## SUNDERLAND North AREA COMMITTEE MEETING 19<sup>th</sup> March 2012

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET - PART I

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET – PART I
Title of Report:
Reviewing Progress
Author(s):
Chief Executive
Purpose of Report:
This report requests Area Committee consideration of proposals for the allocation of the Strategic Initiatives Budget (SIB) and Community Chest to support initiatives that will benefit the area.
This report denotes an item relating to an executive function
Description of Decision:
The Committee is requested to approve the following:
Note the financial statement for Area Committee funding for 2011/12
Annex 3: i) Approve 2 proposals for support from 2011/2012 SIP budget totalling £3,444
1. St Peter's Environmental Improvements £1,574 Approve
2. Fulwell Environmental Improvements £1,870 Approve
Annov A:
Annex 4: ii) Approve 21 proposals for support from 2011/2012 Community Chest
budget totalling £11,214
Dragman Danartı
Progress Report:
Approve the following allocations, subject to application, full appraisal and consultation: i) SNCSC Skate Park £34,392.20 <b>Approve</b>
ii) Thompson Park £30,000 Approve
Is the decision consistent with the Budget/Policy Framework? Yes
is the decision consistent with the budgeth oncy i ramework?
Suggested reason(s) for Decision:
The Area Committee has been allocated (£428,727.20) £288,548 per annum from the Strategic
Initiatives Budget and carried over £140,169.20 from the previous year to promote action on key
priorities identified in the relevant Local Area Plan and to attract other funding into the area.
The Community Chest forms part of the Strategic Initiatives Budget and £250,000 is available for the
scheme in 2011/2012; £10,000 for each Ward.
Strategic Investment Plan (SIP) was approved at Cabinet in March 2008. Included within the plan was
an additional one-off allocation of £1.426m to Area Committees. The amount allocated to each Area
Committee was the same as SIB allocation for 2008-09. SIP can only be used to deliver capital
projects, deliver key priorities identified in the LAP with its main purpose to attract other funding into
the area.
Alternative options to be considered and recommended to be rejected:
The circumstances are such that there are no realistic alternatives that could be considered.
Is this a "Key Decision" as defined in Relevant Scrutiny Committee:
Is this a "Key Decision" as defined in Relevant Scrutiny Committee:
the Constitution? No
the Constitution? No
the Constitution? No Is it included in the Forward Plan?