Audit and Governance Meeting – 29th June 2012

Item 16 - Statement of Accounts 2011/2012 (Subject to Audit) – Appendix 2

HANDOUT – IFRS changes

Completing the transition to IFRS involved many challenges. But there are opportunities to simplify presentation and make the messages in the financial statements clearer. This briefing note is intended to help non accountants, members and other senior staff to better understand the IFRS-based financial statements for 2011/12. It therefore provides an overview of the main changes involved and explains how the new formats can be used to convey the key points in the following areas:

- Comparisons with budgets;
- General Fund performance;
- Reserves position; and
- Cash flows.

It also provides some answers to commonly raised questions.

Overview of main changes from UK GAAP to IFRS

	Significant IFRS changes	Substantially the same
Financial Statements	New statements and amended layouts. More flexibility - detail can be in the statements or the notes; terminology can be amended; and the order of the statements can be changed to suit the authority.	New formats consistent with those used in the SORP where this is possible and can be helpful.
Purchase of goods and services	None.	Everything else.
Salaries and Pensions	Untaken holiday pay and similar items accrued for at year end.	Everything else.
Government Grants and Contributions	Capital grants recognised immediately (unless there are conditions) rather than being deferred and matched to expenditure.	Only the same for capital grants if there are conditions
Property, Plant and Equipment (Fixed Assets)	More emphasis on component accounting than under UK GAAP. Impairments taken initially to the Revaluation Reserve rather than Income and Expenditure – like revaluation losses. New class of 'assets held for sale'.	Everything else. Expenditure that can be capitalised under IFRS remains unchanged.
PFI	Assets brought onto the balance sheet where the authority controls the asset. Changes made in SORP 2009 - no change for 2010/11 and 2011/12.	
Leases	90% 'test' to separate finance and operating leases removed. Property leases classified and accounted for as separate leases of land and buildings. Need to assess whether other arrangements contain the substance of a lease.	Everything else. IFRS retains the concept of the finance lease / operating lease distinction, and the tests carried out to classify leases are substantially the same.
Financial Instruments	None – IFRS is identical to UK GAAP.	Everything else.

General Fund performance

The format of the first section of the new Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES), the (Surplus) or Deficit on Provision of Services, is very similar to the Income and Expenditure Account under the SORP, although less detail is required below the Cost of Services. The format of the second section of the CIES is, very similar to the STRGL under the SORP which is shown below.

Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES) 2011/2012

	2011/2012		
	Gross Expenditure £'000	Gross Income £'000	Net Expenditure £'000
Central services to the public	40,747	32,884	7,863
Cultural, environmental, regulatory and planning services	100,240	37,124	63,116
Education and children's services	287,535	236,782	50,753
Highways and transport services	35,163	16,977	18,186
Other housing services	141,123	128,108	13,015
Adult social care	119,265	54,892	64,373
Corporate and democratic core	16,467	4,090	12,377
Non Distributed Costs	12,587	11,608	979
Cost of Services	753,127	522,465	230,662
Other operating expenditure	71,061	339	70,722
Financing and investment income and expenditure	35,804	3,641	32,163
Taxation and non-specific grant income	0	269,812	(269,812)
(Surplus) or Deficit on Provision of Services	859,992	796,257	63,735
Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure items:			
Surplus or deficit on the revaluation of fixed assets			(18,856)
Surplus or deficit on the revaluation of available for sale financial assets			0
Actuarial gain / loss on pension assets / liabilities			153,950
Total Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure			135,094
Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure			198,829

Equivalent to the SORP's Net cost of Services, and reconciles to the Segmental reporting Note

Equivalent to the surplus or deficit on the I&E Account under the SORP

Whilst the financial statements under IFRS (other than the Movement in Reserves Statement) still don't provide a direct comparison with the budget, one of the new notes to the financial statements – on segmental reporting - can also provide a bridge between budgets and the financial statements.

Comparisons with budgets

For members, probably the most important issue for them is whether the authority has a surplus or deficit compared to its budget (and Council Tax) for the year. Because the financial statements follow accounting standards rather than local government legislation, this hasn't been easy to identify in the past. However, the new Movement in Reserves Statement gives this information. The extract below shows this information. For housing authorities, there is a separate column in the Movement in Reserves Statement showing the equivalent HRA figures; other columns show earmarked reserves etc.

Movement in Reserves Statement

	General Fund Balance £'000
Balance at 31 March 2010	**(22,241)**
Movement in reserves during 2010/2011	
(Surplus) or Deficit on provision of services	(116,624)
Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	0
Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	**(116,624)**
Adjustments between accounting basis & funding basis under regulations	109,724
Net (Increase) / Decrease before transfers to Earmarked Reserves	**(6,900)**
Transfers to / from Earmarked Reserves	8,632
(Increase) / Decrease in 2010/2011	**1,732**
Balance at 31 March 2011	**(20,509)**
Movement in reserves during 2011/2012	
(Surplus) or Deficit on provision of services	63,735
Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	0
Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	**63,735**
Adjustments between accounting basis & funding basis under regulations	(71,473)
Net (Increase) / Decrease before transfers to Earmarked Reserves	(7,738)
Transfers to / from Earmarked Reserves	10,037
(Increase) / Decrease in 2011/2012	2,299
Balance at 31 March 2012	**(18,210)**

General Fund share of the (Surplus) or Deficit

Statutory adjustments such as replacing depreciation with MRP, pension liabilities with contributions etc.

