

Interim Annual

governance



Sunderland City Council

Audit 2009/10





The Audit Commission is an independent watchdog, driving economy, efficiency and effectiveness in local public services to deliver better outcomes for everyone.

Our work across local government, health, housing, community safety and fire and rescue services means that we have a unique perspective. We promote value for money for taxpayers, auditing the £200 billion spent by 11,000 local public bodies.

As a force for improvement, we work in partnership to assess local public services and make practical recommendations for promoting a better quality of life for local people. Ladies and Gentlemen

2009/10 Interim Annual Governance Report

I am pleased to present the results of my audit work to date for 2009/10.

I discussed and agreed a draft of the report with the Director of Financial Resources on 20 September 2010 and updated it as issues have been resolved.

My report sets out the key issues that you should consider.

It asks you to:

- consider the matters raised in the report before approving changes to the financial statements (pages 7 to 12);
- take note of the adjustments to the financial statements set out in this report (Appendix 1);
- note the reasons why I will be unable to issue an opinion by 30 September 2010 (pages 4 to 5);
- note my findings in relation to the value for money conclusion (pages 13 to 14);
- agree your response to the proposed action plan (Appendix 3).

Yours faithfully

Steve Nicklin District Auditor

21 September 2010

Key messages

This report summarises the findings from the 2009/10 audit which is substantially complete, except for dealing with any matters that might emerge from the public inspection of the Council's accounts. It includes the messages arising from my audit of your financial statements and the results of the work I have undertaken to assess your arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources.

| Financial statements | Results | Page |
|---|---------|------|
| Unqualified audit opinion | Yes i | 7 |
| Financial statements free from material error | Yes | 7 |
| Adequate internal control environment | Yes | 8 |
| Value for money | Results | Page |
| Adequate arrangements to secure value for money | Yes | 13 |

Audit opinion

1 During the audit, the Director of Financial Resources advised me that the Council was unable to proceed with the public inspection process because of legal uncertainty about disclosure of commercially confidential information. The position is likely to become clearer later this year following a Court of Appeal decision in the Veolia case. As a consequence, I agreed to arrange for the audit to be 'called' again when the Council is able to meet the requirements of the public inspection period.

2 In the meantime, I have continued to carry out the audit of the financial statements. This work is largely complete, amendments to the statements have been identified and agreed with officers, and revised accounts have been prepared for re-approval by Members. Based on the work to date I would be in a position to issue an unqualified opinion.

3 However, I will not be able to issue my opinion or certificate by 30 September, as I will need to wait for the audit to be re-advertised, for the public inspection period to be completed, and this will include giving local electors the opportunity to exercise their rights to raise objections to the accounts with the auditor. It is likely that I will not be able to issue my final governance report and issue my opinion on the Council's accounts until January 2011 at the earliest.

Financial statements

4 My audit identified a number of errors in the statements, which have been amended by officers.

5 I have identified a need to review working papers supporting the financial statements in future years, and I have also identified the scope for the Council to streamline the financial statements that it produces. It is hoped that both of these measures will improve the quality of the financial statements and reduce overall pressure on officers and on the audit.

Value for money

6 Subject to any issue emerging as a result of the public inspection of the accounts it appears to me that the Council has adequate arrangements in place to secure value for money, and improvements have been made since my last review.

Independence

7 I confirm that there were no relationships giving rise to a threat to independence, objectivity and integrity.

Next steps

This report identifies the key messages that you should consider. It includes only matters of governance interest that have come to my attention in performing my audit. My audit is not designed to identify all matters that might be relevant to you.

- consider the matters raised in the report before approving the financial statements (pages 7 to 12);
- take note of the adjustments to the financial statements set out in this report (Appendix 1);
- take note of the reasons why I will be unable to issue an opinion by 30 September 2010 (page 7);
- note my findings in relation to the value for money conclusion (pages 13 to 14);
- agree your response to the proposed action plan (Appendix 3).

⁸ I ask the Audit Committee to:

Financial statements

The Council's financial statements and annual governance statement are important means by which the Council accounts for its stewardship of public funds. As Council members you have final responsibility for these statements. It is important that you consider my findings before you adopt the financial statements and the annual governance statement.

