Appendix T

City Services Scrutiny Panel: Waste and Recycling in Sunderland – Policy Review Recommendations 2012/12

				Review Progre	ss Summary				
•	not on schedule 1		on schedule• undeliv30				eved	Total	
						7		11	
Ref	Recommendation		Action		Owner	Timescale	RAG	Progress	
A	Recommendation That further update rep provided based on the the investigative research w an intelligence led appr the formulation of a plan future waste and recycl communications activiti	findings of ch. The vill allow oach to n for ing	Action Procurement exercia agency to investigat and behaviours of S residents towards re- waste, to inform futur communications str submissions to inclu of research activitie fulfilling the objective Inception meeting Quantitative engager collection – street s survey Qualitative engager collection – Focus of Data collection and Research findings – presentation Formulate a commu- to include key action directed by research budget limitations	te the attitudes Sunderland ecycling and ure ategies. All ude a schedule s aimed at re of the brief. ement and data urvey and online ment and data groups analysis - report and unications plan ns and dates -	Owner Communica tions Manager	TimescaleApril - June2013June 2013July/ August2013August/ Sept2013Sept 2013Oct 2013April 2014	RAG	Progress It has been necessary to move the completion date of communications plan back and all dates that follow on from this. The plan is intended to evolve and accommodate the influence of external and internal factors on the service. The intention in delaying the final plan is that we capture the current climate and maximise activity impact.	

Appendix T

City Services Scrutiny Panel: Waste and Recycling in Sunderland – Policy Review Recommendations 2012/12

В	That the delivery of the new communications campaign be monitored including activities on promoting awareness and involvement in recycling and on tackling inappropriate waste presentation and fly tipping	Deliver phase 1 of communications campaign Seek feedback and opinion from residents and Members on key actions undertaken and engage	Communica tions Manager	June 2014 Sept 2014	•	
		Area Place Boards. Monitor and measure the impact of communications campaign through tangible indicators to include: i. Recognition of council messages ii. Feedback from residents iii. General monitoring of participation iv. % increase in tonnage recyclate collected v. % reduction in reported fly tipping incidents vi. Success evaluation – Annual review		March 2016		
С	That further reports be provided on the progress on the delivery of a recycling incentives scheme, the impact on awareness and participation in recycling services and the impacts on recycling performance	 Recycling Incentives Scheme Intelligence gathering Formulate campaign – directed by research findings and recommendations iii. Campaign launch and subsequent communications activities 	Communica tions Manager and Waste Manager	 i. July 2013 – April 2014 ii. April – May 2014 iii. June 2014 – March 2015 iv. April – June 2015 		Information is being gathered from the previous incentives scheme funded by DEFRA and operated regionally in which the Council participated to inform on the benefits of a new scheme operated by the Council. The old scheme we participated in which ended late 2013 targeted specific items ie aerosols. Indications are that

Appendix T

City Services Scrutiny Panel: Waste and Recycling in Sunderland – Policy Review Recommendations 2012/12

iv. Impact evaluation of activities undertaken to date		the scheme did raise awareness of recycling. Tonnage of blue bin recycling collected increased only slightly in 2013/14 over the previous year. Some resident awareness (how people use the bin) needs to be addressed by the new communications plan.
General Periodic Reporting i. Update report followed by six monthly report updates (for the period of the campaign)	Communica tions April 2014 to March 2016 and Waste Manager	