
Appeals Received Hetton Houghton and Washington

Between 01/08/2009 and 31/08/2009

Team	Ref No	Address	Description	Date Appeal Lodged
HO	09/00029/REF	Blue Cedars□Warwick Drive□Houghton-Le-Spring□DH5 8JR□	Erection of first floor bedroom extension to the rear.	10/08/2009

Team Ref No	Address	Description	Appeal Decision	Date of Decision
09/00001/ENF	13 Glenorrin Close□Lambton□Washington □NE38 0DZ□	<p>BREACH OF PLANNING CONTROL□□Without planning permission the change of use of the land from open amenity space to private garden and enclosure with a 1.8 metre high approx timber fence.□□REASONS FOR THIS NOTICE□□It appears to the Council that the breach of planning control has occurred within the last ten years.</p> <p>□□The enclosure and change of use of the land to private garden has resulted in the loss of open amenity space to the detriment of the visual amenities of the area. In addition, the realigned fence is an overbearing feature given its proximity to the public footpath. The fence also has the potential to create a tunnelling effect and reduce the commodiousness of the footpath to users. The development is contrary to policies B2 and B3 of the Council's adopted Unitary Development Plan, paragraph 2.4 (e) of the Supplementary Planning Guidance (2000) and topic □□Without planning permission the change of use of the land from open amenity space to private garden and enclosure with a 1.8 metre high approx timber fence.□Reasons for this notice□□It appears to the Council that the breach of planning control has occurred within the last ten years.</p> <p>□□The enclosure and change of use of the land to private garden has resulted in the loss of open amenity space to the detriment of the visual amenities of the area. In addition, the realigned fence is an overbearing feature given its proximity to the public footpath. The fence also has the potential to create a tunnelling effect and reduce the commodiousness of the footpath to users. The development is contrary to policies B2 and B3 of the Council's adopted Unitary Development Plan, paragraph 2.4 (e) of the Supplementary Planning Guidance (2000) and topic 9.2 of the Supplementary Planning Document 'Household Alterations and Extensions' (2007)□□The Council do not consider that planning permission should be</p>	DISVAR	06/08/2009

Team	Ref No	Address	Description	Appeal Decision	Date of Decision
------	--------	---------	-------------	-----------------	------------------

given, because planning conditions could not overcome these objections to the development.□□□

Appeals Determined Hetton Houghton and Washington
Between 01/08/2009 and 31/08/2009

Team	Ref No	Address	Description	Appeal Decision	Date of Decision
------	--------	---------	-------------	-----------------	------------------

W