

Tree Evaluation Method for Preservation Orders - TEMPO

Survey Sheet and Decision Guide

Location:	Linear Woodland on Western boundary Avant scheme Seaburn	Date:	21.11.21
Surveyor:	C Redfern	Owner (if known):	
Species:	Mixed woodland, Sycamore, Whitebeam, Cherry, Ash and Beech	TPO ref:	W1

Part 1: Amenity Assessment			SCORE:
a.) Condition & Suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point			2
5	Good	Highly suitable	
3	Fair	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/dying/dangerous	Unsuitable	
NOTES	Overall fair condition but downgraded as form is not perfect and defects do exist.		

b.) Retention span (in years) & suitability			SCORE:
5	100+ years	<i>Highly suitable</i>	2
4	40-100 years	<i>Very suitable</i>	
2	20-40 years	<i>Suitable</i>	
1	10-20 years	<i>Just suitable</i>	
0	< 10*	<i>Unsuitable</i>	
NOTES	Reasonable safe useful lifespan potential depending on management		

c.) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO			SCORE:
Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use			3
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly suitable	
4	Large trees or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Very suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably unsuitable	
NOTES	small to medium trees currently with limited view which will increase in time.		

d.) Other factors		SCORE:
<i>Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify</i>		4
5	Principal components of arboricultural features or veteran trees	
4	Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features	
NOTES	The linear woodland group provides an important buffer between existing and new development	

Part 2: Expediency assessment			SCORE: <
--------------------------------------	--	--	--

Part 3: Decision guide		TOTAL SCORE:	DECISION:
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	14	TPO is defensible
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		