Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
12.10.2009					Х	If South Tyneside services are moved from South Shields, what would the benefits be. Older People would have to travel to Sunderland for services, this doesn't seem appropriate e.g. visiting etc
12.10.2009		Х				
12.10.2009		Х				It should be local care for local people
12.10.2009		Х				
12.10.2009		Х				It is wrong to move older persons out of South Tyneside. The elderly have enough problems getting to where ever there relatives are and some struggle with the distance so why can we not have our services local where they have been in place for years. I dont drive so the expense alone to travel to work would double for me. NHS state local services for local people.
12.10.2009		Х				Some of us have to rely on buses to get to and from work. It's not much fun going home in the winter months after a late shift in the cold, rain and snow, is it? Also bus fares are not cheap. NHS state local services for local people.
12.10.2009				Х		
12.10.2009				X		
12.10.2009		Х				
12.10.2009				Χ		
13.10.2009		Χ				
16.10.2009				Х		I believe that it is best if all the services are on one site. South Tyneside and Sunderland should be separated on two sites.
16.10.2009			X			
16.10.2009		X		X		I would like the service provision to remain in South Shields locality.
16.10.2009		Х				My comment is I believe the people of South Tyneside should have their own facilities, so familys, carers don't have to travel out of the area. I know Monkwearmoth my not be far to some people i.e. with cars but for people who have to rely on public transport this would be a problem, it can be a very upsettingn time for people going into hospital and sometimes people have to stay in hospital for a long time due to their illness and this can only put more stress on families and carers.
16.10.2009		X				South Tyneside services should remain in South Tyneside
16.10.2009		X				
16.10.2009		Х				I feel very strongly that elderly patients in particular should be treated within their local area and that Option 2 is the closest on that reflects this idea. It also makes sense to base the services that support patients in the homes are based in the area they serve, cutting down on travelling costs and speeding up the process of visiting. Patients came first should be the by-word of every NHS Service.
16.10.2009		X				
16.10.2009	Х					
19.10.2009		Х				I believe in local services for local people
19.10.2009		X				
19.10.2009			Х			Providing a treatment and assessment unit in Ryhope to compliment the exsisting unit in Hebburn and closing the Monkwearmouth unit would provide a much more sizable and functional catchment area for potential admissions.

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
19.10.2009			Х			I believe Option 3 is the best for the Sunderland area. I do hope that will be a service for Learning Disabilities in Sunderland as the new service being built are some miles away and would take some time to get to on public transport for staff, carer and patient facilities.
21.10.2009	X					
21.10.2009					Х	Challenging Behaviour - Learning Disabilities 8 Learning Disabilities - Serve Mental Illness Beds. People with diagnosed learning disabilities presenting with serious challenging behaviours requiring admission - which beds will they access? Older peoples services - will people with diagnosed learning disabilities be able to access 'Older Peoples' services if this best meets their needs?
21.10.2009			Х			
21.10.2009	Х		Λ			
21.10.2009	X					
21.10.2009	X					
21.10.2009	X					
21.10.2009	X					
21.10.2009	X					
21.10.2009	^			X		
21.10.2009	X			^		
21.10.2009	X					
21.10.2009	^			V		
21.10.2009				X		
23.10.2009			X	^		
23.10.2009			X			
23.10.2009			^	V		
26.10.2009		X		X		
26.10.2009				X		Local specialised psychiatry services in a familiar, with ease of access for carers and relatives, would be achieved with Option 4. Monkwearmouth could then be used, as suggested, as a training base for staff with a park and ride element attached so that full car parks and traffic congestion does not become apparent.
26.10.2009				Х		The service as a whole needs to be addressed - mentally ill people are not catered for unless it becomes a critical situation - Referral is complicated and lengthy - discharge into the community not supportive and unqualified carers are placed in situations they are untrained for - it is my opinion that the whole system needs to be addressed not only the location of a hospital. I realise that funding is a major problem, however, patients, carers and families are 'at risk' under the present system. Support is not readily available until a solution is found, the community is at risk until a tradegy happens nothing will be done to help this area. No doubt when the new facilities are agreed construction will not be sought from the community who are personally involved in the care of mentally ill population it will no doubt be interesting! Will this cut down waiting times, community support improvements and help for carers?

