

WASHINGTON AREA COMMITTEE
29th September 2011
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET – PART I

Title of Report:

Community Chest, and Strategic Initiative Budget (SIB) - Financial Statement and Proposals for further allocation of Resources

Author(s):

Chief Executive

Purpose of Report:

This report requests Area Committee consideration of proposals for the allocation of the Strategic Initiatives Budget (SIB) and Community Chest to support initiatives that will benefit the area.

Description of Decision:

The Committee is requested to approve the following:

1. Note the financial statement set out in Section 2.1 of this report.
2. Agree the recommendations set out in **Annex 1a** with reference to SIB applications and approve 1 SIB proposal from the 2011/12 budget
 1. Washington Illuminations Switch On £10,000 Approve
3. Agree the allocation of **£99,900 SIB** to deliver the Washington NEETs Support and Co-ordination Project (Call for Projects) to the **Youth Opportunities Project**, Lead Agent SNCBC.
4. Agree the allocation of **£11,000 SIB** to deliver the **Activities Programme for Young People** (Call for Projects) to the Youth Development Group, Lead Agent SCC.
5. Approve the 15 proposals for support from 2011/12 Community Chest totalling £8,617 as detailed in **Annex 2**

Suggested reason(s) for Decision:

SIB is a budget delegated to Areas Committee in order to commission activity that delivers actions against the key strategic priorities identified in the Washington LAP. Its main purpose is to benefit the local community and to attract other funding into the area. The Area Committee has been allocated a budget of £287,261 for 2011/12. £12,321 has been carried forward from 2010/11 giving the Area Committee a budget of £299,582 for 2011/12.

The Community Chest forms part of the Strategic Initiatives Budget and that £250,000 is available for the scheme in 2010/2011, £10,000 for each Ward. This scheme is operated under Section 137 of the Local Government Act 1972.

Alternative options to be considered and recommended to be rejected:

The circumstances are such that there are no realistic alternatives that could be considered.

Is this a “Key Decision” as defined in the Constitution?

No

Is it included in the Forward Plan? No

Relevant Scrutiny Committees:

Regeneration Review Committee.
 Management Scrutiny Committee.