committee meeting held 31<sup>st</sup> March. There are no applications to be considered at this meeting.

### 4.0 Community Chest: Financial statement and proposals

4.1 The table below details balances remaining following the last Area Committee meeting in April 2013 and project approvals as detailed in **Annex 3**.

<b>Ward</b>	<b>Budget</b>	<b>Agreed</b>	<b>Balance</b>
Washington Central	£10,490	£1,150	£9,340
Washington East	£12,467	£2,300	£10,167
Washington North	£10,950	£2,800	£8,150
Washington South	£16,097	£ 200	£15,897
Washington West	£12,337	£ 200	£12,137
<b>Balance</b>	<b>£62,341</b>	<b>£6,650</b>	<b>£55,691</b>

## **5.0 Recommendations:**

- 5.1 Note the financial statements set out in this report.
- 5.2 Agree further allocation of £50,000 SIB from the 2013/14 budget, for the Community Health and Green Spaces Project as detailed in **Annex 1**, subject to detailed SIB appraisal and consultation
- 5.3 Approve the Project Brief as attached in **Annex 2** and the allocation of £30,000 SIB to deliver project to 'Address social isolation and provide support for older people and the most vulnerable as outlined in 2.5 above
- 5.4 Note the agreement of the change of project with regards to the Washington Trust Partnership as detailed in paragraphs 2.6 to 2.8 above.
- 5.5 Note the 14 applications for Community Chest agreed and supported from the 2013/14 allocation, between April – June 2013 as set out in **Annex 3**.

### **Contact Officer:**

Karon Purvis, Washington Area Officer  
0191 561 2449 [karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk)

**Annex 1:** SIB proposals

**Annex 2:** Project Brief – Call for Projects to address social isolation and improve well being.

**Annex 3:** Community Chest grants agreed April – June 2013

**SIB Proposal: Community Health and Green Spaces Project**

<b>Name of Project</b>	Washington Community Health and Green Spaces Project (CHGS)
<b>Lead Organisation</b>	City of Sunderland Council. Scrutiny & Area Arrangements

<b>Total cost of Project</b>	<b>Total Match Funding</b>	<b>Total SIB requested</b>
£120,000	£70,000	£50,000
<b>Project Duration</b>	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>End Date</b>
2 years	August 2013	July 2015

**The Project**

Proposals for the CHGSP will meet a number of the key priorities of the Area Committee.

- Ensuring Washington has healthy outcomes and lifestyles
- Investment in built and natural environment and involvement of local residents and organisations in improving the local area
- A well connected Washington through the development of an integrated network, improvements to green spaces, and the promotion of events to link villages

Proposed interventions will need to consider how to provide integrated routes and connections around the area, improvements and developments of key spaces for activities, promote volunteering activity and health and wellbeing initiatives (parks and green spaces) and the engagement, involvement and participation of local residents and organisations – including schools. Whilst providing investment in Washington’s built and natural environment, the proposals will also invest in the social capital of the area and improve local health outcomes. There is already huge potential to improve significant green space and to create integrated cycle routes. Some schemes require very little investment and development and could be considered ‘quick wins’. Others require a more long term solution but all can contribute to the wider picture and plan for improved connectivity, better local engagement and better health outcomes across Washington.

**A Washington Network – Great Potential**

Opportunities for expanding the extent and utility provided by cycle routes in Washington is substantial. Currently Washington hosts a number of key high quality routes such as the C2C cycle route, but demand for a much more comprehensive network is strong. Relative to the rest of Sunderland, Washington is poorly provided for in terms of connective cycle routes, in spite of recorded growth in cycling across Sunderland of over 8% each year from 2006-11, and 20% growth each in 2011 and 2012. Demand is for both on and off road provision or measures, to cater for the range of cycling abilities. Off road routes provide for new, less frequent and less confident riders and where roads present a complex riding environment. On road provision, whether specific or by creating a more conducive, calmer environment, cater for more confident or regular riders, and in the case of quieter roads, for intermediate ability.

Existing adopted path networks across Washington include a sound basis for setting out a 'grid' network of routes. From surveys carried out to identify a local strategic network it is clear that a significant proportion of a prospective network can be achieved with minimal construction. It is therefore timely that in 2012 the Washington Area Committee has identified as a key priority the development of a *well connected Washington through the development of an integrated network and improvements in green spaces*.