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
27.10.2009		Х		Х		I feel that it would be a step backwards to remove services for older people in South Tyneside. Government state local accessible services for the local community. This is not achieveable by patients, carers and relatives having to leave the borough for inpatient mental health care. By building on the site of the South Tyneside General Hospital you are providing a seamless service where both mental and physical health can be assessed providing optimal wellbeing for the service users.
28.10.2009			Х			
28.10.2009			,	Х		
28.10.2009				X		
28.10.2009				X		
28.10.2009				Х		
28.10.2009				Х		
28.10.2009				X		
28.10.2009				Х		
28.10.2009				Х		
28.10.2009				Χ		
28.10.2009				Х		
28.10.2009				Х		
28.10.2009				Χ		
28.10.2009				Χ		
29.10.2009			Х			
29.10.2009						Services should be kept within the area e.g. South Tyneside services should be based within South Tyneside, enabling ease of access for local people, service users and friends and family etc. Unable to see scale of proposed building work on each site, perhaps circulate some architects drawings for each site.
29.10.2009		Х				I prefer Option 2 as visiting Ryhope or Monkwearmouth from South Tyneside or reviewing this adds to the stress for the carer. My mother was detained in Cherry Knowle because of her illness and has an aversion to the name and maybe people with her illness may feel the same. I also think provision should be made for functional illness (schizophrenia) in particular when patients are discharged from hospital as there are 'no' homes in the area really suitable for a frail 81 year old lady who has been ill for 43 years apart from with organically ill patients. This may not be suitable for my mother as when she is lucid she has an active mind.
30.10.2009		Х				Ryhope should remain the base for adult services as the community are supportive to service users and their needs. Local services for local people.
30.10.2009		Х				
30.10.2009	Х			Х		I think Option 1&3 are both very good in there own ways but my main preference is Option 1. The consultation document is well set out. It is alright saying you have experience in building state of the art facilities. But people need to feel welcome and be well cared for and for with friendly faces. As to not using NHS land I agree. It would make it unaffordable.
03.11.2009	Х					
03.11.2009	Х					
04.11.2009				Х		Excellent and informative presentation. Look forward to seeing new developments in Sunderland and South Tyneside.

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
04.11.2009			Х			
04.11.2009			Х			
05.11.2009		X				Option 2 offers a more unified service with concentrative or specific sites for older peoples services. I attended a presentation and was dismayed that if focussed so much on buildings. I would have found it more useful if it had discussed the way organic and function illnesses needed seperate locations or why? Possible changes in South Tyneside did not focus on hot the proposed changes will impact on South Tyneside Foundation Trust services. Presentation was very broad brush in this regard.
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				
06.11.2009		Х				Services should be maintained in own community to facilitate visits by family & friends who may not have their own transport.
06.11.2009				Х		
06.11.2009				Х		
06.11.2009				X		
06.11.2009				X		
06.11.2009				X		
06.11.2009				X		
06.11.2009				X		
09.11.2009		Х				Government restrictions on land hampers best solution plus lack of capital. There is only a best comprimise which will not be suitable for ever and must be flexible. Current buildings 'modern' only last 30-40 years at most, which adds to complications.
09.11.2009		Χ				
12.11.2009		X				
12.11.2009			X			
12.11.2009			Χ			
13.11.2009		Х				If services had to be moved it would be of benefit to physical health services of South Tyneside District Hospital. I believe that Monkwearmouth would not be as suitable as STDH if building works need to be undertaken would it be more beneficial to have purpose built accomodation that could have relevant services for older people and be a centre of excellence for South Tyneside and NTW NHS Trust.
17.11.2009			Х			
18.11.2009	Х					Ensure easy and accessible transport links
18.11.2009			Х			,
20.11.09	Х					
	X					

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
			Х			
	Х					
	Х					
	Х					
	Х					When building the hospital please make sure that a bus stop is included in the layout and also a designated bay for taxis.
	Х					
	Х					
			Х			I would find it very hard to get to anywhere else for visiting.
		X				keep all South Tyneside older people's services in South Tyneside. Monkwearmouth is too far and inconvenient for elerley relatives of dementia patients to visit when their homes are in South Tyneside and they do not have a car. A bus journey would mean taking two buses and the time it would take to get there and back would take most of the day. As things are at the moment it takes only half an hour to reach the hospital, the bus station is so inconvenient and it takes only half an hour to get back home.Palmers Community Hospital is for the community. Please keep it that way.
24.11.2009				X		
24.11.2009		Χ				
24.11.2009				X		
25.11.2009		X				
25.11.2009				X		
26.11.2009			X			Cherry Knowle Hospital has been at Ryhope for over 100 years, people with mental health problems and their carers from the Sunderland area would like to have the new hospital to be built at Ryhope. It is easy to get to from the A19 and if it is built there, a bus company is likely to have it as a bus route as it was before. The mental hospital has been at the site long before the new schools were built so it should all be about which site is best for the patient not for other factors who built schools, nurseries and houses. I think Ryhope is the best site as there would be a better environment for patients not at Monkwearmouth which has a main road at the front and a railway at the back.
26.11.2009				Х		keep services local
26.11.2009				X		I attended the Scrutiny Committee @ South Tyneside Town Hall and heard a presentation on the topic. My choice is simply one of logistics. I don't own a car and think that others like myself would struggle to go to Ryhope on a regular basis. I also had experience of a former colleague who got the 35 bus most nights to visit his wife in Cherry knowles. As to the relocation costs I presume that this will be the next step.
26.11.2009	1	Х				
27.11.2009	Х					CKH - Occupational Therapists x 2
27.11.2009	Х					West Willows - CKH - Ward Domestics x 3
27.11.2009	X					6 Pts - West Willows CKH
27.11.2009	Х					24 Staff CKH - Joint decision by West Willows Staff at meeting on 20.11.2009

