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## 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 This report considers the outcomes from the recent Regional Firefighter Recruitment Campaign which commenced on 4 November 2009. All four Fire and Rescue Services (FRS's) in the North East participated in the campaign and this report considers the effectiveness of the Positive Action Programme, brand campaign and outcomes of the process relating to the diversification of our workforce.

## 2 MARKETING AND POSITIVE ACTION

2.1 From January to October 2009 a Positive Action Programme was implemented and included recruitment process workshops and fitness sessions.
2.2 Following agreement by our regional partners, a variety of marketing initiatives using the new brand campaign was launched in October 2009 to promote the role of Firefighter to our underrepresented groups. The marketing initiatives included advertising via Real Radio and Spice FM, leaflet drops to underrepresented groups plus online advertising to specifically target females. A bespoke microsite was developed and was promoted via a new Internet address www.fireservicesnortheast.com as well as the production of a recruitment DVD and relevant literature.

3 AMENDMENT TO THE NATIONAL FIREFIGHTER SELECTION (NFS) PROCESS
3.1 The North East continues to support of the structure and ethos of the National Firefighter Selection (NFS) process and the principles of the system were applied. However following a comprehensive review of previous recruitments relevant amendments were made to the process to refresh it and make it more efficient and cost effective. These changes included:

- Amendments to the personal, qualities and attributes questions on the application form. Although still inline with the NFS questions this amendment was implemented to discourage applicants using previous application entries.
- Further development of partnership working by utilising HR and Occupational Psychology students from Northumbria University to assist in the sifting process which also had the added benefit of reducing resource costs.


## PREVENTING PROTECTING RGSPONDIDG

- Introduction of a new Firefighter question at interview which allowed candidates an opportunity to 'sell themselves' regarding their individual motivation for the role.
- Re-formatting of all interview questions and the development of a new and significantly less complex and more accurate marking matrix.


## 4 CURRENT WORKFORCE

4.1 In order to provide a statistical base line for this campaign, the current breakdown of the workforce is highlighted as follows:

Note: figures from the previous year are shown in ()

- $21.3 \%$ (19.8\%) of the workforce are female of which 4.84\% (4.82\%) are in the operational sector;
- $2.2 \%$ (1.95\%) of the workforce are from a black or minority ethnic background (which now includes 'other white') of which 1.7\% (1.66\%) are in the operational sector;
- $14.7 \%$ (6.7\%) of new entrants employed across the whole organisation were from a black or minority ethnic background;
- $3.7 \%(2.6 \%)$ of the workforce have some form of disability of which $2.4 \%$ (1.4\%) are from the operational sector.
4.2 Clearly there is an expectancy that the recruitment campaign will provide enough suitable candidates to continue the improvement cycle and therefore further diversify the workforce.


## 5 RECRUITMENT CAMPAIGN

5.1 The recruitment campaign commenced on 4 November 2010 and application packs were available over a six day period, a reduction by two days compared to the 2008 campaign to potentially reduce the number of application forms received.
5.2 An e-mail was sent detailing when and how to access an application pack to all candidates who had registered on the Firefighter database (approx 7000) plus application packs were sent to all underrepresented candidates who had either expressed an interest or had attended a positive action event. As well as the above marketing initiatives an advert was placed with Jobcentreplus and each Service forwarded the advert to all BME/ community strategic partners.
5.3 Applicants who downloaded an application pack from the internet were monitored regarding their equal opportunity status. $96 \%$ of applications were downloaded and the table below details a breakdown of the daily downloads and posted/collected packs.

|  | Total <br> Downloads | Total <br> emailed | Collected <br> in person | Total <br> posted | Daily <br> Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04 / 11 / 2009$ | 4669 | 2 | 53 | 173 | $\mathbf{4 8 9 7}$ |
| $05 / 11 / 2009$ | 1696 | 2 | 40 | 32 | $\mathbf{1 7 7 0}$ |
| $06 / 11 / 2009$ | 1027 | 1 | 22 | 19 | $\mathbf{1 0 6 9}$ |
| $07 / 11 / 2009$ | 599 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 599 |
| $08 / 11 / 2009$ | 893 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{8 9 3}$ |

PREVGNTING PROTECTING RGSPONDING

| $09 / 11 / 2009$ | 1350 | 0 | 17 | 53 | 1420 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Totals | $10234^{*}$ | 5 | 132 | 277 | 10648 |