All new routes will require a formal legal process to be able to brand them as routes for cycles. The simplest way to achieve this for existing and for new-build routes will be by dedication of additional rights by the land owner. This is the proposed approach for this project particular across earlier phases– the programme of activity outlined is supported by positive discussions with Gentoo one of the

main landowners (other than ourselves) along the proposed network routes. Alternatively a longer process of route upgrade by highway order under the Highways Act 1980 or the Cycle Tracks Act 1984.

Routes will only be proposed as cycle routes if they are up to or can be brought up to the relevant standards. This is a function of their width, condition, space to sides, levels of use and sight lines amongst other criteria. New routes will require shared use signs and signs providing direction destination and distance, tactile paving to define 'entrance to shared use path' from joining side paths, and vegetation management to improve inter visibility between path users. Some paths also require stretches of widening and 'front row of trees removal'.

It is the intention that the project will be 'Health' focused. The health agenda in Sunderland is changing and there is a real opportunity for Washington to be seen to lead a new approach to delivering and contributing to an ever growing and evolving corporate agenda whilst at the same time implementing genuine influence over services and provision, at a local level and strengthening the role of the Area Committee Boards as drivers for development and integration of Area level thinking.

National policy priorities set out over the last three years by the Department for Transport and the Chief Medical Officers for England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales have recognised the transport and health benefits shown by the growth of cycling over the last ten years. They have galvanised an imperative that growth in cycling continues, for the health and economic wellbeing of the country. In particular, growing levels of regular cycling is accepted and universally supported as an excellent way to improve health, reduce congestion and improve air quality, and support economic activity and equitable access to work. Two thirds of journeys made are less than five miles, and so given a high quality cycle network, can easily be undertaken by cycle. The imperative is for high quality connections, because routes that are easy to find follow and use make cycling attractive, easy, and a viable means of daily travel.

For Washington, a locally based initiative Go Smarter to Work (GSTW) funded by the Dept. of Transport will provide key links and an opportunity to co-ordinate the proposed local activity to a regional driver. The benefits of improving the networks through Washington will greatly assist the GSTW project in the following ways:

- Health and Well-being of employees – help promote cycling and walking to/from work as a health benefit
- Reducing Carbon Emissions – will encourage employees to find alternative, sustainable modes of transport to/from work;
- Access to Employment – making it easier for people who work in Washington to travel across the area by means other than by driving then this makes Washington a more accessible place for those living both in and outside the area;
- Reducing Congestion –workers' cars blocking roads and the sheer volume arriving and departing at various sites across Washington is a big concern; this development should assist in working towards reducing this.
- Reducing traffic on the A1231 and ultimately the A1(M) - encouraging employees to find alternative, sustainable modes of transport to/from work.

Discussions are already taking place between the Workplace Travel Advisor delivering the GSTW project and large employers based in Washington, such as Nissan, Vantec, Unipres, Rolls Royce, BAE Systems, The Galleries, HMRC at Weardale and Waterview Park to name a few. The purpose of these discussions is to undertake site audits and staff travel surveys. The site audit identifies and records numbers of car bays, cycle racks, shower and other facilities for staff as well safe walking and cycling routes onto each site. The staff travel surveys covers what time people arrive at work, how they travel to work, what would make the person change their mode of transport, their home post code and other questions relating to travelling to work. The audit and survey results are then analysed and appropriate initiatives are offered to employers, such as car sharing schemes, cycle to work scheme, improving cycle rack availability all with a view to achieving the benefits outlined above.

## A Washington Network – General Principles for Development

The development of a Washington Cycle Network will bring together multiple objectives which complement each other in terms of purpose and routes:

- the Area Committee has a priority of improving connections through the area including green spaces
- the Local Transport Plan supports promotion of growth in cycling for all journey purposes, whether to work, education or shops and services, by equitable and sustainable modes of travel
- the Sunderland Strategy supports developing accessibility across the City

Development of the Washington Cycle Network will be in three phases, with phase one requiring minimal works and three more substantial interventions or legal processes. In addition to this, phases 1X, 2X and 3X will comprise major developments which depend on larger investment which may be externally sourced. For example a bid has been submitted to develop the Trans Sunderland Cycle Route linking Southwick to Sulgrave, with a spur to Boldon and down Cherry Blossom and Nissan Way to cross over the A1231 and run down Pattinson Road to reconnect to the C2C at Shepherd Way. If successful, this work could become a phase 1X to run alongside earlier work on phase 1.