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
02.12.2009				Х		Cherry Knowle Hospital has been a part of the local community in Ryhope for many years. Both my father and grandfather have worked at this hospital as mental health Nurses. The hospital is base din pleasant grounds with plenty of space and in its prime boasted sports fileds and a chapel. The buildings have been neglected for years and only recently I was involved in a consultation where I supported over £1m being spent in bringing some of these buildings up to scrath. I would like to support option 4 which will not only preserve local jobs but hopefully build upon it. This site is in urgent need of developement, the hospital should be part of the community and the site should also include housing developement which will hopefully include housing affordable to families. The government supports the idea that services should be local and accessible, I have spokent to representatives of the staff Union ay cherry knowles and they have stated that staff believe services should stay local and that staff believe thay are of a high standard and should stay at this site. I believe if the investment is made carefully the benefits of cherry knowle couldbe fu
04.12.2009				X		
08.12.2009 08.12.2009		X		Х		Local Services for local people. We need our services
08.12.2009		X				
10.12.2009				~		Telephone Call -Notes taken by A N Other - Situation is that the person has campaigned for years about the need fo a full range of services for older people with dementia in South Shields. His wife has dementia and looks after her himself with the help from the system in the form of day care services at a place in Jarrow. However he has had to fight at every turn to get her in anywhere as she is difficult to look after (and yet he does it himself but it is getting more and more difficult). His wife appears to be outside of our remit (at the moment) but I told him of the public events - he knew about them but cannot get away from home because of his wife. The person who took the call then states - I don't know if I helped him in anyway the only thing I could say was that the outcome of the option apparaisal may see the building of new inpatient facilities for people like his wife in the South Shields area which really doesn't help him yet. He is a prolific campaigner - at local level and also targeting the Government (DoH) but really his target should be the local authority - the voluntary organisations and the private sector provide services up to a point but it
10.12.2009		X		X		
10.12.2009		X		X		
10.12.2009		X		X		Local services is for local people in the community
10.12.2009		X		X		
10.12.2009		X		X		
10.12.2009 10.12.2009		X		X		
10.12.2009		X		X		
10.12.2009		X		X		
11.12.2009	Х	^		^		

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
18.12.2009				Х		As the longest site Ryhope can provide all of Sunderland services and keep them together. South Tyneside should stay in South Tyneside to allow each area within the Trust to keep local and allowing families to keep easy contact
18.12.2009				Χ		
18.12.2009			X			Please give us a dementia centre of excellence facility for older citizens of Sunderland and South Tyneside
18.12.2009		Х				
18.12.2009		Х				
18.12.2009		Х				
18.12.2009		Х				
18.12.2009		Х				
18.12.2009		Х				
18.12.2009		Х				
18.12.2009		X				I would like to re-iterate and expand my comments made on 25th November 2009 at the Argent Business and Conference Centre consultation meeting. In any overall and parallel review of services the facilities present at Wellfield Clinic should be retained at a location convenient to both users and hospital staff. Similarly as far as Denethorpe is concerned, its services should be retained and indeed axpanded to eliminate the 18 months waiting list experienced by potential patients and the some time curtailed sessions. In relation to the Cherry Knowle replacement I hope that the project team will be as innovative in ideas as we were led to expect from the former involvement of the Prince of Wales. I welcome the move towards en-suite facilities and ample space for therapies and social activities because it is important that the patient both retains his or her dignity and private space and is also satisfactorily mentally stimulated during his or her stay. Both the external and internal enviroment are vital elements on hte road to recovery. Concerning the possibility of traffic/parking problems in the vicinity of Monkwearmouth Hospital the Health.
21.12.2009			X			I think there will be a huge benefit in having a dedicated specialist dementia facility located at Monkwearmouth for older people in Sunderland and South Tyneside.
21.12.2009		.,	X	.,		
21.12.2009		X		X		Discussed at last LMC meeting and obviously our area of interest is South Tyneside. We wish services to remain in South Tyneside, as we feel that it would be very difficult for South Tyneside patients, who have a low car ownership, to travel to the Sunderland area. Therefore we wish to support options 2 or 4. we would be grateful if you could take this into account when you come to your final decision.
21.12.2009					Х	I found the NHS meeting at the Sunderland museum on December 14th very interesting. I realise, especially because of finanacial problems, that the NHS want to utilise their existing sites, but I am concerned that Ryhope is so far to travel for many of the older people in the City. I should have thought that Washington with its many villages would have been a much better area to have a new hospital. it is much more central to many parts of the City.