Opinion on the financial statements

9 During the audit, the Director of Financial Resources advised me that the Council was unable to proceed with the public inspection process because of legal uncertainty about disclosure of commercially confidential information. The position is likely to become clearer later this year following a Court of Appeal decision in the Veolia case. As a consequence, I agreed to arrange for the audit to be 'called' again when the Council is able to meet the requirements of the public inspection period.

10 In the meantime, I have continued to carry out the audit of the financial statements. This work is largely complete, amendments to the statements have been identified and agreed with officers, and revised accounts have been prepared for re-approval by Members.

11 However, I will not be able to issue my opinion or certificate before 30 September, as I will need to wait for the audit to be re-advertised, for the public inspection period to be completed, and this will include giving local electors the opportunity to exercise their rights to raise objections to the accounts with the auditor. When this process is complete and I have dealt with any matters arising I will issue a final governance report to Members.

Errors in the financial statements

12 The draft statements were approved before the end of June, allowing us to start our audit as planned.

13 It is recognised that competing demands on officer time, for example, in year government grant reductions, which could not have been anticipated when the closing of accounts work programme and timetable were produced, had an adverse effect on the production of working papers in respect of the accounts in advance of the audit. However, we have good working relationships with officers, who were always very helpful and ensured that key issues were identified and addressed. Our view is that officers were always able to provide us with information and detailed explanations.

14 To help to eliminate errors in the accounts, assist in the Council's own quality assurance process and to ensure an efficient audit, the working papers supporting the accounts need to be comprehensive.

15 A number of amendments were made to the draft statements. None of the amendments were material.

16 One set of amendments were made to address issues identified by officers which they had intended to be reflected in the draft statements presented to Members at the June Audit Committee, but which were omitted in error.

17 In addition, a number of amendments were identified as a result of the audit.

18 All amendments have been discussed and agreed with officers, and are reflected in the revised statements presented for re-approval by officers. We have listed the most significant amendments in Appendix 1, including an amendment that arose in relation to a non-adjusting post balance sheet event.

Recommendation

R1 Arrangements need to be reviewed to ensure that the accounts are fully supported by comprehensive working papers in future years.

Important weaknesses in internal control

19 I have reported separately on my interim work on the system of internal controli.

20 One of the issues raised in that report is worthy of repetition in this report, and is in relation to controls over journal authorisation.

21 There are two types of journal entry: online; and mass generated. We found that online journals do not require authorisation for each new journal entry. In addition, there are no higher-level authorisation procedures required for high value journals for both types of journal entry. There is a risk that inappropriate or inaccurate journal entries could be processed without scrutiny, resulting in a potential for material misstatement in the financial statements.

22 We discussed with officers whether there should be independent authorisation of all journal entries, including online journals and all high value journals. The view expressed by officers was that this would not be an efficient use of officer time, and that there were compensating controls in place, such as the limitation on who can raise journals and in what circumstances, and the wider check of budgetary control. However, officers have agreed to carry out a review of all journals over £500,000 as part of the annual closedown of accounts process. This exercise was carried out for 2009/10 and no issues were arising.

23 To obtain the assurance we needed to give our audit opinion on the financial statements, our response to this control weakness was that we substantively tested journals as part of our post statements work. There were no issues arising from our work.

24 In planning my audit I identified specific risks and areas of judgement that I have considered as part of my audit.

Table 1: Key areas of judgement and audit risk

| Issue or risk | Finding |
|--|--|
| Equal pay Equal pay cases pose a significant financial risk to the Council and represent a large potential financial liability. The liabilities are still not clear, due to legal cases and national issues that need to be resolved. | We have maintained a dialogue with officers in relation to this issue, to ensure that the disclosure made in the financial statements is up-to-date and accurate. I have concluded that it remains appropriate for these potential liabilities to be disclosed as a Contingent Liability, and this is appropriately reflected in the financial statements. |

Issue or risk

Changes in the SORP, including IFRS issues

There are changes in the SORP, most notably the implementation of IFRS requirements in relation to IFRIC 12. There are also changes in accounting for elements of council tax and NNDR, and other issues.