* Members are advised that it is possible for a potential applicant to complete the monitoring questionnaire on more than one occasion in order to download a further copy of the application form and as a result total download figures may be slightly higher than the actual figure for unique downloads.
5.4 A measure was put in place to identify from each candidate where they had found out about the recruitment and the results show that the majority of people (39\%) found out about the campaign from the Fire Services North East microsite followed by a Fire Service employee (20\%).
5.5 As part of our comprehensive data collection used to better inform future campaigns, a degree of personal data is requested as follows:

Age profile: In the table below, it is clear that the majority of the respondents were 17-24, closely followed by the 25-35 age group.

| What is your age group? | No of <br> Responses |
| :--- | ---: |
| $17-24$ | 4807 |
| $25-35$ | 4502 |
| $36-45$ | 830 |
| $46-55$ | 79 |
| $56-65$ | 10 |
| $66+$ | 7 |

Gender profile - Of the 10234 potential applicants that downloaded application packs, $91 \%$ (9310) described themselves as 'Male' and 9\% (924) of all potential applicants described themselves as 'Female'.

Ethnicity profile - Of the 10234 potential applicants that downloaded application packs, $93.5 \%$ of applicants described themselves as 'White British' , $1.1 \%$ described themselves as 'White Other', making it the second most represented ethnic group of the potential applicants. The rest of the ethnicity statistics are included below.

## PREVEMTIMG PROTECTIDG RESPOMDInG

| Day | Total White British | Total White Irish | Total White Other | Total White and Black Caribbean | Total White and Asian | Total White and Black African | Total Other Mixed | Indian | Bangladeshi | Pakistani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4409 | 35 | 48 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 25 |
| 2 | 1562 | 19 | 23 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 11 |
| 3 | 963 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| 4 | 557 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 5 | 827 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 6 | 1258 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| Totals | 9576 | 92 | 113 | 59 | 41 | 26 | 24 | 14 | 29 | 64 |


| Day | Other Asian | Caribbean | African | Other Black | Chinese | Other ethnic | Prefer not to say |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 38 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 18 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 6 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Totals | 17 | 23 | 33 | 13 | 8 | 18 | 84 |

Disability profile - The vast majority (99\%) of potential applicants stated they did not have a disability.

| Do you consider yourself to have a |
| :---: | :---: |
| disability? |$\quad$ No of Responses $\quad$ (Yes $\quad 110$

Table 5
Sexuality profile - The majority of potential applicants were heterosexual (92\%) followed by those who preferred not to say.

| What is your <br> sexual <br> orientation? | No of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bisexual | 166 |
| Gay | 89 |
| Lesbian | 110 |
| Heterosexual | 9366 |
| Prefer not to say | 504 |

Religion or faith profile - The majority of potential applicants were Christian (39\%) followed by none (38\%).

## PREVENTIIG PROTECTING RGSPONDING

| What, if any, is your religion, belief or faith? (Tick one <br> box only) | No of Responses |
| :--- | :--- |
| None | 3974 |
| Christian | 5121 |
| Buddhist | 44 |
| Hindu | 26 |
| Jewish | 33 |
| Muslim | 138 |
| Sikh | 18 |
| None of the above | 568 |
| Prefer not to say | 313 |

5.6 From the 10648 potential candidates, 4379 applicants submitted a completed application form and this equates to a $41 \%$ application return rate. Compared to the 2008 campaign, the recent process saw an $80 \%$ increase in the number of candidates applying. In addition, $86.5 \%$ were classified as 'male', $7.9 \%$ were 'female' and 5.2\% described themselves as from a 'black or minority ethnic background' (BME).
5.7 The proportion of candidates applying to the process and split by Service were:

Cleveland 21\%, Durham 19\%, Northumberland 6.7\% and Tyne and Wear 50\%
5.8 Throughout the process a series of quality assurance checks was implemented to ensure a consistency of application and assessment.

## 6 RECRUITMENT ANALYSIS

6.1 The appendices attached provide the full statistics for the campaign, with the following points of note:

- The application stage saw a significant increase in the number of female and BME candidates applying compared to the 2008 campaign, 342 (76\%) and 222 (122\%) respectively.
- 1,205 candidates who were part of the positive action programme submitted an application form and have been tracked throughout the entire process.
- Across all categories there were similar proportions of candidates who failed at the first sift - incomplete application form, unspent convictions etc.
- At the first sift BME candidates achieved a lower pass rate than white males and females, although white male applicants for this Authority demonstrated a lower pass rate compared to the other categories.