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
21.12.2009				Х		This options provides services in a single location for Sunderland residents and ensures a similar situation in South Tyneside with no division of services for either area. With the potential to hold all sunderland adult and older peoples services at Ryhope, are issues around the parking and public transport neing considered during and beyond this consultation process? There was an acknowledgement from the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee that service users should be continuously involved and informed throughtout the PRIDE process. Key stakeholders including the Health and Wellbeing Scrutinary Committee, Clir Peter Walker, is the response of County Durham's Council's Health Scrutiny Committee. This is included for consideration as part of the consultation process.
21.12.2009					Х	The opportunity to engage in the joint meeting with other authorities is welcomed, as is the opportunity to respond to the consultation. The focus of the consultation is noted, essentially the proposal for investment in new facilities, and this is welcomed. The lack of information on the services that are to be located in the new facilities and the lack of information on the plans for community service provision is a weakness in the consultation, there are concerns over the rationale for the consultation process: that the NHS is conducting two seperate consultations in relation to services which affect residents of the northern part of the former Easington District (both NHS South of Tyne as well as NHS County Durham) which has created confusion in messages given to, and responses from, service users and carers and Council memebers. That the PRIDE consultation has not included the residents of this area in relation to proposals for future provision from the Cherry Knowle/Ryhope site. It is of concern that, as residents in this area have historically been users of, and are a natural catchment for services provided from these locations they should therefore have
22.12.2009		Х		Х		Having attended the joint scrutiny meeting in Sunderland on 26th November 2009 and sought views from memebers of my committee, I am writing in response to the above consultation cencerning the future of inpatient mental Health Facilities provided by NTW. We acknowledge the need for change and there fore welcome the plans for new in patient facilities for older people South Tyneside with mental health problems. We think that these facilities should be provided locally to ensure that they are easily accessed by friends, family and other services. that is why we support either option 2 or 4, which suggest that all in patient services for older people in South Tyneside suffering mental ill health are provided at South Tyneside General Hospital site.
22.12.2009			Х			
22.12.2009			X			
22.12.2009			X			
22.12.2009				Х		
23.12.2009				X		
23.12.2009		X				
23.12.2009		Х				Service should be local and accessible
23.12.2009	ļ.,,			X		
29.12.2009	X					
29.12.2009	Х					
30.12.2009				X		TI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
31.12.2009				X		These are the views of a group of eight patients and carers at ward 18 STDM
31.12.2009			X			<u> </u>

Date Received	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	No Option Selected	Other Comments
31.12.2009				X		This is the collective view of ward 18 staff
31.12.2009				Х		All in-patient mental health services should be sited together to give flexibility and to provide some services and level of service to adult and older people patients, while keeping services local in South Tyneside and Sunderland. To have older people on Monkwearmouth Hospital site would limit their access to social and recreational facilities on the main site with only ward facilities available to them.
31.12.2009				Х		Keep local and together
31.12.2009		Х				We were not informed as to the design of the buildings. This is so important and I hope you do not build more corridors but have bungalow type buildings the same as meadow View and the two schools opposite. Two stories does not work and corridors do not work. This is from 20 years of experience visiting different wards. I feel 100 beds are not the correct number and in the beginning we were promised 210 because of the influx of drug & alcohol patients (court referreals). have these beds been included in the 100. So many questions not answered!
04.01.2010				X		Already have excellent bus services from Sunderland to Ryhope. People in Ryhope already accepting of mental health services. Concerntration of services on fewer sites make for less travelling between sites. Less time involved in attending training. Can we have a physical library please. Not everything is available electronically.
04.01.2010			Χ			
04.01.2010			Х			
04.01.2010			Х			Transport - More information about car parking - public transport improvements to site. St Bededicts - More information about how sensitive development would be for the needs to the hospice patients. Finances - costs per option and efficiency.
04.01.2010			Х			Would prefer a centre of excellence.
04.01.2010						
05.01.2010				X		I consider this the obvious option, since you are planning to choose cherry knowle.
05.01.2010				X		Keep local keep together not two sites in Sunderland
05.01.2010				X		Keep services local
05.01.2010				X		Do not segregate older people keep services together
05.01.2010		X		Х		I think that it is important for South Tyneside that as much of South Tyneside services are provided together. As a relatively small district it is important not to loose whatever economies of scale are available. I was disappointed that there were not more options for adult services on the monkwearmouth site, including all adult and olderpersons mental health services for south tyneside and sunderland would semm to have advantages in terms of bringing together specialist services on one site, which could lead to increased consistency, reduced waste and the ability to focus on further alternatives to admission.
	29	67	28	70	4	