Deficit for the year

Offset by transfers from earmarked reserves

Gives the change in the General Fund balance over the year

Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure are taken from the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES) (see example overleaf), which replaces both the Income and Expenditure Account and the Statement of Total Recognised Gains and Losses (STRGL). The Surplus or Deficit on Provision of Services is the equivalent to the Income and Expenditure Account under the SORP. Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure includes unrealised gains and losses (eg revaluation of land and buildings), and is the equivalent of the STRGL under the previous guidance.

Members will have previously approved the transfers to or from earmarked reserves shown in the Movement in Reserves Statement. The increase or decrease on the General Fund balance which is shown in this Statement would also normally be reported to members as part of the outturn report, although it might have been described as the surplus or deficit for the year.

A loss shown in the CIES is an indication that the costs of providing this year's services have not been covered by income, which will need to be funded by taxpayers in future years. An overall increase in usable reserves despite a loss being shown in the CIES normally means that there is a corresponding change in unusable reserves as for example MRP charges are replaced with depreciation and impairment. The difference will be reflected in the Capital Adjustment Account. Unusable reserves such as the Capital Adjustment Account and the Pensions Reserve will need to be funded in the future, even if it is over a long period, so increases in these balances show an increasing burden on future taxpayers.

Segmental Reporting Note

As set out on previous page, a comparison with budgets is one of the key items members will look for. Since the financial statements contain figures members won't be used to seeing, it is useful to explain the accounts by starting with the Segmental Reporting note.

The note is based on internal management structures and has to include at least 75% of service expenditure. The example below starts off by showing outturn information previously reported to members, and includes a line for support service recharges. However, if your authority reports the costs of support services separately, they could appear as a separate segment.

Note the headings are based on the Authority's departments

	Leader and Deputy Leader £'000	Cabinet Secretary £'000	Children and Learning City £'000	Prosperous City £'000	Health and Well Being £'000	Safer City and Culture £'000	Attractive and Inclusive City £'000	Sustainable communities £'000	Responsive Services and Customer Care £'000	Total £'000
Fees and Charges	(1,678)	(14,755)	(10,442)	(2,248)	(13,597)	(1,918)	(20,883)	(341)	(480)	(74,085)
Government grants	(25)	(149,306)	(205,979)	(507)	(222,340)	0	(2,206)	(946)	(1,635)	(371,658)
Other Grants, reimbursements and contributions	(4,000)	(3,632)	(7,154)	(1,462)	(9,477)	(1,784)	(1,281)	(80)	(59)	(47,743)
Total Income	(5,703)	(167,693)	(223,575)	(4,217)	(245,414)	(3,702)	(24,370)	(1,367)	(2,174)	(493,486)
Employee expenses	40,311	16,856	179,210	3,907	204,065	6,759	23,012	1,296	3,362	316,038
Other service expenditure	11,820	160,034	69,218	5,024	88,758	7,485	21,776	705	3,873	367,597
Total Expenditure	52,131	176,890	248,428	8,931	292,823	14,244	44,788	2,001	7,235	683,635
Net Expenditure	46,428	9,197	24,853	4,714	47,409	10,542	20,418	634	5,061	190,149

Outturn figures previously reported to members

Note: These sectors have been excluded from the above table - Prosperous City, Safer City and Culture, Sustainable Communities, Responsive Local Services and Customer Care. Please see Note 27 pages 83 to 84 of the Councils Statement of Accounts 2011-12 report for full details.

The note then needs to be reconciled to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES). The example below also doesn't include 100% of the service expenditure – so the missing services appear in the reconciliation. Including all the service expenditure in the note is likely to be more beneficial for members, and simplifies the reconciliation. Other reconciling items are likely to be common year-end adjustments such as for depreciation, pension adjustments etc. provided that these aren't already included in monitoring reports.

	2011/2012 £'000
Net expenditure in the portfolio analysis.	**190,149**
Net expenditure of services and support services not included in the analysis.	**53,806**
Amounts in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Account not reported to management in the analysis.	**(13,293)**
Amounts included in the analysis not included in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement.	**0**
Cost of Service in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Analysis	**230,662**

(See page 31 of the Accounts)

The **cost of services** that used to form part of the Income and Expenditure Account now appears in the CIES, and forms part of the Surplus or Deficit. Under IFRS, this figure might be different to that under the SORP, because of changes to the accounting for capital grants. Previously, these were credited to services to match depreciation, whereas capital grants are now credited to taxation and non-specific grant income as they are received (ie not matched with depreciation). This means that service lines won't include capital grant income and also that the Surplus or Deficit may be affected.

Reserves

Reserves – including the General Fund and (where relevant) the Housing Revenue Account – are an indication of the resources available to an authority to deliver services in the future. The key message for members in terms of reserves – especially the General Fund and the HRA – are how the balances have changed over the year, whether the balances are still adequate, and what the balances mean in terms of future budgets and services.

