CABINET MEETING – 8 APRIL 2009

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET – PART I

Title of Report:

Comprehensive Performance Assessment – Benefits Service Assessment – Auditors Judgement 2008

Author(s):

City Treasurer

Purpose of Report:

To advise Cabinet of the outcome of the Comprehensive Performance Assessment – Benefits Service Assessment – Auditors Judgement 2008

Description of Decision:

Cabinet is requested to note the outcome of the Auditors Comprehensive Performance Assessment – Benefits Service Assessment – Auditors Judgement 2008

Is the decision consistent with the Budget/Policy Framework?

*Yes

If not, Council approval is required to change the Budget/Policy Framework Suggested reason(s) for Decision:

To make Cabinet aware of the performance of the Council in relation to the Benefits Service Assessment for 2008 as independently assessed by the Audit Commission

Alternative options to be considered and recommended to be rejected:

None recommended

Is this a "Key Decision" as defined in the Constitution? No	Relevant Review Committee:
Is it included in the Forward Plan? No	Policy and Co-ordination

CABINET - 8 APRIL 2009

COMPREHENSIVE PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT – BENEFITS SERVICE ASSESSMENT – AUDITORS JUDGEMENT 2008

Report of the City Treasurer

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 To advise Cabinet of the outcome of the Comprehensive Performance Assessment – Benefits Service Assessment – Auditors Judgement 2008.

2. Description of Decision

2.1 Cabinet is requested to note the outcome of the Auditors Comprehensive Performance Assessment – Benefits Service Assessment – Auditors Judgement 2008.

3. Background to Benefits Service Assessment

- 3.1 The current Comprehensive Performance Assessment Benefits Service Assessment evaluates how well Councils manage their Benefits Service. The performance measures cover the following three themes:
 - Claims Administration
 - Security
 - User Focus.
- 3.2 The Audit Commission judges each theme across the following scale:
 - 1 Inadequate performance below minimum requirements
 - 2 Adequate performance only at minimum requirements
 - 3 Performing well consistently above minimum requirements
 - 4 Performing strongly well above minimum requirements
- 3.3 In January 2008, the Audit Commission introduced "CPA The Harder Test". Previously, CPA assessments were based upon measuring the enabler score, which consisted of demonstrating best practice compliance with 65 input measures, such as the quality of the claim form, and also the performance measure score, which consisted of 19 performance measure outputs, such as the speed of processing new claims. A change was made in 2008 to judge performance only on 13 of the most testing performance measure outputs and none of the enabler scores, making a 4 out of 4 score rating more difficult to achieve in those Authorities where inputs were rated as excellent but performance, in absolute terms, was not as good.

4. Summary Findings

- 4.1 A copy of the report received from the Audit Commission is attached for consideration.
- 4.2 The report findings are summarised below.

Overall Score

4.3 The Council has retained its 4 out of 4 score and its performance over the previous years can be demonstrated as:

2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
4	4	4	4	4	4	4

4.4 The following table summarises the scores achieved in each Area of the performance measures where 1 = Inadequate Performance, 2 = Adequate Performance, 3 = Performing Well, 4 = Performing Strongly:

Area	Performance Measure	2008
Claims	PM1 – Average speed of processing	4
	new claims	
	PM2 - % of new claims outstanding	4
	over 50 days	
	PM3 - % of new claims decided within	4
	14 days of receiving all information	
	PM4 - % of rent allowance claims paid	3
Administration	on time or within 7 days of decision	
	being made	
	PM5 – Average speed of processing	3
	for change of circumstances	
	PM6 – % of cases for which the	4
	calculation of the amount of benefit	
	due is correct	
	PM10 – Achievement of target in the	4
	number of reductions in benefit	
	entitlement	
	PM11 - % of data matches resolved	4
	within two months	
Security	PM16 – number of successful	4
	sanctions per 1000 caseload (6	
	months)	
	PM16 – number of successful	4
	sanctions per 1000 caseload (12	
	months)	
User Focus	PM17 - % of applications for	3
	reconsiderations actioned and notified	
	within 4 weeks	
	PM18 - % of appeals submitted to the	4
	tribunals service in 4 weeks	
	PM19 - % of appeals submitted to the	4
	tribunals service (inc. those in PM18)	
	in 3 months	

5. Benefits Service Assessment

- 5.1 From 2009 the auditor's assessment of the Benefits Service will be based on key lines of enquiry and National Indicators and Local Indicators performance.
- 5.2 The key lines of enquiry will deliver two judgements:
 - How good is the service?
 - What prospects are there for improvement?

Within these judgements are a series of themed questions, with subsets of questions. The themed questions are:

- What has the service aimed to achieve?
- Is the service meeting the needs of the community and/or user?
- Is the service delivering value for money?
- What is the service track record in delivering improvements?
- How well does the service manage performance?
- Does the service have the capacity to improve?

5.3 The National Indicators are:

NI 180	The changes in Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit entitlements within the year
NI 181	The time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events

5.4 The Local Performance Indicators are:

76b	Housing Benefit and Council Tax Securing – Number of Investigators
76c	Housing Benefit and Council Tax Security – Number of Investigations
76d	Housing Benefit and Council Tax Security – Number of Prosecutions and Sanctions
78a	Average time for processing new claims (Housing and Council Tax Benefit)
78b	Speed of processing – change in circumstances for Housing and Council Tax benefit claims
79a	Accuracy of processing Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit claims
79b(i)	Percentage of recoverable overpayments recovered (deemed recoverable) (HB)
79b(ii)	Percentage of recoverable overpayments recovered (debt outstanding) (HB)
79b(iii)	Percentage of recoverable overpayments recovered (overpayment debt) (HB)

5.5 An exercise is currently underway to gather evidence and progress actions to ensure the key line of enquiry standards are met for 2009. In addition, the National and Local Performance Indicators are being monitored and reported and scrutinised on a monthly basis.

6. Suggested reason(s) for Decision:

6.1 To advise Cabinet of the performance of the Council in relation to its Benefits Service Assessment 2008 as independently assessed by the Audit Commission.

7. Alternative options to be considered and recommended to be rejected

7.1 None recommended.

Background Papers

None