## PREVEMTING PROTECTING RGSPONDInG

- On average 71\% of candidates failed at second sift which is the assessment of the responses to questions on the application form. It is worth noting that BME and white male candidates had very similar failure rates with females being slightly more successful at this stage. Unfortunately due to the relatively small number of underrepresented groups at the beginning of the process this meant that there were significantly less numbers of these individuals still in the process by the end of this stage which equated to 108 female and 55 BME candidates, and were less than the 2008 campaign ( 113 female/60 BME). However in comparison the applicants who had been part of the PA programme achieved a higher pass rate at this part of the process.
- At Written stage it is interesting to note that out of all of the groups females achieved the lowest pass rate. On further analysis it appears that females mainly failed in the following areas; Working with Numbers and Problem Solving.
- Historically both locally and nationally, a high percentage of BME candidates tend not to be successful at the written stage; however it is encouraging to note that this group regionally achieved a $60 \%$ pass rate ( $55.6 \%$ for Tyne and Wear applicants) in the 2009/10 process compared to a $40 \%$ pass rate in the 2008 campaign.
- At Role Related stage, $64.5 \%$ of females failed with the majority failing more than one test which is comparable with the 2008 output figures. The main de-selectors were equipment carry, ladder lift, enclosed space and equipment assembly. Out of all the categories BME candidates achieved the highest pass rate during these tests.
- Regionally 19 female and 18 BME candidates were successful at interview. In comparison to the 2008 process, this campaign has produced $17 \%$ fewer successful female candidates and a $38 \%$ increase in the number of successful BME candidates.
- On a positive note positive action candidates tended to achieve a higher pass rate at this stage compared to the other categories with white males achieving $72.7 \%$ pass whilst females and BME candidates achieved $92.8 \%$ and $80 \%$ respectively.
- From the 10 female and 11 BME candidates who had been successful at interview and nominated Tyne and Wear as their preferred Service, 80\% and $45 \%$ had been part of the positive action programme respectively.


## 7 CONCLUSIONS

7.1 The data indicates that the marketing initiatives, external marketing and positive action activities have made a significant impact in attracting a higher percentage of underrepresented groups to the process. It is possible therefore that through these initiatives we are beginning to see changes in society's perception regarding the role of a Firefighter.

## PREVGNTING PROTECTING RGSPONDING

7.2 Out of the 19 successful female candidates, 13 (68\%) were part of the positive action programme and similarly, 8 (44\%) of the 18 BME candidates were also part of the programme. The certainly supports the continuation and enhancement of the positive action programme prior to future recruitment.
7.3 Clearly the majority of underrepresented groups were not successful at the second sift stage of the process. Collectively this stage failed 68\% of these individuals (360 out of 523) and when dealing with limited numbers at the beginning this leaves significantly smaller numbers to progress to the other stages.
7.4 The Chief Fire Officer is undertaking a full review of the process to further inform and improve in the future. Included will be improvements to the positive action programme such as:

- Working with training providers to assist females regarding working with numbers.
- Developing a more tailored fitness programme to specifically assist females to increase their fitness levels.
- Develop elements of a programme to provide all delegates especially females the ability to handle problem solving situations from a Firefighters perspective.
7.5 It is likely that there will be enough available candidates within the system from this recruitment process to meet the Authority's requirements for the next two to three years. Therefore, this interim period provides an excellent opportunity to finalise the review and implement and embed any changes prior to the next recruitment drive.

8 RISK MANAGEMENT
8.1 The risk management implications are covered within the equality and fairness implications.

## 9 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There is the potential for efficiencies to be identified during the review; however this will be subject to a future report.

## EQUALITY AND FAIRNESS IMPLICATIONS

10.1 Although there are a range of candidates from underrepresented groups who have been successful throughout the process and are detailed on a waiting list, there is a risk that during the next 36 months those candidates who have not yet been successful in gaining a position may become disengaged and seek/find employment elsewhere. All candidates still need to satisfy the final stages of the recruitment process and there is also a risk that if the underrepresented candidates are not successful at this stage, then the Authority may not achieve the stretch targets.