There will be an opportunity for some work associated with the earlier phases of the project to be funded by a contribution from the Local Transport Plan alongside Area Committee funding. The level of LTP funding will be confirmed later this year and can be focused initially on north-south and east-west routes in the western half of Washington.

Candidate routes for Phase 1 of the project is proposed to include the following:

1. a north-south route from Donwell to Ayton via Parsons and Armstrong Industrial Estates, Blackfell and Oxclose, with spurs to Crowther Industrial Estate and the Galleries.
2. a north-south spur to '1' linking Oxclose to Princess Anne Park via Lambton
3. an east-west route linking Glebe to Princess Anne Park and route '2'
4. an east-west route linking Spout Lane to Parsons Industrial Estate via Albany Park and north Albany

Between June and August 2013 the detailed infrastructure needs of these routes will be considered and costed. In addition the appropriate mechanism for achieving the upgrade will be determined, so that a route map to delivery can be in place before the September 2013 meeting of the Committee. The intention is to present a detailed plan to the September 2013 meeting of the Committee for consideration, any recommendations and endorsement, preparatory to a wider consultation prior to implementation. The detailed route assessment will determine what can reasonably be achieved as a phase 1, and may highlight opportunities to include additional sections, and also complexities which may move parts to future phases either due to ownership/process or infrastructure complexities.

It is proposed the following development plan be agreed:

- **Phase 1** will prioritise routes which can easily be converted, on the basis of valuable connections, minimal works requirements, and good widths and sight line which reduce the chance of formal objections to legal processes where these are necessary. It should be noted that even for developments that do not have an avenue for formal objection, consultations would be carried out and reasonable amendments made in light of feedback. **(Map of these key routes to be presented at Area Committee)**
- **Phase 2** should include valuable routes which will require greater amounts of widening or other construction work, or a foreseeable extended legal process.
- **Phase 3** should include routes with significant complexities such as new construction and significant supporting infrastructure such as lighting and traffic signals, or complex legal processes.
- **Phase X1, X2, X3:** running in tandem with phases 1, 2 and 3, this should include routes where additional funding opportunities arise through grant, partnership or developer contribution, so that development which might otherwise be prohibitively costly can be brought forward.

## Partnership working

Steering Group: Sunderland Council – Highways Asset Management, City Services Sport & Leisure, Area Arrangements, Responsive Local Services, Strategy Policy and Performance Management (SPPM). Go Smarter to Work, Gentoo, Public Health.

Partnerships to be developed and included: local schools, Sustrans, Bike It scheme, cycling and walking user groups, Area VCS Network

### **A Washington Network – Programming and proposed activity**

The following programme for progressing the Washington Network is proposed.