Finding

Officers have kept us up-to-date with their plans and proposals. Consultants have assisted in relation to IFRIC 12, in respect of bringing PFI assets on to the balance sheet.

We substantively tested the accounting entries in relation to PFI. Some amendments were agreed to the statements as a result of our review, although the amendments required were not material in nature.

We also tested the other areas of change in this year's accounts, and found that some adjustments needed to be made to NNDR and cash flows to reflect new SORP requirements. In addition, the new disclosures for officers emoluments were initially only included for 2009/10, and comparative figures needed to be added for 2008/09.

We have continued our dialogue with officers in relation to full IFRS implementation in the 2010/11 accounts.

Issue or risk

Accounting for Newcastle International Airport

The Council's share of Newcastle International Airport should be included in the balance sheet at fair value. There is a need for a full valuation to be carried out at 31 March 2010.

Finding

We reviewed the latest valuation of the airport as part of our post statements work.

We noted that there are several appropriate methods for valuing the airport and these were provided by the valuer.

We also noted that although the valuer's view was that the lower valuation results were in his view most appropriate, that the Council has reflected the value at the highest end of the valuation.

Officers provided us with a rationale for adopting the high end valuation.

I have accepted the explanations provided by officers.

Given the current economic uncertainties, I recommend that a valuation is once again carried out next year, and that the Council's rationale for the most appropriate valuation method is discussed with the valuer so that the valuer and the Council's view can be rationalised and hopefully a consistent view will emerge.

Officers will need to discuss this with other partner authorities to ensure consistency among all shareholders in the airport.

We have discussed progress on

issues raised in previous audits and

Other issues including previous findings and issues arising from interim work

matters arising from our interim work with officers and we followed up improvements made during the post statements audit. I am satisfied with the improvements that have been

made.

Recommendation

R2 A valuation for Newcastle International Airport should be carried out again next year, and the Council's rationale for the most appropriate valuation method should be discussed with the valuer so that the valuer and the Council's view can be rationalised and hopefully a consistent view will emerge. Officers should again discuss this with other partner authorities to ensure consistency among all shareholders in the airport.

Accounting practice and financial reporting

25 I consider other aspects of your financial reporting, and there is one issue I wish to report to Members.

26 The financial statements are a necessarily complex and detailed document in order to comply with the requirements of the CIPFA SORP 2009. They will become even more complex from 2010/11 with the implementation of International Financial Reporting Standards.

27 It is for the Council to determine the content of its financial statements, but my view is that there is scope to streamline the existing document to improve its readability and reduce the effort needed by officers to produce them, whilst maintaining compliance with accounting standards. My observation is that in general the statements go beyond the minimum level of disclosure required by the SORP.

28 The financial statements are extremely comprehensive and detailed, but they are very long at 142 pages, and they also use a particularly small font size. There are some relatively simple measures that could be taken:

- show figure in £000's, not to the nearest £;
- summarise some tables to be more focused and show less detail;
- shorten some notes to be more focused and show less detail; and
- remove the existing duplication of information within the statements by streamlining disclosures.

29 This is an area worthy of consideration for future years. More streamlined, focused statements that meet the minimum requirements may deliver efficiencies for officers, enable the tight deadlines to be met more easily and make for a smoother audit process.

Recommendation

R3 A review should be undertaken to identify the scope for producing a more streamlined and focused set of financial statements for 2010/11.

Value for money

I am required to assess whether the Council put in place satisfactory corporate arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the value for money conclusion.

Value for money conclusion

30 I assess your arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources against criteria specified by the Audit Commission. My conclusions on each of the areas are in Appendix 2, but these may change as a result of any matters brought to my attention through the public inspection of the accounts process.

31 My findings to date are that the Council has managed its finances effectively, and has delivered efficiencies and improved outcomes.

- **32** The Council continues to demonstrate particular strengths, including:
- The successful integration of service and financial planning which has secured significant efficiency savings in recent years, whilst at the same time investing in corporate priorities and improvements for local people.
- Well established and effective processes for good governance and internal control.

33 There have been further improvements in the Council's arrangements. These include:

- the development of a single investment plan with the Local Strategic Partnership;
- delivery of major projects but also improved outcomes at an area level in reduced crime, increased youth provision, and improvements in local environmental services; and
- better procurement arrangements that saved money.

