## Sustainability Impact Assessment (SIA) Tool - Cabinet decision/report

Decision/r To approve the appointment of contractors to deliver the M
Decision/report aim To approve the appointment of contractors to deliver
the Marine Walk public realm improvements and
seafront infrastructure. (Sea Change)

Impact Score of decision Outcomes		<u>Summary</u>
++	Significant Positive Effect	
+	Marginal Positive Effect	
0	Neutral or No Effect	
-	Marginal Negative Effect	
	Significant Negative Effect	
?	Uncertainty Over Effect	
		•

## Lead Officer:

Descript ion		Impact Score of decision Outcomes	Evidence (Detail how the change in impact will occur)	Action - change to decision requirement (Identify any countermeasures)
1. Supports a Pro decision	sperous City / Learning City: does the			
local suppliers, cre	omic growth in Sunderland (e.g. by using ating employment for Sunderland residents)	+	Public realm work has potential to create local employment opportunities. Where practicable, Tenderers will be expected to have considered the use of local companies for the supply of materials, and any sub contract work required in undertaking the Contract.	
1b. Close skills gap employment in Sur	os and support those currently workless into iderland?	0	Although there is potential to create local employment the recruitment will be undertaken by the appointed contractor, and may not be specifically targeted at the workless.	
2. Supports a Hea	lthy City: does the decision			
2a. Improve life ex the national averag	pectancy of Sunderland residents to match ge	0	There is no evidence that the project will enhance life expectancy, although it has potential to improve quality of life.	
2b. Improve the en	notional health and wellbeing of all residents	++	94% of respondents to the 2007 Community Spirit survey stated the seafront was important to their quality of life. The purpose of the project as set out in the Marine Walk Masterplan (2010) is to to create an attractive environment at Roker seafront where both residents and visitors can relax.	
2c. Support people	to live independently	0	N/A	
	y lifestyles of residents, through exercise, alchohol misuse and smoking	+	The improvements have the potential to encourage residents to make greater use of the seafront and live a more active lifestyle.	
3. Supports an At decision	tractive and Inclusive City: does the		,	
3a. Improve housir Sunderland?	ng locations, choice and price in	0	N/A	
development	nable patterns of consumption and	+	The seafront infrastructure incorporate renewables including solar panels and windturbines. The contract states wood used for the infrastructure must be FSC acredited.	
3c. Protect and enl Sunderland	nance the natural and built environment in	++	Both the seafront infrastructure and public realm improvements will enhance the natural environment. The interpetation trail and education space will seek to raise awareness of the areas rich natural environment and	
3d. Develop respe	ct and trust between communities	0	N/A	

		Impact	- · · ·	
	Descript	Score of	Evidence (Detail how the change in	Action - change to decision requirement
	ion	decision	impact will occur)	(Identify any countermeasures)
	3e. Enable local people and groups to influence local	Outcomes	The proposals have been	
	decisions		subject to considerable	
			consultation. A city-wide	
			consultation took place from	
			16 February to 3 April 2009 on	
			the future of the seafront. Over	
			2,500 responses were	
			received. The results of the consultation informed the	
			development of the Seafront	
			Regeneration Strategy and	
			Marine Walk Masterplan. Both	
			documents underwent public	
			consultation between 8th	
			August and 18th September 2009 before being amended	
			as necessary and adopted by	
			the council in February 2010.	
			The detailed proposals for	
			Marine Walk have been	
			developed in consultation with	
			local businesses and interest groups.	
	4. Supports a Safe City: does the decision		J F :	
	,			
	4a. Help residents be free from crime, harm and involvement		The improvements include	
	in crime.		new ligthing which will	
			increase feelings of safety on	
			an evening. The	
			improvements have the potential to attract additional	
			visitors to the area and by	
			doing so increase eyes on	
			street and feelings of safety.	
	5. Other environmental issues: does the decision			
	5- Mark and description and the state of the		The amount of the Committee of the Commi	
	5a. Meet environmental legislation.		The proposals will deliver the first phase of the Marine Walk	
			Masterplan. Under the	
			requirements of the European	
			Directive 2001/42/EC a	
			Strategic Environmental	
		++	Assessment and Appropriate Assessment	
			have been prepared alongside	
			and informed the masterplan.	
			These documents are	
			available at	
1	5b. Limit local environmental pollution and hazards		www.sunderland.gov.uk/roker. Work on-site will be carefully	
1	25. Eit local criving inferital politition and flazards		managed to ensure materials	
1			are disposed of appropriately.	
Environmental Impact Assessment			A Site Waste Management	
			Plan will be required from the construction company	
			construction company managing the site. There will	
			be no hazardous waste to	
			dispose of.	
	5c. Improve sustainable transport and communications.		The public realm improvements incorporate	
			traffic calming to reduce the	
Ĕ		+	conflict between vehicles and	
vi o			pedestrians and shift the	
Ē			priority to sustainable modes of transport such as walking	
1	5d. Consider and reduce risks from weather and climate		The public realm scheme has	
	change.		been designed to be inkeeping	
			with the Shoreline	
1			Management Plan for this stretch of coastline which	
1			states coastal defences at	
1			Marine Walk should be	
	Es la a atatutary Stratagia Environmental Assessment (CEA)		maintained.	
	5e. Is a statutory Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) required?		The proposals will deliver the first phase of the Marine Walk	
			Masterplan. Under the	
			requirements of the European	
			Directive 2001/42/EC a	
			Strategic Environmental Assessment and Appropriate	
			Assessment and Appropriate Assessment	
			have been prepared alongside	
			and informed the masterplan.	
<u> </u>			These documents are	
Complete	d by: Claire Jones	Date:	2 12 10	

 Completed by:
 Claire Jones
 Date:
 2.12.10

 Checked by:
 David Henry
 Date:
 2.12.10