- A consolidation survey of the first phase of the draft network will be carried out between June and September 2013. The survey would quantify the work and legal mechanism necessary to formalise routes for Phase 1. Survey work to design the schemes, identifying all needs for route signs, tactile markings, safety signs (shared use) is essential. Seeking opportunities to minimise the street clutter by designing signs into the highway itself (stone setts) rather than new posts will also be required.
- Responsive Local Services have already commenced surveying and to date those routes currently included in Phase 1 require little or no investment and in many cases are already part of the general maintenance and inspection regime for Washington
- From this a projected Phases 1, 2 and 3 would be set out for the September 2013 meeting of the Committee. In tandem with this work, funding and developer contributions to a number of key sections of route will continue to be pursued.
- There are very few routes in Washington, but after Phase 1 a really good network will exist which can be expanded further in later years. These routes will crucially tie in with key trip generators like The Galleries, Concord town centre, village centres, schools, employment areas, parks and play areas, wider countryside and neighbouring areas (eg Gateshead, Sunderland Enterprise Park).
- An expression of interest has been submitted to the Department for Transport and if successful will enable significant development work along the 'Trans-Sunderland Cycle Route', linking the A1 to the Queen Alexandra Bridge and the new Wear Bridge via Pattinson Rd, the A1290 across the north side of Nissan, with spurs to Sulgrave, Boldon and eastward continuation across north Sunderland along Washington Rd and North Hylton Rd. The City Council is expecting confirmation of this by the summer.
- Funding via the 3<sup>rd</sup> Local Transport Plan for Tyne and Wear which identifies the mapping and pursuit of development of a strategic cycle network for Washington will also be confirmed
- Funding from Public Health to address health inequalities at a local level may also be available to be matched to this initiative and will be reported as part of the scheme proposals to September Area Committee
- Key destinations will be developed and promoted to encourage usage – this will include parks, natural green space and open space.
- The planned development of upgrades to fixed play parks within Washington area utilising available S106 funds can be aligned (where possible) to the 3 phases as part of the project. This will ensure a number of fixed play sites will be upgraded and improved at similar times to this project being developed
- Opportunities exist to develop Princess Ann Park as a destination in line with the replacement Leisure Facility project being completed. The contractors have been asked to explore the opportunity of developing the site to encourage active travel to and from the site. The timescales of both projects will ensure there is synergy between the two to maximise impact.
- Opportunity to work with the schools as part of the 3 phases to ensure they make use of the cycle networks as part of and beyond the curriculum time
- Opportunity to explore one of the 'destinations' (possibly Princess Ann Park) as the start / finish of the 2015 Big Bike Ride
- Opportunity to develop a 'cycle hub' at one of the 'destinations' potentially the Leisure facility to ensure community groups and organisations can lead led cycle from the hub (F Pit? School?)
- Development opportunities exist with the schools and community groups to explore the potential of incorporating smaller marked out loops as part of the broader project
- The cycle network could have its own identity (badge designed by schools). Marketing and branding is very important, encouraging people of what is on their doorstep, encouraging people to walk and cycle to school, work to the shops etc. Link this in with the city cycle maps and well-signed bike routes. A new map for the area could be designed like the tube map rather than

specifically showing the twists and turns. It could demonstrate easy circular routes of different length, and show places to visit or cycle to (parks, tourist places, employment areas etc).

- The Galleries (as the principal trip generator) will ultimately require a surface circular route - a longer term aspiration. Opportunities will come forward through new developments (eg Leisure Centre removing the high rise walkway).
- Should the improvements to the network through Washington take place, the Workplace Travel Advisor (GSTW) would propose to promote these with the businesses they are working with. This is with the purpose of encouraging the modal shift to get employees to their place of work in Washington using a healthier, sustainable, safe route.
- Washington could sell itself as a sustainable New Town, making the most of the greenness of the area, high on greenspace, well wooded and attractive area, accessible local facilities, wide variety of homes available, lots of employment areas. There is great scope for the proposed cycle network to be part of that agenda.
- The City's Wellness programme and City Services team will work to ensure involvement and linkages are established with local schools and will co-ordinate this project with other activities and initiatives already being developed or delivered re cycling, walking and other 'sport and leisure' activities. The team will also co-ordinate the development of key events and activities, including proposals Washington based race event.

#### Key outcomes/activities

1. Development of an integrated network linking the villages
2. Development of key destinations – this will include both formal parks and green spaces and those which currently have no or low formal access (available space within a specific catchment area).
3. Development of a Green Flag Status Park for Washington and/or a major green space for sub-regional events
4. Improvements to open spaces and green spaces
5. Participation of local residents, organisations and particularly schools in celebration events, races and health related/sport and leisure activities –this outcome also links to the City's approach to develop an integrated wellness model for the City and to build on the 2012 Programme implementing a comprehensive and co-ordinated 'Participation 2013' programme.
6. Tackle local health inequalities – in particular lower life expectancy and poorer health compared to the national average, obesity, and that section of the population who do not take the minimum recommended 30 minutes or more moderate physical activity on five or more days each week

**Recommendation: Area Committee is recommended to approve ring fencing of a further £50,000 SIB from the 2013/14 budget (subject to SIB appraisal and consultation) to ensure a co-ordinated and collaborative approach to delivering the Washington Network.**



**Call for Projects Project Brief: Addressing Social Isolation**

**Washington Area Committee: Funding Opportunity**

**Area Priority: Addressing social isolation and support for older people**

**Introduction and background**

Washington Area People Board would like to invite interested local Voluntary and Community Sector (VCS) groups to submit proposals to deliver projects in the local community which address social isolation and provide support for older people. All 5 Washington Wards will be covered by this invitation.