34 The Council has also identified scope for further improvement, including:

- extending effective commissioning and procurement arrangements;
- making efficiencies and savings through better asset management; and
- enhanced workforce management.

35 The Council recognises that the financial pressures it faces demand more far reaching measures. It is working on transformational change through its overarching Sunderland Way of Working programme. This sets out how the Council does things now, how it is going to operate in the future and promises further significant change over the next few years.

36 The Council is relatively well placed to meet the future challenges. However, the pressures on public spending are so great that Members will inevitably be faced with difficult choices in relation to future service provision. Future audit work will likely focus on financial resilience and the way in which the Council balances the delivery of cuts in public spending with the maintenance of effective service provision.

37 Subject to any matters arising from the public inspection of the Council's accounts I intend to issue an unqualified conclusion stating that the Council had adequate arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources. I do not plan to issue my value for money conclusion until I have resolved the issues that will enable me to issue my opinion on the financial statements.

Glossary

Annual governance statement

38 Governance is about how local government bodies ensure that they are doing the right things, in the right way, for the right people, in a timely, inclusive, open, honest and accountable manner.

39 It comprises the systems and processes, and cultures and values, by which local government bodies are directed and controlled and through which they account to, engage with and, where appropriate, lead their communities.

40 The annual governance statement is a public report by the Council on the extent to which it complies with its own local governance code, including how it has monitored the effectiveness of its governance arrangements in the year, and on any planned changes in the coming period.

Audit closure certificate

41 A certificate that I have completed the audit following statutory requirements. This marks the point when I have completed my responsibilities for the audit of the period covered by the certificate.

Audit opinion

42 On completion of the audit of the accounts, auditors must give their opinion on the financial statements, including:

- whether they give a true and fair view of the financial position of the audited body and its spending and income for the year in question; and
- whether they have been prepared properly, following the relevant accounting rules.

Qualified

43 The auditor has some reservations or concerns.

Unqualified

44 The auditor does not have any reservations.

Value for money conclusion

45 The auditor's conclusion on whether the audited body has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Appendix 1 – Amendments to the draft accounts

I identified the following misstatements during my audit and managers have made the necessary adjustments. I bring them to your attention to aid you in fulfilling your governance responsibilities.

Table 2: Amendments to accounts

The following amendments were made

| | | Collection Fund | | Balance sheet | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Adjusted misstatements | Nature of adjustment | Dr £000s | Cr £000s | Dr £000s | Cr £000s |
| Collection Fund | The adjustment for write- offs had been included twice in the Costs of Collection figure. This has a direct effect on the Payment to the Pool figure | 944 | 944 | Nil | Nil |
| Cash Flow Statement | The Increase in Other Liquid Deposits in respect of NNDR had been calculated on an accruals basis instead of a cash basis. There was a difference of £4,843k. | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |

46 A number of other adjustments were agreed relating to prior year comparative figures and to notes. These included:

- The online version of the draft accounts contained differences to those approved by the Audit and Governance Committee. Restated 2008/09 Balance Sheet Infrastructure assets and the corresponding figure in Note 1 had been increased by £491k, which balanced the restated 2008/09 Balance Sheet. An amendment of £491k was also made to Note 1 restated 2008/09 Debtors figure.
- The restated 2008/09 Cash Flow Statement Increase in Other Liquid Deposits figure in respect of NNDR had been calculated on an accruals basis instead of a cash basis. An adjustment of £1,004k was required.

- The following restated 2008/09 figures in Note 1 did not agree to the statements:
 - Net Cash Flows from Revenue Activities (Cash Flow Statement) differed by £6,862k;
 - Interest Paid (Cash Flow Statement) differed by £3,388k; and
 - General Fund balance carried forward (SMGFB) differed by £285k;
- The restated 2008/09 Capital Financing Requirement required a reclassification within the statement of £1,160k to reflect the prior year MRP adjustment.
- Note 7a did not include the prior year comparative figures for senior officers' remuneration.
- Note 7a did not include all pay bandings and included some incorrect numbers in the details disclosed.
- Note 10 Highways Maintenance agency costs were understated by £5,100k.
- Note 10 Other Payments for agency costs were overstated by £1,000k.
- Note 2 Building Regulations expenditure was overstated by £300k.
- the Certificate of the Director of Financial Resources was amended from 'presents fairly' to presenting a 'true and fair view'.
- the Annual Governance Statement needed to be amended to clarify some issues.
- A number of other minor amendments were required.