Information on the level of reserves can be found in the Balance Sheet and related notes, and in the Movement in Reserves Statement and related notes. This latter statement will be more useful in explaining the changes that have taken place during the year, including contributions to and from earmarked reserves.

The ***Balance Sheet*** remains under IFRS, and the layout is also very similar to the SORP's Balance Sheet. One difference is that the minimum requirements under IFRS are less detailed than under the SORP. For example, only one line is required for property, plant and equipment – although more details than this can be shown if required. With a few exceptions (a new line for assets held for sale, and the cash line now including 'cash equivalents'), the top half of the IFRS Balance Sheet (assets and liabilities) looks very similar to the SORP Balance Sheet.

The bottom half of the Balance Sheet (reserves) is where the main changes have occurred. The key figures are as follows:

	Note	**31 March 2012 £'000**
Usable Reserves	8 / 22	**(180,063)**
Unusable Reserves	23	**(318,277)**
Total Reserves		**(498,340)**

The minimum requirement is to include only two lines – usable reserves (such as General Fund and earmarked reserves) and unusable reserves (such as the Revaluation Reserve and the Capital Adjustment Account).

Other Reserves can be shown on the balance sheet as long as these totals are shown

Whilst the Balance Sheet aims to show those Reserves over which members have control, some of the unusable will become a charge against the revenue account – or usable reserves – over time. In some cases this might be within a year or two.

Not all reserves can be used to deliver services, and the Code reflects this by reporting reserves in two groups – 'usable' and 'unusable' reserves. Usable reserves such as the General Fund and earmarked reserves are those where members will be involved in deciding on the levels maintained, and their use. Unusable reserves such as the Revaluation Reserve and the Capital Adjustment Account aren't subject to such member influence.

Cash flows

The final statement required by the Code is the ***Cash Flow Statement.*** Although similar to the SORP Cash Flow Statement, the cash flows of an authority are presented over fewer headings under IFRS than under SORP. Consequently, the statement will be quite short if the minimum presentation is used. A key difference is that the statement balances to the movement in 'cash and cash equivalents', not just to the movement in cash. The indirect method could look like this:

	2011/2012 £000
Net (surplus) or deficit on the provision of services	**61,847**
Adjust net surplus or deficit on the provision of services for non cash movements	**(128,170)**
Adjust for items included in the net surplus or deficit on the provision of services that are investing and financing activities	**12,789**
Net cash flows from operating activities	**(53,534)**
Investing activities	**32,422**
Financing Activities	**9,659**
Net (increase) or decrease in cash and cash equivalents	**(11,453)**
The movement in the cash equivalents is reconciled to the difference between the 2 figures below as follows:	
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the reporting period	21,244
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the reporting period	**32,697**
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	**11,453**

Surplus or Deficit taken from Comprehensive Income ad Expenditure Statement

3 groups of transactions
- Operating
- Investing
- Financing

Cash and cash equivalents figures from the Balance Sheet

IFRS questions and answers

IFRS – what is it?

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) are a suite of accounting standards used across the world. IFRS is the international equivalent of the Financial Reporting Standards (FRSs) used until now in the UK.

Why move to IFRS?

In the 2007 Budget, the then-chancellor announced that the UK Public Sector would adopt IFRS, as this was seen as best practice and allowed for international comparisons to be made.

It was also a question of timing. The UK Accounting Standards Board (ASB) has been reviewing the future of UK GAAP and in the short to medium term all but the smallest organisations will be producing accounts based on IFRS.

Why does IFRS change everything?

It doesn't. Recent UK standards have been based on IFRS, so many requirements are unchanged. There are differences, and the work required to reflect these changes shouldn't be underestimated, but for many transactions, there is little or no change as shown in the table on page 1.

The accounts are already too long – and IFRS will make them worse.

Yes, the accounts can be long, but local authorities have a complex story to tell. IFRS does introduce more disclosures. But notes only need to be produced if they are material - leaving out notes that aren't material or required by legislation is a good start.

The Pension deficit is meaningless – why do we have to show it?

The deficit doesn't have to be funded from this year's budget, but it's still a true cost – it represents the amount that will need to be found from future budgets to pay for pension entitlements already incurred in delivering services. So it's a real call on future funding. Not showing this would hide the liability that the authority has incurred.

This also applies to other reserves. Like the Pension Reserve, the Capital Adjustment Account, the Unequal Pay Back Pay Account and similar reserves all do one thing: they hold expenditure that the authority has incurred but not yet financed. Think of them as being a bit like a credit card balance - these amounts will have to be funded in future, either from taxation or from usable reserves.

Concerns have been expressed that all these reserves make the Balance Sheet incomprehensible. But all that needs to be shown on the Balance Sheet itself are 'Usable Reserves' and 'Unusable Reserves' – the details can all go in a note. This will help to de-clutter the Balance Sheet.

A lot of detail is required in relation to employees' pay. Is it really needed?

Yes - it's a legal requirement. It's also in line with the rest of the public sector, and in the current climate of transparency, local authorities can't be seen to be less open than everyone else.