

**PLANNING AND HIGHWAYS (WEST) COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**8<sup>th</sup> June 2021**

**Additional information for Members**

**ITEM 4 – APP. REF. 21/00259/MAV**

It has been noted that there is an error in the wording of recommended conditions 3 and 4, as set out in the main report.

The conditions should read:

*3. The premises shall not be operated for the purposes hereby approved outside the following hours:*

- *Monday to Friday (except Bank Holidays) 07:00 to 18.00;*
- *Saturdays and Sundays 07:00 to 17.00;*
- *Bank Holidays 07:00 to 17:00*

*In order to protect the amenities of the area in accordance with policies BH1 and HS2 of the CSDP*

*4. No deliveries shall be taken at, or despatched from, the site outside the hours of:*

- *Monday to Friday (except Bank Holidays) 07:00 to 18.00;*
- *Saturdays and Sundays 07:00 to 17:00;*
- *Bank Holidays 07:00 to 17:00.*

*In order to protect the amenities of the area in accordance with policies BH1 and HS2 of the CSDP.*

It is recommended that conditions 3 and 4 as worded above are imposed in the event Members are minded to approve the application.

**RECOMMENDATION:** APPROVE, subject to conditions set out in the main report, with conditions 3 and 4 substituted with wording provided above.

**ITEM 5 – APP. REF. 21/00953/LP3**

As was set out within the main report, the public consultation period was due to expire on the 1<sup>st</sup> June. Within the intervening period between the completion of the main report and the 1<sup>st</sup> of June two representations have been received.

One has been submitted by way of support from Ward Councillor Dianne Snowdon who has advised that they are very happy to support the application, whilst the other raises an objection to the scheme. The objection has been received from the nearby

property of Columbia House and states that the proposed steel artwork would add nothing to the development apart from drawing unwarranted and unnecessary attention to the street.

With regard to the above objection, the comments, whilst noted, are considered to raise no additional issues beyond those that were set out in the main report and do not serve to alter the view of the Local Planning Authority (LPA). The LPA would reaffirm that the scale and massing of the artwork is proportionate to the context of the site and there is nothing inherent to the proposed installation to suggest that it would have an adverse impact on the amenity of the area.

In respect of the above, the recommendation remains as set out in the main report and as below.

**RECOMMENDATION:** With regard to the above and the details provided within the main agenda report, it is recommended that Members GRANT CONSENT for the proposed development under Regulation 3 of the Town and Country Planning (General Regulations) 1992, subject to the conditions set out in the main report.