Non-adjusting post balance sheet event

47 An additional note has been added to the financial statements to reflect a material non-adjusting post balance sheet event.

48 In its budget on 22 June 2010 the Government announced that future increases in public sector pensions will reflect movements in the Consumer Price Index (CPI), effective from April 2011. Increases are currently determined by reference to the Retail Price Index (RPI). The impact of this is to reduce future pension liabilities as CPI has consistently increased at a slower rate than RPI.

49 Officers obtained an estimate of the impact on future pensions liabilities from the actuary and this is now disclosed in the revised financial statements.

| VFM criteria Met | Summary of conclusions to date | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Managing finances | _ | | | |
| Planning for financial health | There is a comprehensive medium term financial plan. Service plans, corporate strategies, improvement targets and financial budgets are integrated and set out required staffing resources. There have been no significant overspends in recent years and the Council is well placed in terms of balances and reserves. Improvements last year include: a Single Investment Plan, agreed with the Local Strategic Partnership; revenue budgets and the capital programme reported for each portfolio to improve the robustness of planning; and longer term efficiency planning is in place. The Council recognises that the financial pressures it faces demand more far reaching measures, and it is working towards transformational change through its overarching Sunderland Way of Working (SWOW) programme. This sets out how the Council does things and how it is going to operate in the future. It comprises three corporate programmes (i.e. Business Transformation, Community Leadership and Economic Regeneration) as well as Directorate improvement programmes and promises further significant change over the next few years. | | | |

| VFM criteria | Met | Summary of conclusions to date |
|---|-----|---|
| VFW criteria Understanding costs and achieving efficiencies | Wet | Summary or conclusions to date The Council has a sound understanding of its costs and performance and has continued to achieve efficiencies. Efficiencies of £9.44 million have been reinvested in priority and lower performing areas to deliver improved outcomes. Cumulative efficiency savings of £22.9 million have been achieved in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010. Overall, costs are mid range and performance is generally good, but some areas perform poorly in comparison with other metropolitan district councils and there are areas of high cost. These are understood by the Council and reflect investment in priority areas. For example, relatively high leisure costs reflect long term investment and improvement to facilities, linked to a number of strategic priorities including reducing health inequalities. Long term plans are in place with partners in the South of Tyne & Wear Waste Partnership. Resources are being earmarked to support the ongoing requirements and local actions implemented to improve both recycling rates and reduce landfill. Short term savings have been achieved on ancillary contracts following a joint approach to procurement and one-off investment in recycling. Resources have been redirected to services for young people not in employment, education or training (NEETs) which has reduced their number. Increased mainstream investment in the Safer Sunderland to become one of the safest cities in the North, with overall crime continuing to fall and remaining below the national average, as well as sustained reduction in all major categories. |
| Financial reporting | | The Council has an integrated approach to collecting and producing both financial and non-financial performance data and it considers financial information alongside related performance information relating to strategic objectives. It promptly identifies variations in financial performance and forecasts financial outturn and takes corrective action where appropriate. |

| VFM criteria | Met | Summary of conclusions to date |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|
| | | The Council's accounts meet statutory requirements and relevant reporting standards. Its website and other information is available in a wide range of formats including foreign languages, and voicetape. The Council used its citizens panel and MORI polls to seek the views of stakeholders about what information is required in its external reporting and tailors it accordingly. |
| Governing the bus | siness | |
| Commissioning and procurement | | Procurement arrangements continued to improve. Better procurement delivered £863k savings in 2009/10, well ahead of the target of £750k for the year. This has been achieved through a wide range of corporate procurement activity achieving savings such as e-auctions £65k and business travel and accommodation a 10% saving. The Category Manager corporate team has expanded in the last year to include service expertise and the use of e-catalogues is now council wide. Following trials the innovative 'Buy Sunderland First' procurement software is live from April 2010, this improved electronic procurement also assists increased use of local suppliers in the recession. There are examples of good commissioning work with partners such as youth commissioning work and specifically the XL Youth Village that increased youth engagement, reduced ASB and criminal behaviour and improved community cohesion. The Council is seeking to improve consistency in commissioning across its services. |