Only VCS groups who have a management committee, constitution and bank account with dual signatories can be involved. There is an opportunity for local VCS groups with a proven track record in delivering grant funded projects to deliver projects on behalf of the Washington Area Committee. Applications demonstrating strong partnership working are encouraged.

**Project**

As part of the area priority to develop initiatives to address social isolation and provide support for older people, the Washington People Board would like to invite project proposals which complement and work in partnership with existing support in the Washington area. The project(s) must:-

1. Engage more older people and those at risk of or experiencing social isolation, in activities and services to improve their wellbeing
2. Reduce isolation and increase social interaction of older people and the most vulnerable
3. Increase awareness of support available to older people and the most vulnerable

Project proposals can be targeted to part wards, full wards, multi wards or the whole area, depending on the nature and capacity of a project and the need identified. Smaller, more localised, projects will also be considered.

**Budget: £30,000**

There is a total of £30,000 available. Please Note – this is the total budget available for all projects which are successful - **NOT** per project application. Therefore, we do not expect to fund any project over £5,000.

**Assessment**

Each project application will be assessed against set criteria by an assessment panel. The outcome of the assessment will be reported to the People Board for their consideration. The People Board will then make recommendations to Area Committee who will decide which project proposals will be supported.

**Proposed Timescale**

Opportunity advertised to VCS Network	28 June 2013
Deadline for completed applications	2 September 2013
Applications considered by Washington People Board	16 September 2013
Recommendation submitted to Area Committee for decision	26 September 2013

**Next Steps**

Any interested VCS Group should request an application form. These are available by contacting Karon Purvis, Washington Area Community Officer

Email: [karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk) or telephone 0191 561 2449, who will be happy to answer any questions groups may have.



**Call for Projects Project Brief: Addressing Social Isolation**

**Template Application form and guidance notes**

**WASHINGTON AREA STRATEGIC INITIATIVES BUDGET (SIB)**

**GUIDANCE NOTES AND APPLICATION**

**Introduction and background**

There is an opportunity for local VCS groups with a proven track record in delivering grant funded projects to deliver projects on behalf of the Washington Area Committee.

As part of the area priority **to develop initiatives to address social isolation and provide support for older people**, the People Board would like to invite project proposals which complement and work in partnership with existing support in the Washington area .

The Application Form is used to ascertain whether your project idea meets the criteria for SIB funding. Contact details for Washington area are

Area Community Officer Karon Purvis

0191 561 2449

[Karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:Karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk)

Chair Councillor Bernard Scaplehorn

[cllr.bernard.scaplehorn@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:cllr.bernard.scaplehorn@sunderland.gov.uk)

**Status of Group:**

The Legal Status of the organisation e.g. Charity, Voluntary organisation etc.

Your organisation must have all of the relevant governance and statutory requirements in place before any project can commence. This includes a written constitution, a management committee or other governing body, insurance, financial accounting systems and any other legislative requirements relevant to your area of work (such as Employment legislation, CRB and Safeguarding arrangements). You must sign the attached declaration to indicate your project is able to demonstrate all requirements are in place. Profit making organisations are not eligible for funding.

**Priority:**

Your project must help to deliver initiatives to address social isolation and in particular

1. Engage more older people and those at risk of or experiencing social isolation, in activities and services to improve their wellbeing
2. Reduce isolation and increase social interaction of older people and the most vulnerable
3. Increase awareness of support available to older people and the most vulnerable

**Project Description:**

Provide a description of the project for which the SIB contribution will be used. Be specific about what the project will do, what additionality the SIB funding will bring and what the project will achieve. Please provide clear information on how your project will contribute to the stated priority and what impact it will have. The project description should be no more than 300 words.

**Partnership Working:**

Please tell us whether you will work in partnership with other organisations and how you will ensure that your project adds value to existing service delivery. The project should complement and enhance current services and ensure it does not duplicate existing local projects. Projects demonstrating strong partnership working are encouraged.

**Experience of delivering similar Projects:**

Please tell us what experience or qualifications the organisation (including relevant staff/volunteers) has to deliver the project.

**Funding:**

Please provide details of what the funding will be used for (attach budget breakdown if relevant). Tell us how much you will secure/have secured from alternative sources, and the total overall cost of the project.