## PREVEПTIDG PROTECIIDG RGGPOMDIDG

## 11 HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

11.1 There are no health and safety implications in respect of this report.

## 12 RECOMMENDATIONS

12.1 The Authority is recommended to:
a) Note the contents of the report;
b) Endorse the actions of the Chief Fire Officer instigating a full review of the process;
c) Support the development of an enhanced Positive Action programme build upon previous successes and to include specific areas highlighted in this report;
d) Receive further reports as appropriate.

## BACKGROUND PAPERS

The undermentioned Background Papers refer to the subject matter of the above report:

- Strategic Community Safety Plan 2010-2013
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## APPENDIX 1

2009/10 Firefighter Recruitment Statistics - All Services

| Category | Applied | Pass Rate \% | First Sift | Pass Rate \% | Second Sift | Pass Rate \% | Written | Pass Rate \% | Role Related | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Pass Rate } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Interview |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3790 | 92.8 | 3519 | 26.7 | 940 | 66.2 | 622 | 66.6 | 414 | 72.7 | 301 |
| Female | 347 | 91.6 | 318 | 34.0 | 108 | 57.4 | 62 | 35.5 | 22 | 86.4 | 19 |
| BME | 231 | 88.7 | 205 | 26.8 | 55 | 60.0 | 33 | 69.7 | 23 | 78.3 | 18 |
| Not specified | 42 | 81.0 | 34 | 35.3 | 12 | 66.7 | 8 | 25.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 2 |
| Total | 4379 | 88.9 | 3892 | 28.4 | 1107 | 64.9 | 718 | 63.8 | 458 | 73.8 | 338 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PA Female | 130 | 93.1 | 121 | 38.0 | 46 | 58.7 | 27 | 51.8 | 14 | 92.9 | 13 |
| PA BME | 75 | 92.0 | 69 | 40.6 | 28 | 50.0 | 14 | 71.0 | 10 | 80.0 | 8 |

2009/10 Tyne and Wear Results

| Category | Applied | Pass <br> Rate \% | First Sift | Pass <br> Rate \% | Second Sift | Pass Rate \% | Written | Pass Rate \% | Role Related | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Pass Rate } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Interview |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1907 | 81.4 | 1552 | 32.2 | 499 | 66.3 | 331 | 69.5 | 230 | 76.5 | 176 |
| Female | 171 | 94.2 | 161 | 37.9 | 61 | 54.1 | 33 | 36.4 | 12 | 83.3 | 10 |
| BME | 125 | 93.6 | 117 | 23.1 | 27 | 55.6 | 15 | 80.0 | 12 | 91.6 | 11 |
| Not specified | 16 | 87.5 | 14 | 28.6 | 4 | 60.0 | 3 | 0.3 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 |
| Total | 2199 | 83.4 | 1833 | 32.1 | 589 | 64.3 | 379 | 67.0 | 254 | 77.6 | 197 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PA Female | 68 | 95.6 | 65 | 40.0 | 26 | 50.0 | 13 | 69.2 | 9 | 88.9 | 8 |
| PA BME | 42 | 90.5 | 38 | 36.8 | 14 | 57.1 | 8 | 75.0 | 6 | 83.3 | 5 |

## APPENDIX 2

## Comparison of 2008 \& 2009/10 Recruitment Statistics

| Category | Applied |  |  | Pass Sift 1 |  |  | Pass Stage 2 |  |  | Pass Written |  |  | Pass Role Related |  |  | Pass Interview |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 / \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 / \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 / \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 / \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 / \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2008 | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 / \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ |
| Male | 3790 | 2233 | 1755 | 3519 | 2164 | 1717 | 940 | 893 | 477 | 622 | 527 | 312 | 414 | 365 | 280 | 301 | 345 | 275 |
| Female | 347 | 194 | 143 | 318 | 192 | 143 | 108 | 113 | 48 | 62 | 75 | 25 | 22 | 27 | 9 | 19 | 23 | 9 |
| BME | 231 | 122 | 27 | 205 | 117 | 23 | 55 | 60 | 7 | 33 | 24 | 4 | 23 | 16 | 4 | 18 | 13 | 4 |
| Not specified | 42 | 9 | 13 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4379 | 2436 | 1911 | 3892 | 2361 | 1860 | 1107 | 1007 | 525 | 718 | 603 | 337 | 458 | 393 | 289 | 338 | 368 | 284 |

- All data detailed in Appendix 1 \& 2 includes candidates who have passed each part of the process but may have withdrawn themselves during the process or did not turn up for assessment
- Candidates highlighted under the BME category may also be included in the male/female sections
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