| VFM criteria | Met | Summary of conclusions to date |
|-----------------------|-----|---|
| Use of information | | The Council produces relevant and reliable data and works with partners on the quality of data which is relevant to shared priorities. There is a clear corporate statement on the importance of high data quality standards and guidance on the Council's approach to achieving them, against which directorates are required to demonstrate progress. Members recognise the importance of good data quality and a Cabinet member acts as data quality 'champion'. Good progress to improve quality of data and information includes strengthening area arrangements, building key data sets with partners and improved Scrutiny involvement with their recommendations now part of corporate reports. However, it is too early for new Performance Plus software benefits to be realised, as this only went live across all of the Council from April 2010. The Council has developed a consistent corporate framework across all partnership arrangements. |
| Good governance | | This has enabled the Council to assess the performance of its partnerships, and address areas which need to be improved. The Sunderland Community Strategy sets out a clear vision for the City for 2025. This and the Local Area Agreement (LAA) demonstrate a good understanding of the key issues which need to be addressed. There is a comprehensive code of practice for partnership working which is subject to annual review. The Council's constitution is regularly updated and clearly describes roles and responsibilities. The Council has adopted codes of conduct for its members and staff that are widely publicised. Policies for whistleblowing and "comments, compliments and complaints" are also up to date and well publicised. The Standards Committee has met regularly throughout the year and has considered a number of Council policies on governance issues as well as receiving and assessing complaints and scrutiny has been strengthened |

| VFM criteria | Met | Summary of conclusions to date |
|--------------|-----|--|
| | | The Sunderland Community Leadership Programme has included a review of area governance arrangements. The Area Committee Constitution has been changed to provide a clearer focus on implementing local area plans, managing performance and engaging residents. As a result Area Committees are now more responsive and able to target local services. For example, closer ties were made with the police to help to reduce crime and improvements have been made in graffiti removal, refuse collection, litter, dog fouling and grass cutting services, cycle paths and tackling of fly tipping. |

| | Mot | Summany of conclusions to data |
|--------------|-----|---|
| VFM criteria | Met | Summary of conclusions to date |
| Risk | | Risk management is fully embedded in |
| management | | corporate processes. |
| and internal | | The Partnership Code of Practice requires all |
| | | significant partnerships to have their own risk |
| control | | registers. Demonstrating effective risk |
| | | management has enabled the Council to reduce |
| | | insurance premiums, increase insurance cover at no extra cost and extend self insurance |
| | | schemes. |
| | | |
| | | Counter fraud arrangements have been |
| | | assessed against the newly issued 'red book' from CIPFA. There have been no cases of |
| | | |
| | | proven fraud or corruption in recent years, except for Benefits claims. The partnership code |
| | | of practice clearly sets out expected counter |
| | | fraud and corruption |
| | | arrangements for partnerships. The Council has |
| | | participated in the National Fraud Initiative (NFI) |
| | | since its inception, and can demonstrate that it is |
| | | creating a strong deterrent effect through |
| | | publicity material. |
| | | The Council has an Audit and Governance |
| | | Committee which is independently chaired and |
| | | politically balanced. It includes co-opted |
| | | members and is proactive. Internal Audit and |
| | | Audit Commission work has not identified any |
| | | significant weaknesses in internal controls in |
| | | recent years. Internal Audit is properly resourced |
| | | and meeting CIPFA standards. Standing orders, |
| | | standing financial instructions and a scheme of |
| | | delegation are in place, well publicised and kept |
| | | up to date. |
| | | The Council regularly reviews the effectiveness |
| | | of its partnership working and the financial |
| | | performance of key partnerships using a |
| | | scorecard approach, summarised in an annual |
| | | report to Cabinet. The Council can identify clear |
| | | examples of where good partnership |
| | | governance has helped to deliver improved |
| | | outcomes. |