**Budget:** £30,000 (in total, **NOT** per application) – please see project brief.

**Please return the completed form BY NOON ON MONDAY 2 SEPTEMBER 2013 to:**

Karon Purvis, Washington Area Community Officer

Email: [karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk) or Scrutiny and Area Arrangements, Room 3.61, Civic Centre, Burdon Road, Sunderland, SR2 7DN

**SIB Application Form – Priority: Addressing Social Isolation and Provision of Support for Older People**

<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Project Title</b>	
<b>Address</b>	
<b>Postcode</b>	
<b>Telephone Number</b>	
<b>Contact Name</b>	
<b>Position in Organisation</b>	
<b>Email/Website</b>	
<b>Status of group (e.g. charity, etc)</b> Please provide your charity or company registration number. If you are a Community Interest Company please provide a copy of your Community Interest Report and state whether you are limited by share or guarantee.	
<b>Date project start (month and year)</b>	<b>Date project end (month and year)</b>
<b>Project Description:</b> Please describe the project and how it will help to address the Area Committee priority as outlined above. Please be specific and ensure you are clear about what the project will do and what outcomes it will achieve. You should also state what impact or benefit your project will have on the local community and state how you will demonstrate the outcomes(300 words)	

--

**Please describe how you will work in partnership with other local organisations and projects**

--

**What experience does the organisation have in delivering similar projects?**

--

**How much SIB funding is requested?**

--

**What will it be spent on? (budget headings/totals)**

**How much match funding has been/will be secured? (please include funding sources)**

**Declaration:**

The information contained in this application is accurate. I confirm that the organisation has/will have all of the relevant processes/procedures and governance arrangements in place in order to deliver the project.

Name:

Signature:

Position in Organisation:

Date:

Please forward the completed form to [karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk](mailto:karon.purvis@sunderland.gov.uk) or Scrutiny and Area Arrangements, Room 3.61, Civic Centre, Burdon Road, Sunderland, SR2 7DN by **NOON ON MONDAY 2 SEPTEMBER 2013**

## COMMUNITY CHEST 2013/2014 WASHINGTON AREA PROJECTS AGREED: FOR INFORMATION

WARD	PROJECT	AMOUNT	ALLOCATION 2013/2014	PREVIOUS APPROVALS	BALANCE REMAINING
Central	<b>The Village People:</b> Choir master, hospitality and room hire	£ 450			
	<b>Washington Age Concern:</b> Community event for Washington elderly residents	£ 200			
	<b>Washington Glebe Miners Banner Group:</b> Travel and refreshments Durham Miner's Gala	£ 500			
	<b>Totals (3 )</b>	<b>£1,150</b>	<b>16,024</b>	<b>£5,534</b>	<b>£9,340</b>
East	<b>North Biddick Bowling Club:</b> Coach travel – to Scotland	£ 700			
	<b>Columbia Pie &amp; Pea Club:</b> Pre Christmas Meal	£ 400			
	<b>Washington and Harraton Angling Club:</b> JCB hire to establish new pond	£1,000			
	<b>Washington Age Concern:</b> Community event for Washington elderly residents	£ 200			
	<b>Totals (4)</b>	<b>£2,300</b>	<b>18,799</b>	<b>£6,332</b>	<b>£10,167</b>
North	<b>St Bedes Primary School:</b> Repairs to car park	£1,000			
	<b>Washington F Pit Banner Community Group:</b> hire of buses and brass band Durham Miners Gala	£ 500			
	<b>Jsworth Miners Banner Group:</b> Transport & catering Durham Miner's Gala	£ 500			
	<b>Washington Women's Institute:</b> Purchase of sound equipment	£ 600			
	<b>Washington Age Concern:</b> Community event for Washington elderly residents	£ 200			
	<b>Totals (5)</b>	<b>£2,800</b>	<b>13,230</b>	<b>£2,280</b>	<b>£8,150</b>
South	<b>Washington Age Concern:</b> Community event for Washington elderly residents	£ 200			
	<b>Totals (1)</b>	<b>£200</b>	<b>21,093</b>	<b>£4,996</b>	<b>£15,897</b>
West	<b>Washington Age Concern:</b> Community event for Washington elderly residents	£ 200			
	<b>Totals (1)</b>	<b>£200</b>	<b>12,337</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>£12,137</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>14 applications agreed</b>	<b>£6,650</b>	<b>£81,483</b>	<b>£19,142</b>	<b>£55,691</b>