| VFM criteria | Met | Summary of conclusions to date | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Managing resources | | | | | | | |
| Natural resources | n/a | Not specified as part of the VFM conclusion this year. | | | | | |
| Strategic asset management | | The Council has made progress against its action plan arising from the Audit Commission work undertaken in 2008/09. However although significant progress has been made there is an inevitable time lag between making plans and delivering improved outcomes. There are excellent examples of outcomes from partnership working (eg Primary Care centres at Washington and Bunny Hill), purpose built one stop shops across the City and successful delivery of major projects such as the Aquatic Centre and the BSF programme. Appraisal processes to prioritise capital investment are good, and the Council is successful at securing external sources of capital funding. The estate meets relevant health and safety standards. The Council has not significantly reduced backlog maintenance on buildings, but additional finance has been allocated to address this, and overall backlog levels compare well with similar authorities. The Smarter Working Project will provide an integrated approach to asset, ICT and workforce planning and will implement a corporate policy on modern working arrangements and space utilisation targets. The Council has also started a five year rolling programme of property inspections with some buildings already being identified as surplus. Areas where the Council recognises that further attention is required include market testing of property related services; monitoring project delivery against original cost and time; delivery of a programme to give a 70% planned maintenance spend; and improving coverage of diverse users satisfaction with assets. | | | | | |

| VFM criteria | Met | Summary of conclusions to date |
|--------------|-----|---|
| Workforce | | The Council's workforce planning is integrated with annual service planning. The introduction of the Sunderland Way of Working has provided the opportunity to further consolidate the corporate, strategic and long term approach to workforce planning. The Council is aiming for transformational change and recognises the importance of its workforce in making a success of this. |
| | | The Council has a productive and skilled workforce, with a clear commitment to training and development, and there are some innovative examples, including partnership working, such as the Sunderland Leadership Development Programme and investment in apprenticeships. The whole Council has been IIP accredited for 10 years. Corporate IIP status was achieved in 2004. Council policies cover a range of issues pertaining to diversity and good people management. The Council completed Phase I of its job evaluation scheme in 2006, which has been the subject of various legal challenges and resulted in the delay of Phase II. |

Appendix 3 – Action plan

| Page no. | Recommendation | Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High | Responsibility | Agreed | Comments | Date |
|-------------|---|---|---|--------|--|---|
| | R1 Arrangements need to be reviewed to ensure that the accounts are fully supported by comprehensive working papers in future years. | 3 | Head of Financial Management Assistant Chief Accountant | Yes | | For completion of 2010/11 accounts |
| | R2 A valuation for Newcastle International Airport should be carried out again next year, and the Council's rationale for the most appropriate valuation method should be discussed with the valuer so that the valuer and the Council's view can be rationalised and hopefully a consistent view will emerge. Officers should again discuss this with other partner authorities to ensure consistency among all shareholders in the airport. | 3 | Head of Financial Management Assistant Chief Accountant | Yes | All working practices in the finance function are currently under review through the Business Transformation Programme to ensure that efficiency savings are maximised whilst ensuring that processes are fit for purpose. | For completion of 2010/11 accounts |

| Page no. | Recommendation | Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High | Responsibi | lity | Agreed | Comments | s Date |
|-------------|---|--|--|------|---|--|---|
| | R3 A review should be undertaken to identify the scope for producing a more streamlined and focused set of financial statements for 2010/11. | 3 | Head of Financial Management Assistant Chief Accountant | Yes | finance are cu under throug Busine Transf Progra ensure efficier saving maxim ensuri | es in the e function rrently review h the ess ormation mme to e that ncy s are ised whilst ng that eses are fit | For completion of 2010/11 accounts |

If you require a copy of this document in an alternative format or in a language other than English, please call: **0844 798 7070**

© Audit Commission 2010. Design and production by the Audit Commission Publishing Team. Image copyright © Audit Commission.

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body. Reports prepared by appointed auditors are addressed to non-executive directors, members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body. Auditors accept no responsibility to:

- any director/member or officer in their individual capacity; or
- any third party.



Audit Commission

1st Floor Millbank Tower Millbank London SW1P 4HQ

Telephone: 0844 798 3131 Fax: 0844 798 2945 Textphone (minicom): 0844 798 2946

www.audit-commission.gov.uk

September 2010